# Unsymmetrical (1-lambda3)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls with aryl and trifluoromethyl substituents: synthesis, crystal structures, EPR spectroscopy and voltammetry 

| Journal: | Inorganic Chemistry |
| ---: | :--- |
| Manuscript ID: | ic-2011-003996.R1 |
| Manuscript Type: | Article |
| Date Submitted by the | Author: | n/a | Complete List of Authors: | Boere, Rene; University of Lethbridge, Chemistry and Biochemistry <br> Roemmele, Tracey; University of Lethbridge, Chemistry and <br> Biochemistry <br> Yu, Xin; University of Lethbridge, Chemistry and Biochemistry |
| ---: | :--- |
|  |  |

# Unsymmetrical $1 \lambda^{3}-1,2,4,6$-thiatriazinyls with aryl and trifluoromethyl substituents: synthesis, crystal structures, EPR spectroscopy and voltammetry 

René T. Boeré, * Tracey L. Roemmele and Xin Yu<br>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB Canada T1K 3M4

## RECEIVED xxx

## Keywords

Free radical; thiatriazinyl; electron paramagnetic resonance; cyclic voltammetry; ionization potential; electrochemistry; DFT calculations.

* To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: boere@uleth.ca. Tel.: (403) 329-2045. Fax.: (403)329-2057.


#### Abstract

A general synthetic route to 3-trifluoromethyl-5-aryl- $1 \lambda^{3}-1,2,4,6$-thiatriazinyl radicals was developed. X-ray structures were obtained for all five neutral radicals and show that they exist in the solid state as co-facial dimers linked by $S \cdots S$ contacts. X-ray structures were also obtained for two of the precursor chlorothiatriazines along with several aryl N -imidoylamidines, p-methoxybenzamidine and N -chlorosulfonyl-N,N'-benzamidine. Cyclic voltammetric studies were performed on the $\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{\bullet}$ radicals in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with [ $\left.{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right.$ ] as the supporting electrolyte under vacuum in an all-glass electrochemical cell. The results provide quasi-reversible formal potentials for the $\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{-/ 0}$ process in the range of -0.61 to -0.47 V , irreversible peak potentials for the $\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{0 /+}$ process from 0.59 to 0.91 V at lower concentrations, and the appearance of a second, reversible oxidation process from 0.69 to 0.94 V at higher concentrations (versus the $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ couple; $\mathrm{Fc}=$ Ferrocene). This behavior was indicative of monomer-dimer equilibrium in solution as ascertained from digital models of the voltammograms. There is a small but measurable trend in both the oxidation and reduction potentials with varying remote aryl substituents. EPR spectra were obtained for all five neutral radicals in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions, which confirm concentration of the unpaired electron density on the heterocyclic core. Trends were also seen in the hyperfine splitting constants $a_{\mathrm{N}}$ with varying remote aryl substituents. Calculations were performed for all three oxidation states of the $\left[\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right]^{-/ /+}$monomeric rings; the resulting theoretical redox energies correlate well with solution phase voltammetric data.


## Introduction

There is strong continuing interest in the synthesis and structural chemistry of sulfur-nitrogen-carbon heterocyclic free radicals, with an emphasis on applications to the design of molecular conductors and molecular magnetism. ${ }^{1}$ This interest extends to transition-metal coordination complexes that incorporate such radicals as ligands - including spin-active ligands. ${ }^{2}$ We have contributed to the electrochemical characterization of the redox properties of this whole class of compounds, and in particular have characterized by solution electrochemistry the influence of aryl ring substituents on 5-aryl-1,2,3,4-dithiadiazolyls. ${ }^{3}$

In order to extend our approach to a new class of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ radicals we have developed a general synthetic route to the asymmetrically substituted 3-trifluoromethyl-5-aryl-1 $\lambda^{3}-1,2,4,6$ thiatriazinyls (Chart 1). We recently communicated the utility of such thiatriazinyl radicals as novel $\pi$-donor ligands to the organometallic moiety $\mathrm{CpCr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} ;{ }^{4}$ here we elaborate the chemistry of these heterocyclic free radicals, including structural characterization of novel intermediates and several final products in the solid state by X-ray crystallography, solution EPR spectroscopy, and a detailed electrochemical investigation interpreted in light of DFT calculations.

Chart 1. The 3-trifluoromethyl-5-aryl-1 $\lambda^{3}$-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls


## Results and Discussion

A primary goal of this work was the development of a more general route to 1,2,4,6thiatriazinyl radicals which would allow for modification of the exocyclic substituents (Scheme 1). Various methods have been attempted to prepare both symmetric- and asymmetrically substituted thiatriazines. ${ }^{5}$ Amidines have been reported to react with $\mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ to form 1-chloro-1,2,4,6-thiatriazines. ${ }^{5 e, f}$ In this work, we first converted amidines into N -imidoylamidines and find that these, when passivated as hydrochlorides, afford 1-chloro-1,2,4,6-thiatriazines by direct reaction with sulfur dichloride in high yield. ${ }^{5 \mathrm{~b}, 6}$ An established literature procedure was used to prepare the para-substituted benzamidine hydrochlorides, ${ }^{7}$ but the free bases 1a-e have not previously been reported. Full characterization of these useful intermediates is provided in the experimental section and the crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 a}$ is presented in Figure S1.

Scheme 1. Synthetic route to the 3-trifluoromethyl-5-aryl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazines.


Aryl $\mathbf{N}$-imidoylamidines. In contrast to amidine chemistry, aryl N -imidoylamidines have received less attention, although several preparative routes have been reported. ${ }^{8}$ In this work it was found that direct reaction of trifluoroacetonitrile with the free-base amidines gave the desired imidoylamidines in high yields in accordance with the report by Schaeffer. ${ }^{9}$ The colorless sublimed products were found to be pure by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy, MS and elemental analysis. The expected signals for hydrogen atoms attached to carbon were observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Table S 1 ). Three separate NH peaks are also observed (Figure S2), indicating non-equivalency of the hydrogen atom environments. The signals resonating around 11 and 6.7 ppm are noticeably broadened compared to the one near 9 ppm , indicating a higher rate of exchange between the former.


These results are consistent with either of the above tautomers, i.e. either with hydrogen attached to three different N atoms as at left, or as at right with one imino and two amino hydrogen atoms in which the latter are non-equivalent due to strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding. The solidstate structure (Figure 1) shows the dominance of the imino-amino tautomer, but also shows H bonding of H1B to the backbone nitrogen atom N5 which, if exchange occurred, would interconvert it to the diimine. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, seven distinct carbon peaks (Table S2) were observed, which are attributable to the seven different types of carbon atoms in $\mathbf{2 a - e}$.

X-ray structures of imidoylamidines are rare. In fact, no structures of unsubstituted imidoylamidines have been reported in the Cambridge Crystal Structure Database (CSD version 5.31 updated to Nov. 2009), and only one structure of an imidoylamidinium salt is known (with $\left[\mathrm{Se}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{10}\right]^{2-}$ as the counter ion). ${ }^{10}$ However, crystal structures of imidoylamidinate anions
coordinated to various metals are known, ${ }^{11}$ and the structure of the related parent biguanide has been reported. ${ }^{12}$ Colorless crystalline plates of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathbf{2 e}$ were grown by sublimation in a three-zone tube furnace under dynamic vacuum and their structures were determined at low temperature by X-ray diffraction. All three crystal structures contain two crystallographically independent molecules which display very similar inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding ${ }^{13}$ as shown by dashed lines in Figure 1 for compound 2c as a representative example. The atom numbering scheme is the same for all three structures to facilitate comparison; the H -bonding data for $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathbf{2 e}$ are discussed in the Supplementary Information (Table S3).


Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the two independent hydrogen-bonded molecules of 2c as found in the crystal at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ along with an additional H 4 B ' atom to indicate how the chain propagates in the lattice. The H1B-N5 and H4B'-N2 bonds can be viewed as incipient tautomerism leading to the diimine isomer. Only the principal components of the disordered $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups are shown for clarity. (Figures S 3 and S 4 are plots of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and 2e.)

The average bond lengths and angles determined from all six crystallographically independent molecules of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathbf{2 e}$ are shown in Figure 2. This clearly shows that C8-N3 is short, characteristic of an imine, while C7-N1 and C7-N2 are identical within e.s.d. and of intermediate length, characteristic of a highly delocalized amidine. Aryl/ $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substitution
therefore leads to a rather unsymmetrical imidoyl amidine geometry, approximating to an iminosubstituted amidine.


Figure 2. Average bond lengths ( $\AA$, top) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$, bottom) from crystal structures of the six independent imidoylamidine molecules found in $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathbf{2 e}$. Errors are standard deviations.

Conversion to $\mathbf{N}$-imidoylamidine hydrochlorides. Direct reaction between 2c and sulfur dichloride to form $\mathbf{4 c}$ resulted in low yields of product as an intractable oil that proved hard to purify. However, pacifying the reactive nitrogen base with HCl increased the yield of $\mathbf{4 c}$ significantly and afforded a low-melting solid. Therefore all the imidoylamidines were converted with $\mathrm{HCl}(g)$ in dry diethyl ether to the corresponding hydrochloride salts $\mathbf{3 a - e}$ which precipitated as white, insoluble solids that were characterized by infrared spectroscopy. Each adduct has a unique fingerprint region but similar broad bands in the $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range characteristic for NH stretches involved in hydrogen bonding.


Figure 3. Thermal ellipsoids ( $30 \%$ ) plots with atom numbering schemes showing the molecular structures of (a) $\mathbf{4 b}$ and (b) $\mathbf{4 e}$ as they are found within the crystal lattices at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Only the principal components of the disordered $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups are shown.

1-chloro-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazines. An excess of $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ was used to ensure the completion of the reaction of the imidoylamidine hydrochloride salts $\mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{e}$ to the 1 -chlorothiatriazines 4a-e. Crude yields were typically high ( $>80 \%$ ), and these materials were used without further purification in the synthesis of the radicals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Table S 4 ) confirmed ring formation through absence of NH signals and only the phenyl and parasubstituted hydrogen atoms appear in the spectra between 7.0 and 8.6 ppm . The signals of $\mathrm{H}_{1}$, meta to the thiatriazine core, are weakly affected by heterocycle formation, shifting only $\sim 0.10$ ppm downfield compared to the imidoylamidines. However the signals of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, ortho to the heterocyclic core, are shifted downfield by $\sim 0.60 \mathrm{ppm}$. This is diagnostic for formation of a thiazyl ring; for example, the chemical shifts of the ortho hydrogen atoms in comparably substituted 1,5-dithia-2,4,6,8-tetrazocine heterocycles are very similar to those of 4a-e. ${ }^{14}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR was not obtained due to instability of the compounds to hydrolysis. However, extremely
moisture-sensitive X-ray quality crystals could be grown for $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 e}$ from acetonitrile solutions at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the crystal structures were determined at low temperature. These structures confirm the formation of the six-membered ring with an almost perpendicular $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond, as shown in Figure 3. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ atoms are co-planar (maximum deviation $0.047(1) \AA$ ) with the sulfur atoms tipped slightly out of the plane $(0.288(2) \AA \mathbf{4 b}$ and $0.323(2) \AA \mathbf{4 e})$. The interatomic distances in $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 e}$ are quite comparable. Averaged bond lengths and angles from the two structures are presented in Figure 4. The phenyl rings in both structures have average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths of $1.389(10) \AA$ and are essentially co-planar with the heterocyclic core. The packing of $\mathbf{4 e}$ shows regular stacks aligned with the crystallographic $c$ axis without any significant short contacts but $\mathbf{4 b}$ has typical $\mathrm{S}\left(\delta^{+}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(\delta^{-}\right)$short contacts between pairs of rings (Figure S5). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~d}}$


The sensitivity of $\mathbf{4}$ to moisture is highlighted by the hydrolysis of $\mathbf{4 c}$ from adventitious $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to give $\mathbf{6}$ as colorless blocks suitable for X-ray analysis (Figures S6-8). The hydrolysis product 6 surprisingly retains the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond (unlike $\{\mathrm{PhCN}\}_{2}\{\mathrm{NH}\} \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}, 7$ ), ${ }^{15}$ but a $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{NH}$ moiety is eliminated while the sulfur is converted to oxidation state VI.


Figure 4. Average bond lengths ( $\AA$, top) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$, bottom) with standard deviations from crystal structures of the 1-chlorothiatriazines $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 e}$.


Figure 5. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot and atom numbering scheme showing the solid-state face-to-face and head-to-head dimerization of $\mathbf{5 c}$, which comprises the asymmetric unit in the lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dashed line indicates the close contact between sulfur atoms, for which the $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~S} 20$ distance is $2.625(1) \AA$. The structure of 5 a (Figure S 9 ) is very similar with an S10 $\cdots$ S20 distance of $2.6370(3) \AA$.

5-Aryl-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls. Several reducing agents have been used previously to effect the reductive elimination of chloride ion from 1 -chloro-1,2,4,6thiatriazines. In early work, sodium verdazyl was often used. ${ }^{5}$ Here we employed triphenylantimony because of its general utility in the reduction of thiazyl halides. ${ }^{5 e, f}$ A halfmolar ratio of solid triphenylantimony was added by a solids-addition bulb to acetonitrile solutions of $\mathbf{4 a - e}$ after careful removal of oxygen via freeze-thaw-degassing. Reduction to the radical was immediate in all cases, as the solution turned from a clear dark red to a dark purple (almost black) solution with precipitation of some solid. Precipitated crude products were purified by sublimation in a three zone tube furnace and produced X-ray quality plates of the radicals 5a-e. Diffraction data was obtained at $-100 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the structures solved and refined at this temperature; however in the case of $\mathbf{5 b}$ persistent high R-factors were encountered and hence the structure was re-determined at RT with better results. Formation of a superlattice is suspected at the lower temperature. In each case, the solid-state structures display the same face-to-face and head-to-head (presumably diamagnetic) dimers obtained previously for bis(3,5-diphenyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl) $\mathbf{8},{ }^{5 \mathrm{e}}$ despite the presence of the bulky $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups. For both $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$, one kind of crystallographically independent dimer is observed in their crystal lattices (Figure 5). For $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$, two sets of wedge-shaped dimers are found stacked back-to-front in the lattice (Figure 6).
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Figure 6. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecular structure of $\mathbf{5 b}$ found within the crystal lattice at $23(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four crystallographically independent molecules form into two distinct dimers. The S10 $\cdots$ S20 distance is $2.684(1) \AA$ and the S30 $\cdots$ S40 distance is $2.6515(8) \AA$. A very similar arrangement is found in the lattice for $\mathbf{5 d}$ (Figure S10) with S10 $\cdots$ S20 and S30 $\cdots$ S40 distances of $2.659(1) \AA$ and $2.635(1) \AA$, respectively.

The shortest contact between $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ rings is always through the sulfur atoms, with an average distance of $2.643(21) \AA$. This is longer than a normal disulfide linkage but within range of other known thiatriazinyls such as $\mathbf{8},{ }^{5 e}$ whose shortest contact is $2.666(3) \AA$ and $3,5-$ bis(dimethylamino)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl 9, whose shortest contact is again through the sulfur atoms at $2.5412(8) \AA .{ }^{16}$ Average bond lengths and angles were calculated for the entire series 5a-e, and the results are presented in Figure 7. The average $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length over both bonds (SX0-NX0 and SX0-NX2, X=1-4) is $1.633(10) \AA$, which is shorter than the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ single bond in $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}(1.665 \AA)$, and longer than the NAS bond in $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(1.616 \AA)$. The average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length in the ring is $1.332(16) \AA$, longer than an average $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond $\left(\sim 1.270(15) \AA{ }^{\circ}\right),{ }^{17}$ and shorter than an average C-N single bond (1.472(5) A). A typical heterocyclic CAN bond length is approximately $1.352(5) \AA .{ }^{17}$ The asymmetric substitution pattern seen in 5a-e allows for a
comparison of the bond lengths and angles with the symmetric diphenyl and dimethylamino analogues $\mathbf{8}$ and 9 . The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths are very similar, as are the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths with only the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}$ bond closest to the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group averaging slightly longer than in $\mathbf{8}$. The bond angles within the heterocyclic core are significantly less symmetrical with asymmetric substitution on the ring. Thus, the internal angles at the carbon of attachment of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group are noticeably larger than in $\mathbf{8} .{ }^{5 e}$ Correspondingly the average internal angles at sulfur are noticeably smaller than those in $\mathbf{8}$.


Figure 7. Comparison of bond lengths ( $\AA$, top) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$, bottom) in 1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls determined from five crystal structures. A common numbering scheme was used among crystallographically independent monomers $(X=1-4)$. The average intradimer short $S \cdots S$ contact distance is $2.643(21) \AA$.

In all five structures, the many independent $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ rings are almost planar (deviations in the range of $0.003-0.054 \AA$ ). The mean planes of each set of dimers are tipped towards each other such that the shortest contacts are always $S \cdots S$, and the planes intersect with angles between normals in the range of $5-17^{\circ}$. Three distinct packing modes are found in the solid state amongst these five thiatriazinyl structures. In $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ there is a simple $2+2$ mode, wherein two dimeric units (Figure 6) are centrosymmetrically associated through sideways $\mathrm{S}\left(\delta^{+}\right) \cdots \mathrm{N}\left(\delta^{-}\right)$ short contacts. However, the pairs of dimers are essentially co-planar in the case of 5a (Figure S11), while in $\mathbf{5 c}$ they are almost exactly out of register (Figure S12). These tetrameric units are isolated from other such units in the crystal lattice. Sideways $S \cdots \mathrm{~N}$ contacts range from 2.941(1) to 3.341 (1) Å. In $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ there are four independent molecules that form two sets of typical dimers. However, the two sets of dimers are each associated with each other through $\mathrm{S}\left(\delta^{+}\right) \cdots \mathrm{N}\left(\delta^{-}\right)$short contacts (Figures S13, S14). Interestingly, in each structure one such tetrameric unit is closer to the co-planarity that is observed in $\mathbf{5 a}$, while a second is out-ofregister as in 5c. The variation in sideways $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ contacts observed in these two structures is larger, from $3.025(2)$ to $3.551(2) \AA$. The final example is $\mathbf{5 e}$ (Figure 8) for which four independent thiatriazinyls form one tetramer in the asymmetric unit. The sideways $\mathrm{S}\left(\delta^{+}\right) \cdots \mathrm{N}\left(\delta^{-}\right)$ interactions for this structure range from 3.034(2) to $3.199(2) \AA$ and the association is out-ofregister as in $\mathbf{5 c}$. This structure is particularly interesting because there is a further interaction between neighboring S40, N40 rings leading to a partial overlap of two adjacent tetramers (Figures S15, S16). Shortest atomic contacts are S40‥C41' at 3.447(2) A. This structure contains the most extensive set of interactions between thiatriazinyls ever reported but, although eight radicals are thereby associated, the interactions do not extend throughout the lattice.


Figure 8. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecular structure of $\mathbf{5 e}$ found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four crystallographically independent molecules form into two distinct dimers with intra-dimer S10 $\cdots$ S20 and S30 $\cdots$ S40 distances of $2.6237(9) \AA$ and $2.6255(8) \AA$, respectively. These dimers associate into a single tetrameric set through $\mathrm{S}\left(\delta^{+}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(\delta^{-}\right)$interactions (shown in red). The S20 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 30$ and $\mathrm{S} 30 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 20$ distances are 3.169(2) $\AA$ and 3.199(2) $\AA$, while $\mathrm{S} 40 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 20$ and S10 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 30$ distances are 3.082(2) $\AA$ and $3.034(2) \AA$, respectively. The rings belonging to the dimers are out of register with each other as indicated by the different interaction lengths.

EPR Spectroscopy. The 1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls are members of a larger class of unsaturated $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ compounds for which stable neutral free radicals can be generated. ${ }^{1 \text { a }}$ In this work, the trifluoromethyl thiatriazinyls 5a-e were generated by dissolving high purity sublimed crystals in dichloromethane inside vacuum sealed EPR tubes. In all cases, well-defined EPR spectra with high signal-to-noise ratios were obtained displaying complex splitting patterns due to coupling to the three nonequivalent nitrogen nuclei in the thiatriazinyl ring along with three equivalent fluorine atoms of the directly attached $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups which cause each subpeak to split into small quartets. Excellent agreement was obtained between the experimental and simulated EPR spectra for all five compounds (Figure 9 shows a typical example; see also Figures S17S20). However, the assignment of the hyperfine splitting (hfs) to the three nitrogen atoms required input from quantum calculations. The electronic structures of 5a-e were determined from UB3LYP/6-31G(d) hybrid-DFT calculations (using Gaussian 98 ) ${ }^{18}$ for the monomeric
radicals in the gas phase. Full geometry optimization was undertaken for each structure and frequency calculations confirmed the geometries to be minima. The experimental and calculated hfs constants are compiled in Table 1.


Figure 9. (a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra of $\mathbf{5 d}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C1}_{2}$ at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, modulation amplitude 0.01 mT , modulation frequency 100 kHz . Simulations were performed with WinSim (version $0.98,2002$ ) ${ }^{19}$ software using a $100 \%$ Lorentzian lineshape.

The unpaired electron occupies a $\pi$-SOMO which is delocalized over the heterocyclic core, a representation of which is shown in Figure 10 using $\mathbf{5 b}$ as a typical example. The largest coefficient is on sulfur, but there is considerable unpaired electron density on each of the three nitrogen atoms and to a lesser extent on the carbon atoms in the ring. There are small coefficients on the fluorine atoms of the directly bound $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group, as well as on the phenyl carbon atoms. There is, however, no experimental evidence for hyperfine coupling to the aryl ring hydrogen atoms and hfs from ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ is likely to result mostly from spin polarization.


Figure 10. Kohn-Sham isosurface of the $\pi$-SOMO of $\mathbf{5 b}$ from a UB3LYP/6-31G(d) calculation.

In each case there are three distinct $a_{\mathrm{N}}$ values, except for $\mathbf{5 a}$ where two of the values are nearly identical. (Such accidental degeneracy of symmetry-non-equivalent nitrogen atoms has been reported for 3,5-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl, for which all three nitrogen atoms appear to have identical hfs of 0.3927 mT$).{ }^{20}$ The trends in the hfs with the remote para R substituents on the phenyl ring are quite convincing. As controls we can cite the powerfully $\pi$ electron donating $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ groups directly bound in 3,5-bis(dimethylamino)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl $9,{ }^{20}$ in which $a_{\mathrm{N} 2}=a_{\mathrm{N} 6}$ is twice as large as $a_{\mathrm{N} 4}$. Conversely, with two powerfully electron withdrawing $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ groups directly attached in 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4,6thiatriazinyl ${ }^{24}$ and 3,5-bis(trichloromethyl)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl, ${ }^{5 \mathrm{~d}, 20 \mathrm{~b}} a_{\mathrm{N} 4}$ is found to be larger by about $40 \%$ than $a_{\mathrm{N} 2}$ and $a_{\mathrm{N} 6}$. The hyperfine splitting constants of $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- e}$ are intermediate between these extremes, as expected. Nevertheless as the remote para substituents become more electron donating (from e to a), the $a_{\mathrm{N} 6}$ value increases due to greater spin density on the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}$ region of the ring. The spin density on the remaining nitrogen atoms decrease correspondingly, such that the sums of $a_{\mathrm{N}}$ values are found to be 1.190(5) for all five exemplars (standard deviation). Moreover, the variation in $a_{\mathrm{N}}$ values smoothly follow Hammet $\sigma(\mathrm{p})$ coefficients (Figure S21). Thus EPR spectroscopy proves to be a very sensitive probe of the unpaired spin density on
thiatriazinyl rings. The directly bound $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group causes further splitting of the EPR signals into quartets, implying rotational averaging of the three fluorine nuclei, but the $a_{\mathrm{F}}$ values are small. Similar $a_{\mathrm{F}}$ values have been reported for 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyl. ${ }^{20}$

Table 1. Experimental and calculated ${ }^{a}$ EPR spectroscopic data for $\mathbf{5 a - e}$.


|  | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{N} \mathbf{2}}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{N} \mathbf{4}}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{N} \mathbf{6}}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{C} \mathbf{5} \mathbf{5}(\mathrm{avg})}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{S} \mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{F}(\mathrm{avg})}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cmpd | Expt <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Calc <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Expt <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Calc <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Expt <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Calc <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Expt <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Calc <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Calc $^{b}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Expt $^{c}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Calc <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ |
|  | 0.310 | 0.297 | 0.435 | 0.409 | 0.436 | 0.460 | 0.705 | -0.518 | 0.555 | 0.032 | -0.063 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | 0.320 | 0.309 | 0.444 | 0.418 | 0.429 | 0.443 | 0.545 | -0.531 | 0.555 | 0.036 | -0.068 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | 0.325 | 0.313 | 0.445 | 0.422 | 0.425 | 0.437 | 0.675 | -0.536 | 0.555 | 0.039 | -0.069 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | 0.324 | 0.313 | 0.446 | 0.422 | 0.419 | 0.433 | 0.572 | -0.534 | 0.558 | 0.040 | -0.068 |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | 0.332 | 0.319 | 0.454 | 0.428 | 0.404 | 0.423 | 0.666 | -0.542 | 0.558 | 0.044 | -0.070 |

${ }^{a}$ From UB3LYP calculations, multiplied by a scaling factor of $0.81 .{ }^{b}$ Sulfur hfs was not observable due to the very small natural abundance of this isotope. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{Hfs}$ is to the three fluorine atoms in the directly bound $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group.

Voltammetry. The study of redox active heterocycles requires handling of low concentrations of free radicals in solution which are highly susceptible to decomposition from oxygen or hydrolysis by adventitious moisture. An all-glass electrochemical cell sealed under vacuum which has been described previously was used in this work to avoid interferences. ${ }^{21}$ Cyclic voltammetric studies were performed in both $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solutions at temperatures of $20 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and scan rates of $v=0.1-10 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The voltammetric results at full concentration are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Voltammetry Data for compounds 5a-e in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at full concentration. ${ }^{a}$

| Cmpd | Conc. $(\mathrm{mM})$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{al}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{V})^{b}$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{a} 2}(\mathrm{~V})^{c}$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{c3}}(\mathrm{~V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\text {3 }}(\mathrm{V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{V})^{d}$ | $E_{\text {cell }}(\mathrm{V})^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solns with $0.1 \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ supporting electrolyte |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 a}{ }^{f}$ | 7.3 | -0.64 | -0.51 | -0.58 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 1.27 |
| 5b | 6.3 | -0.60 | -0.51 | -0.56 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 1.32 |
| 5c ${ }^{g}$ | 10.0 | -0.60 | -0.48 | -0.54 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 1.36 |
| 5d ${ }^{h}$ | 11.0 | -0.55 | -0.45 | -0.50 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 1.34 |
| 5e ${ }^{i}$ | 6.7 | -0.51 | -0.42 | -0.47 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 1.38 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solns with $0.4 \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ supporting electrolyte |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 a}{ }^{j}$ | 10.0 | -0.66 | -0.55 | -0.61 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 0.72 | 1.33 |
| 5b | 8.7 | -0.66 | -0.54 | -0.60 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 1.40 |
| 5c ${ }^{k}$ | 11.0 | -0.64 | -0.52 | -0.58 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 1.43 |
| 5d ${ }^{l}$ | 9.0 | -0.63 | -0.47 | -0.55 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.98 | 0.89 | 1.44 |
| 5e ${ }^{m}$ | 6.7 | -0.55 | -0.44 | -0.50 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 1.44 |

${ }^{a}$ Reported vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ on a Pt working electrode, $v=0.2 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$, $\mathrm{T}=20 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} E_{\mathrm{m}}=\left[E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{al}}+\right.$ $\left.E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{cl} 1}\right] / 2 \approx E^{0 /} .{ }^{c}$ Irreversible wave observed. ${ }^{d} E_{\mathrm{n}}=\left[E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{a} 3}+E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{c} 3}\right] / 2 \approx E^{0 / e} E_{\text {cell }}=E_{\mathrm{n}}-E_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{f}{ }^{f}$ IRR oxidation wave at $1.75 \mathrm{~V} .{ }^{g}$ IRR reduction wave at -1.87 V . ${ }^{h}$ IRR reduction wave at -1.80 V . ${ }^{i}$ IRR reduction wave at $-2.07 \mathrm{~V} .{ }^{j}$ IRR oxidation wave at $1.84 \mathrm{~V} .{ }^{k}$ IRR reduction wave at -1.78 V. ${ }^{l}$ IRR reduction wave at $-1.76 \mathrm{~V} .{ }^{m}$ IRR reduction wave at -1.65 V .

The 1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls are very soluble in dichloromethane and moderately soluble in acetonitrile. In all cases the electrochemical response changed with analyte concentration, with the appearance of a new redox couple at more positive potentials as the solution became more concentrated (Figure 11). This change correlated with the color of the solution (from pale yellow to deep brown) as more analyte was added. From the current response of the CV data, the active concentrations from voltammetry taken when the solutions were pale yellow are estimated to be 10 - 20 times lower than the nominal concentration values listed in Table 2. At very low concentration (evidenced by a pale yellow color in solution) the peaks associated with reduction
of neutral material $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{c} 1 / \mathrm{al}}\right)$ have a large return wave over a range of scan rates, while that for oxidation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 2}\right)$ shows no return wave at scan rates up to $10 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure 11. Overlapping CV's of the redox couples of $\mathbf{5 e}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution ( 0.1 M $\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ ) at: a) dilute concentration (blue), b) moderate concentration (red), and c) high concentration (black).

As the concentration increased (evidenced by development of brown color), a second oxidation process $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{cs} 3}\right)$ appeared at a more positive potential and in the limit this process displayed return waves of comparable peak current height $\left(I_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{c3}} / I_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{a3}}=0.95(\mathbf{5 a}), 0.96(\mathbf{5 b}), 0.89\right.$ $(\mathbf{5 c}), 0.93(\mathbf{5 d}), 0.93(\mathbf{5 e}))$ under all the conditions used in this study. Figure 11 shows overlapping traces at three different concentrations of $\mathbf{5 e}$. At the highest concentration process $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 2}$ is just a residual shoulder on the reversible wave at more anodic potential. Much the same thing occurred for all five compounds in both solvents. In each case, there was only a single potential associated with reduction of neutral material at all concentrations studied.

Table 3. CV Data for compounds 5a-e in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at low concentration. ${ }^{a}$

| Cmpd | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{c} 1}(\mathrm{~V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{a} 1}(\mathrm{~V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{V})^{b}$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{a} 2}(\mathrm{~V})^{c}$ | $E_{\text {cell }}(\mathrm{V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| solns with | $0.1 \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ | supporting electrolyte |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | -0.60 | -0.54 | -0.57 | 0.59 | 1.13 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | -0.59 | -0.52 | -0.56 | 0.67 | 1.19 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | -0.57 | -0.50 | -0.54 | 0.73 | 1.23 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | -0.53 | -0.46 | -0.50 | 0.74 | 1.20 |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | -0.51 | -0.41 | -0.46 | 0.84 | 1.25 |

$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solns with $0.4 \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ supporting electrolyte

| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | -0.54 | -0.47 | -0.51 | 0.66 | 1.13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | -0.53 | -0.47 | -0.50 | 0.71 | 1.18 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | -0.50 | -0.45 | -0.48 | 0.76 | 1.21 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | -0.49 | -0.42 | -0.46 | 0.81 | 1.23 |
| 5e | -0.45 | -0.38 | -0.42 | 0.91 | 1.29 |

${ }^{a}$ Reported vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ on a Pt working electrode, $v=0.2 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}, \mathrm{~T}=20 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} E_{\mathrm{m}}=\left[E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{al}}+\right.$ $\left.E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{c} 1}\right] / 2 \approx E^{0 /} .{ }^{c}$ Irreversible wave observed. ${ }^{d} E_{\text {cell }}=E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 2}-E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 1}$.

When the solutions are at the full indicated concentration (Table 2) the potential range for the "reduction" process is from -0.58 to -0.47 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and -0.61 to -0.50 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and has an overall change of 0.11 V in both solvents. The potential range for the "oxidation" process is from 0.69 to 0.91 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and 0.72 to 0.94 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and has an overall change of 0.22 V . The average $E_{\text {cell }}$ values $\left(E_{\text {cell }}=E_{\mathrm{n}}-E_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ are $1.33 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~V}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $1.41 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~V}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, with a small but notable increase ( 0.11 V in both solvents) as the substituent R moves from electron donating to electron withdrawing. Values for $\mathbf{5 d}$ are slightly lower than expected in both solvents, although the individual $E_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{n}}$ values for $\mathbf{5 d}$ still follow the expected trend.

At lower concentrations (Table 3) the potential range for the "reduction" process is from -0.57 to -0.46 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (change of 0.11 V ) and -0.51 to $-0.42 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs}. \mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (change of 0.09 V ). The potential range for the irreversible "oxidation" process is from 0.59 to 0.84 V vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and 0.66 to $0.91 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs}. \mathrm{Fc}^{0 /+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for an overall change of 0.25 V in both solvents. Average $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}$ values (where $E_{\text {cell }}=E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 2}-E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 1}$ ) are $1.20 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~V}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $1.21 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{~V}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, which are essentially identical for both solvents. This contrasts with the small but notable change in $E_{\text {cell }}$ values ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{mV}$ ) at higher concentrations between the two solvents. For a monomeric neutral thiatriazinyl radical, the redox process is assumed to involve removal from, or addition to the $\pi$-SOMO, and can be represented as:


Since the same redox orbital is involved in the electrochemical oxidation and reduction reactions, one expects the substituents R to influence both redox processes by the same amount, so long as the influence is purely inductive. Exactly this has been observed in electrochemical investigations of more than twenty 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyls. ${ }^{3}$ However, unlike the dithiadiazolyls, the redox orbital of the thiatriazinyls (the SOMO, Figure 10) does not have a node at the substituent-bearing carbon atoms. It is to be expected, therefore, that resonance effects can have a significant influence. These are expected to affect the oxidation and reduction processes differently.

Another factor that cannot be discounted is the effect of dimer formation on the redox processes since thiatriazinyls form strong dimers ( $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}=2.643(21) \AA$ ) compared to $1,2,3,5-$
dithiadiazolyls (mean $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}=3.03-3.16 \AA){ }^{3 c, 22}$ In a dimeric thiatriazine the redox process can be represented as:


The formation of thiatriazinyl dimers has been convincingly interpreted as the result of diffuse side-on overlap of the $3 b_{1} \pi$-orbital of the individual thiatriazinyl rings (Figure 12) in a face-toface dimeric arrangement similar to that found in the solid state for dithionite salts. ${ }^{5 e, 23}$ This overlap represents the only net "bonding" interaction between the rings; that it is centered on a single sulfur atom which has the largest share of the unpaired spin density leads to relatively strong bonding. The dimer is a diamagnetic species and hence oxidation occurs from a different orbital (the in-phase combination) than reduction (the out-of-phase combination). It is therefore to be expected that the substituents influence these distinct orbitals by different amounts.


In-Phase


Out-of-Phase

Figure 12. The in-phase and out-of-phase combinations of the $3 b_{1}$ SOMO of model 3,5-dihydro-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls.

Digital Modeling of CVs of the $\mathbf{1 , 2 , 4 , 6}$-thiatriazinyls. In order to further probe the effect of monomer-dimer equilibrium on the voltammetry we turned to digital modeling of the voltammograms at both low and high concentrations using DigiElch software. ${ }^{24}$ Starting with lower concentrations of the radical in solution ( $\sim 1 / 10$ the values listed in Table 2), we established
a plausible model for the monomer undergoing both a chemically irreversible oxidation and a reversible reduction. The resultant CV is shown as the blue trace in Figure 13. In this case, the model assumes that following a one-electron oxidation of the thiatriazinyl radical (abbreviated as [TTA] ${ }^{\circ}$ in Scheme 2) there is a chemically irreversible first-order decay step $\left(K_{\text {eq } 4}=10^{6}, k_{\mathrm{f} 4}=5\right.$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ). No chemical step is associated with the one-electron reduction. Then, at higher concentrations the model which fit most closely to the experimental CVs follows the "ladder" scheme shown in Scheme $2 .{ }^{25}$ The favorable formation of the dimer $\left[\mathrm{TTA}_{2}\right]$ at higher concentrations ( $K_{\text {eq1 }}=400-800, k_{\mathrm{f} 1}=1 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and the unfavorable formation of the dication dimer $\left[\mathrm{TTA}_{2}\right]^{2+}\left(K_{\mathrm{eq} 2}<1, k_{\mathrm{f} 2}=0.01 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ results in very satisfactory simulations (they are a good visual match to experimental data, see black trace in Figure 13) when the oxidation of the [ $\mathrm{TTA}_{2}$ ] dimer is a two-electron process. Assuming a one-electron oxidation for this step does not result in a good match. The formation of the monoanionic dimer $\left[\mathrm{TTA}_{2}\right]^{\bullet-}$ from $[\mathrm{TTA}]^{\circ}+$ $[\mathrm{TTA}]^{-}$was also favored $\left(K_{\mathrm{eq} 3}=271, k_{\mathrm{f} 3}<10 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

The value for $K_{\text {eq1 }}$ of $400-800$ is in reasonable agreement with previously derived equilibrium constants for association of diphenyl thiatriazinyls and selenatriazinyls by Oakley et al. from EPR experiments $\left(K_{\text {eq }}=33\right.$ for sulfur and $K_{\text {eq }}=2000$ for selenium). ${ }^{6}$ Similar investigations into the monomer-dimer equilibrium for 4-(2'-pyridyl)-1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl ( $K_{\text {eq }}$ $\cong 0.05$ at RT) have been undertaken using absorption spectroscopy. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{e}}$ The self-consistent fit of these data to the "ladder" scheme is thus satisfying and chemically reasonable in view of the large association constants expected for thiatriazinyls. That the dication favors monomers fits well with removal of the very electrons involved in the association. The only surprise is the apparent "decomposition" of monomeric cation under inert conditions where these $6 \pi$ Hückel
species are expected to be thermally stable. ${ }^{5 i}$ We propose that the irreversibility of this electrode process may involve electron self-exchange. ${ }^{26}$ Thus a rapid pseudo first order cascade reaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{TTA}]^{+}+[\mathrm{TTA}]^{\bullet} \leftrightarrow[\mathrm{TTA}]^{\bullet}+[\mathrm{TTA}]^{+} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

could remove the cation from the electrode surface when the dominant species in solution is $[\mathrm{TTA}]^{\circ}$. On the other hand, when $\left[\mathrm{TTA}_{2}\right]$ is the dominant species, the driving force for the selfexchange reaction weakens and this reaction may become sluggish. While we believe that this mechanism is a plausible proposal, detailed testing in which actual voltammograms are fit to theory will be required to obtain precise values for the kinetic parameters. Such an undertaking is beyond the scope of the current project.


Figure 13. Calculated CVs for both (a) monomeric (blue line) and (b) dimeric (black line) solutions of $\mathbf{5 e}$ resulting from the input of kinetic parameters listed in the text and following the "ladder" scheme shown in Scheme 2. These CVs follow the specific case of $v=0.2 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, K_{\text {eq } 1}=$ $400, k_{\mathrm{f} 1}=1 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, K_{\mathrm{eq} 2}=0.0766, k_{\mathrm{f} 2}=0.01 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, K_{\mathrm{eq} 3}=271, k_{\mathrm{f} 3}=1 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, K_{\mathrm{eq} 4}=10^{6}, k_{\mathrm{f} 4}=$ $5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (first-order decay of $\left.[\mathrm{TTA}]^{+}\right), k_{\mathrm{s} 1}=k_{\mathrm{s} 2}=k_{\mathrm{s} 3}=k_{\mathrm{s} 4}=0.03 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, conc. dimer $=0.01 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, conc. monomer $0.001 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. The potential was first swept in the cathodic direction starting from 0.4 V .

Correlation of redox potentials with gas phase calculations. Previous studies have shown that quantum calculations, when used judiciously, can provide corroboration of electrochemical results. ${ }^{3}$ Fully geometry optimized calculations at the (U)B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory were performed for the monomeric cation, anion, and neutral thiatriazine derivatives.

No attempt was made to model the weakly bound dimeric molecules. The energies of these optimized molecules were then used to define the theoretical redox reactions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 /+1 \text { process: } E_{\text {cation }}-E_{\text {radical }}=E_{\text {oxidation }}  \tag{4}\\
& -1 / 0 \text { process: } E_{\text {anion }}-E_{\text {radical }}=E_{\text {reduction }} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Scheme 2. Proposed "Ladder" Scheme interrelating the voltammetric behavior of thiatriazinyls at lower and higher concentrations.


Table 4 lists the calculated and experimental redox potentials for 5a-e from the experimental CV data at low concentrations taken from Table 3, and correlation curves are presented in Figure 14. The results are graphed by process type and each graph includes results for both solvents.

Table 4. Calculated and experimental redox potentials of 5a-e for the monomeric radical.

| $-1 / 0$ process |  |  |  | $0 /+1$ process |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd | Calc $(\mathrm{eV})$ | $E_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{Calc}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{a} 1}(\mathrm{~V})$ | $E_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\mathrm{a} 1}(\mathrm{~V})$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | -1.89 | -0.57 | -0.51 | 7.29 | 0.59 | 0.66 |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | -1.94 | -0.56 | -0.50 | 7.50 | 0.67 | 0.71 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | -1.99 | -0.54 | -0.48 | 7.65 | 0.73 | 0.76 |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | -2.16 | -0.50 | -0.46 | 7.72 | 0.74 | 0.81 |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | -2.25 | -0.46 | -0.42 | 7.90 | 0.84 | 0.91 |
| $\Delta$ | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Excellent correlation is obtained between the calculated gas phase and experimental solution phase oxidation and reduction potentials of the monomeric radicals $\mathbf{5 a - e}$, which is particularly noteworthy for the $0 /+1$ process which uses peak potentials from an irreversible process in both solvents. ${ }^{3 b}$ The reliability of the electrochemical data for this series obtained from CV is confirmed by this correlation. The solution oxidation and reduction potentials also correlate well with Hammett $\sigma_{p}$ substituent constants (Figures S22, S23). ${ }^{27}$



Figure 14. Plot of the calculated vs. experimental monomer reduction potentials (top) and oxidation potentials (bottom) of $\mathbf{5 a - e}$ as measured by cyclic voltammetry in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (black line, $\mathrm{R}=0.975$, top and $\mathrm{R}=0.975$, bottom), and (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (red line, $\mathrm{R}=0.993$, top and $\mathrm{R}=0.994$, bottom) solution. Error bars express an estimated error in measured potential of $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~V}$.

## Conclusions

This paper reports a comprehensive investigation of a complete series of unsymmetrical aryl/trifluoromethyl-substituted thiatriazinyls. The synthetic work has elaborated new and versatile imidoylamidine reagents and demonstrated their ability to form the ring compounds through direct condensation with sulfur halides. Conversion to the neutral thiatriazinyls was successful for all five exemplars. All the synthetic results are supported by thorough structural studies that provide extremely reliable mean parameters from high-quality, low-temperature diffraction data. The complex EPR spectral data are shown to fit to well-defined substituent trends even though the variation in hfs values is small. Finally, complex voltammetric behavior involving the first reliably-detected monomer-dimer equilibria in the history of the electrochemistry of thiazyl rings has been modeled using sophisticated digital software to provide a convincing interpretation that is consistent with the predicted chemical nature of the various redox products. Further work on thiatriazinyls including detailed fitting of monomerdimer equilibria to voltammetric data is in progress in our laboratory and will be reported at a future time.

## Experimental

General procedures. Trifluoroacetonitrile (PCR Inc.) was obtained commercially and used as received. $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ (Aldrich) was distilled from $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ moisture protection. Triphenylantimony (Aldrich) and $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$ (Praxair) were obtained commercially and used as received. The substituted aryl amidine hydrochlorides were prepared according to the literature method. ${ }^{7}$ Unless otherwise indicated, all procedures were performed under an atmosphere of purified $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ using a drybox, Schlenkware, and vacuum-line techniques. Solvents used were reagent-grade or better. Acetonitrile (HPLC grade) was double-distilled from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$;
dichloromethane was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2} ; n$-Heptane was dried by distillation over $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$; toluene over sodium; anhydrous diethyl ether was dried by distillation from sodium wire.

Spectroscopic methods. Infrared spectra were obtained as KBr plates and were recorded on a Bomem MB102 Fourier transform spectrometer. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal melting point apparatus (capillaries) and are uncorrected. EPR spectra (X band) were recorded on a Bruker EMX-113/12 spectrometer as solutions in dichloromethane in 4 mm Pyrex glass tubes sealed under vacuum. NMR spectra were acquired at $250.13\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 62.90 $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{MHz}$ on a Bruker/Tecmag AC250 spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the solvent and thus as reference. Mass spectra were recorded by the Mass Spectrometry Center, University of Alberta, Edmonton. Microanalyses were performed by M-H-W Laboratories in Phoenix, AZ.

Electrochemical methods and procedures. Crystals of high purity 5a-e were collected, weighed, and loaded into individual break-seal tubes and attached to the electrochemical cell by fusing the glass along with a known mass of ferrocene in a separate break seal. ${ }^{25}$ The electrodes are all platinum and were sealed into the glass and cleaned in between experiments using conc. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were obtained at temperatures of $21 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions containing 0.1 M and $0.4 \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, respectively, as the supporting electrolyte. The electrolyte was loaded into the cell and dried under vacuum in an oil bath set at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight prior to transfer of the solvent. Both $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were distilled and stored over molecular sieves prior to use. The solvents were freeze thaw degassed at least five times prior to their transfer into the cell over a vacuum line. For CVs all measurements were recorded at room temperature $\left(22 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and the scan rates were varied between $0.1-10 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

In a typical experiment, the electrolyte solution is scanned to obtain a background current and determine the effective electrochemical window. Next the seal to analyte is broken and a
small amount is dissolved until a signal with satisfactory $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ is obtained. The concentration of analyte is incrementally increased while obtaining CV traces. Finally, all the analyte is thoroughly mixed with the electrolyte solution to establish a known "full" concentration. After all CV data is satisfactorily recorded, the seal to the reference is broken and a sufficient quantity of ferrocene is added to the cell to obtain an accurate reference for the voltage scale.

X-ray crystallography. Crystals were selected and mounted in Paratone ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ on the ends of thin glass capillaries and cooled on the goniometer head to $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the Bruker lowtemperature accessory attached to the APPEX-II diffractometer. Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073$ $\AA$ ) was used throughout. Multi-scan absorption corrections were applied to all the data sets, and refinement was conducted with full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ using SHELXTL 6.14. ${ }^{28}$ In the case of $\mathbf{5 b}$, data sets collected at $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solve and refine but are stuck at $R_{1}=0.14$ due to a suspected superlattice formation. Therefore another crystal was selected, covered in epoxy cement, and glued to the end of a glass fiber. Diffraction data collected at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ refined to a low R-factor and provided a fully acceptable structure. Details of the refinements and disorder models for typical rotational disorder of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups are provided in the electronic Crystallographic Information File. Crystal data and refinement parameters are also summarized in Table 5. Structures of $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ determined at room temperature as the same as at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Computational details. DFT calculations undertaken for this study employed geometry optimization at the (U)B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. Harmonic vibrational frequencies were calculated for all optimized geometries to confirm that they are stationary points. Calculation of energies and EPR hyperfine splitting (hfs) constants used the same level of theory. All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 98 suite of programs. ${ }^{18}$

Synthesis of amidines 1a-e. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ 1c. Benzamidine hydrochloride hydrate ( 26.3 g , $0.173 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(32.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.576 \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to 175 mL distilled water. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 200$ mL ) was used to extract the product. The solvent was rotary evaporated leaving a pale yellow oil which solidified overnight in the freezer. The solid was sublimed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum to give $12.79 \mathrm{~g}(61.9 \%$ yield $)$ of pure white $\mathbf{1 c} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.42-7.62(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H, phenyl).
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ 1a. 4-methoxybenzonitrile ( $15.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1133 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(27.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1129 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 200 mL distilled diethyl ether and stirred for 20 h with a drying tube attached. A $1: 1$ mixture of ethanol and conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly through a dropping funnel leaving a white precipitate. The solid was recovered by filtration and dried in air. It was then re-dissolved in distilled water and treated with excess NaOH solution affording a pale purple solid. This was sublimed at $91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum to give $3.72 \mathrm{~g}(22.2 \%$ yield) pure 1a. Plate shaped X-ray quality crystals were collected on a cold finger following vacuum sublimation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}\right.$ $\left.=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.57\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ 1b. $p$-Tolunitrile $(6.356 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05425 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(12.47 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05165 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 200 mL distilled diethyl ether and stirred for 22 hrs with a drying tube attached. A $1: 1$ mixture of ethanol and conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly through a dropping funnel to give a pink precipitate. The solid was recovered by filtration and dried in air. It was then redissolved in distilled water and treated with excess $\mathrm{KOH} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times$ 200 mL ) was used to extract the product. The solvent was rotary evaporated and the solution was dried using andrydrous sodium sulfate to give a yellow solid. The solid was sublimed at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
under vacuum to give $1.51 \mathrm{~g}(21.8 \%$ yield $)$ pure $\mathbf{1 b} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.83$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $7.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ 1d. Prepared by the same method reported for 1a using 4chlorobenzonitrile $(7.69 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0559 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0524 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 200 mL distilled diethyl ether. The pale pink color solid was sublimed at $91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum to give $4.33 \mathrm{~g}(53.7 \%$ yield $)$ pure 1d. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $7.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ 1e. Prepared by the same method reported for $\mathbf{1 a}$ using $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$ -trifluoro-p-tolunitrile $(8.94 \mathrm{~g}, 52.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}(12.4 \mathrm{~g}, 51.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 200 mL distilled diethyl ether. After the solid was collected by filtration and air dried it was sublimed at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum to give $5.61 \mathrm{~g}(57.9 \%$ yield $)$ pure 1e. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, $7.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}_{H-H}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-$ $62.02\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$.

Synthesis of trifluoromethyl imidoylamidines 2a-e. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ 2c. Trifluoroacetonitrile $(2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 21.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was measured on a vacuum line by PVT methods and added to $2.53 \mathrm{~g}(21.1$ mmol ) of benzamidine $\mathbf{1 c}$ in 20 mL acetonitrile in a $300 \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ heavy-wall Pyrex ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ reactor fitted with a Rotaflow ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ stopcock equipped with a small magnetic stirring bar at $-196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was warmed to RT, heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 10 min and then cooled to RT and evaporated, leaving a clear oil. Upon refrigeration this solidified to leave 4.37 g of white solid ( $20.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ yield), mp $42-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): 6.8 (s, NH), 7.38-7.54 (m, 3H, phenyl), 7.83-7.88(m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 9.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 117.8(\mathrm{q}, 281$ Hz ), 127.4 ( s ), 129.0 ( s ), 132.2 ( s$), 135.2(\mathrm{~s}), 163.5(\mathrm{q}, 33 \mathrm{~Hz}), 165.9(\mathrm{~s})$. Mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) $214\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 100 \%\right), 169\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{+}, 4 \%\right), 146\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, 12 \%\right), 129\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$,
$6 \%), 104\left(\mathrm{PhCNH}^{+}, 48 \%\right)$. Analysis calculated (found): 50.24 (50.35) \% C, 3.75 (4.01) \% H, 19.53 (19.37) \% N.

4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ 2a. Prepared as 2c using $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1a $(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL acetonitrile. A white solid remained which was sublimed in vacuo at $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to leave 1.43 g of pure white crystals ( $5.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ yield), $\mathrm{mp} 41-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(\delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 9.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 55.7$ (s), 114.3 (s), 117.9 (q, 281 Hz ), 127.3 ( s$), 129.3$ (s), 163.1 (s), 163.4 (q, 33 Hz ), 165.3 (s). Mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) $244\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 42 \%\right.$ ), 199 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{+}, 4 \%\right), 176\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, 20 \%\right), 159\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, 13 \%\right), 134$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhCNH}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. Analysis calculated (found): 48.98 (48.85) \%C, $4.11(4.22) \% \mathrm{H}, 17.14$ (17.32) \%N.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathbf{2 b}$. Prepared as 2c using $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ $(1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL acetonitrile. A pink solid remained which was sublimed in vacuo at $48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to leave 2.48 g of white crystals ( $10.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ yield ), mp $54-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(\delta$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 9.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.0(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 21.7(\mathrm{~s}), 117.8(\mathrm{q}, 281 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.6(\mathrm{~s}), 129.7(\mathrm{~s}), 132.4(\mathrm{~s}), 142.8(\mathrm{~s})$, $163.6(\mathrm{q}, 33 \mathrm{~Hz}), 165.8(\mathrm{~s})$. Mass $\operatorname{spectrum~(m/e)} 228\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 100 \%\right), 183$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{+}, 2 \%\right), 160\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, 7 \%\right), 143\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, 4 \%\right), 118\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhCNH}^{+}\right.$, $30 \%$ ). Analysis calculated (found): 52.40 (52.34) \% C, $4.40(4.60) \% \mathrm{H}, 18.33$ (18.50) \% N.

4- $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ 2d. Prepared as 2c using trifluoroacetonitrile ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 d}(3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL acetonitrile. A white solid remained which was sublimed in vacuo at $49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to leave 4.72 g of pure white crystals ( $18.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield); mp $45-48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $6.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 9.2(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.0(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH})$.
${ }^{13}{ }^{1} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \operatorname{CDCl}_{3}\right): 117.7(\mathrm{q}, 281 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.8$ (s), 129.3 (s), 133.6 (s), 138.6 (s), 163.3 (q, 34 $\mathrm{Hz}), 164.8(\mathrm{~s})$. Mass spectrum (m/e) $248\left(\mathrm{ClPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 42 \%\right), 180\left(\mathrm{ClPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, 10 \%\right)$, $163\left(\mathrm{ClPhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, 13 \%\right), 138\left(\mathrm{ClPhCNH}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. Analysis calculated (found): 43.31 (43.50) $\% \mathrm{C}, 2.83(3.00) \% \mathrm{H}, 16.83(17.06) \% \mathrm{~N}$.
$4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathbf{2 e}$. Prepared as $\mathbf{2 c}$ using trifluoroacetonitrile ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 e}(1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL acetonitrile. A white solid remained which was sublimed in vacuo at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to leave 2.52 g of white crystals ( $8.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ yield); mp $80-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 9.3(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.1(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $117.7(\mathrm{q}, 281 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.9(\mathrm{q}, 273 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.0(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.9(\mathrm{~s}), 133.9(\mathrm{q}, 33$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 138.6(\mathrm{~s}), 163.2(\mathrm{q}, 34 \mathrm{~Hz}), 164.7(\mathrm{~s})$. Mass spectrum (m/e) $282\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 100 \%\right)$, $237\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{+}, 5 \%\right), 214\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}, 13 \%\right), 197\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, 3 \%\right), 172$ $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhCNH}^{+}, 45 \%\right)$. Analysis calculated (found): 42.42 (42.32) \%C, $2.49(2.39) \% \mathrm{H}, 14.84$ (14.88) \%N.

Synthesis of trifluoromethyl imidoylamidine hydrochlorides 3a-e. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3} . \mathrm{HCl}$ 3c. Anhydrous HCl was bubbled through a suspension of $2 \mathbf{c}(2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether. The white precipitate was filtered in air and dried to leave 2.34 g of crude solid $(9.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) $174-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For FTIR data, see the Supplemental Information.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3} . \mathrm{HCl} \mathbf{3 a}$. Prepared as 3c from 2a (1.01g, 4.1mmol) providing 1.09 g of crude white solid ( $3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) 213-215 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3} . \mathrm{HCl} \mathbf{3 b}$. Prepared as 3c from 2b (1.59 g, 6.9 mmol ), providing 1.50 g of solid $\mathbf{3 b}$ ( $5.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) $198-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3} . \mathrm{HCl}$ 3d. Prepared as $\mathbf{3 c}$ from $2 \mathrm{~d}(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ providing 2.65 g of white solid ( $9.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) $>244^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4- $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3} . \mathrm{HCl} 3 \mathrm{e}$. Prepared as 3c from $2 \mathrm{e}(2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ providing 2.65 g of white solid ( $8.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Synthesis of 1-chloro-5-aryl-3-trifluoromethyl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazines 4a-e. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SClCF}_{3}$ 4c. Freshly distilled $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}(2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL acetonitrile was added dropwise to a suspension of imidoylamidine. $\mathrm{HCl} 3 \mathrm{c}(2.24 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL acetonitrile. The yellow mixture was heated to reflux for 1.5 h giving an orange-red color. After filtering to remove any solids, the solvent was removed in vacuo to leave an orange oil ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.52-7.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 7.67-7.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.45-8.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SClCF}_{3} \mathbf{4 a}$. Prepared as $\mathbf{4 c}$ using $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}$, 25.1 mmol$)$ in 10 mL acetonitrile added dropwise to $\mathbf{3 a}(1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL acetonitrile. The solvent was removed in vacuo, leaving a dark orange oil. Recrystallization with hot toluene followed by hot acetonitrile left an orange oil ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SClCF}_{3} \mathbf{4 b}$. Prepared as $\mathbf{4 c}$ using $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL acetonitrile and $\mathbf{3 b}(1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL acetonitrile. The solvent was removed in vacuo, leaving an orange-red solid $\left(1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SClCF}_{3} \mathbf{4 d}$. Prepared as $\mathbf{4 c}$ using $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL and 3d ( $2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 40 mL acetonitrile. The solvent was removed in vacuo, leaving a dark orange oil. This was recrystallized with hot heptane to leave an orange solid ( $2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
$4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SClCF}_{3} \mathbf{4 e}$. Prepared as $\mathbf{4 c}$ using $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL and $\mathbf{3 e}(2.13 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 40 mL acetonitrile. The solvent was removed in vacuo, leaving a dark orange oil. $(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\delta, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{H}\right)$.

Synthesis of 3-trifluoromethyl-5-aryl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls 5a-e. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3} \mathbf{5 c}$. A pearshaped solids addition funnel was loaded with triphenylantimony ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and attached to a side-arm flask containing $\mathbf{4 c}(2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 25 mL acetonitrile. After degassing the solvent by three freeze-thaw cycles and warming to RT, while still under vacuum, the $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ was added with stirring. A fine dark purple precipitate formed, and the crystals were vacuum filtered under nitrogen to give 1.14 g of crude product ( $4.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ yield), mp . (dec.) $110-3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Purification was achieved by vacuum sublimation in a three-zone tube furnace. Mass spectrum (m/e) $245\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 43 \%\right), 149\left(\mathrm{PhCN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}, 6 \%\right), 129\left(\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, 3 \%\right), 104\left(\mathrm{PhCN}^{+}\right.$, $100 \%$ ). Analysis calculated (found): 44.26 (44.12) \%C, $2.06(2.24) \% \mathrm{H}, 17.21(17.13) \% \mathrm{~N}$.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}$ 5a. Prepared as $5 \mathbf{5}$ from $\mathbf{4 a}(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}(0.79$ $\mathrm{g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL acetonitrile to give 0.41 g of dark purple solids ( $1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) $135-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Purification was achieved by vacuum sublimation in a three-zone tube furnace. Mass spectrum ( $m / e$ ) $274\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 65 \%\right), 255\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{2}{ }^{+}, 4 \%\right), 179$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhCN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}, 3 \%\right), 117\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OPhCN}^{+}, 100 \%\right)$. Analysis calculated (found): 43.80 (43.90) $\% \mathrm{C}, 2.57$ (2.71) \%H, $15.32(15.18) \% \mathrm{~N}$.
$4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3} \mathbf{5 b}$. Prepared as 5c from $\mathbf{4 b}(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}(0.64 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL acetonitrile to give 0.46 g of dark purple solids ( $1.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield $), \mathrm{mp}$ (dec.) $140-2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Purification was achieved by vacuum sublimation in a three-zone tube furnace. Mass spectrum (m/e) $258\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 100 \%\right), 239\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{2}{ }^{+}, 4 \%\right), 163$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhCN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}, 24 \%\right), 117\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhCN}^{+}, 45 \%\right)$. Analysis calculated (found): 46.51 (46.67) \% C, 2.73 (2.96) \% H, 16.27 (16.41) \% N.

4- $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}$ 5d. Prepared as $5 \mathbf{c}$ from $\mathbf{4 d}(2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}(1.71 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.8 mmol ) in 30 mL acetonitrile to give 1.36 g of dark purple solids ( $4.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) 131-4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Purification was achieved by vacuum sublimation in a three-zone tube furnace. Mass spectrum $(m / e) 278\left(\mathrm{ClPhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 100 \%\right), 259\left(\mathrm{ClPhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{2}{ }^{+}, 5 \%\right), 183\left(\mathrm{ClPhCN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}\right.$, $18 \%$ ), 137 ( $\mathrm{ClPhCN}^{+}, 47 \%$ ). Analysis calculated (found): 38.79 (38.72) \% C, 1.45 (1.41) \% H, 15.08 (15.08) \% N.
$4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SCF}_{3}$ 5e. Prepared as 5c from $\mathbf{4 e}(2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}(1.31 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.7 mmol ) in 25 mL acetonitrile to give 0.45 g of dark purple product ( $1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield), mp (dec.) $101-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Purification was achieved by vacuum sublimation in a three-zone tube furnace. Mass spectrum (m/e) $312\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 100 \%\right), 197\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, 24 \%\right), 171\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{PhCN}^{+}\right.$, $30 \%$ ). Analysis calculated (found): 38.47 (38.52) \% C, 1.29 (1.53) \% H, 13.46 (13.34) \% N.

Isolation of $\mathbf{N}$-chlorosulfonyl-N,N'-benzamidine 6. Attempts to grow crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ by slow cooling ( $-30^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were successful only for $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{e}$; in the case of $\mathbf{4 c}$ colorless crystals of a hydrolysis product 6 were obtained which could be identified as N -chlorosulfonyl-N,N'-benzamidine by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction structure determination. Further characterization of this material was not undertaken.
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## TOC SYNOPSIS



Complex concentration-dependence of the cyclic voltammograms of the title compounds in solution can be explained by monomer-dimer equilibria and the data fit a ladder scheme. EPR spectra on very dilute solutions unambiguously identify the monomeric radicals in solution.

| complex | 1 a | 2 a | 2c | 2e | 4b | 4 e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 150.18 | 245.21 | 215.18 | 283.19 | 293.70 | 347.67 |
| Cryst size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.12$ | $0.45 \times 0.37 \times 0.20$ | $0.401 \times 0.208 \times 0.116$ | $0.652 \times 0.429 \times 0.310$ | $0.31 \times 0.16 \times 0.16$ | $0.17 \times 0.14 \times 0.14$ |
| cryst syst | Triclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic |
| space group | $P 1$ | $P 1$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 22_{1} 2$ | P2 $1_{1}$ c |
| $a(\AA)$ | 5.005(10) | 9.2967(19) | 8.8135(17) | 11.2481(8) | 14.507(3) | 14.5920(14) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 10.15(2) | 10.538(2) | 16.356(3) | 14.6690(10) | 17.395(3) | 11.8343(11) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 15.54(3) | 11.787(3) | 14.069(3) | 14.3328(10) | 4.7852(8) | 7.4331(7) |
| (deg) | 86.58(2) | 93.783(2) | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| (deg) | 87.40(2) | 105.567(2) | 101.503(2) | $93.7750(10)$ | 90.00 | 94.7720(10) |
| (deg) | 79.77(2) | 97.335(2) | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 775(3) | 1097.2(4) | 1987.3(7) | 2359.8(3) | 1207.6(3) | 1279.1(2) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {c }}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.287 | 1.484 | 1.438 | 1.594 | 1.615 | 1.805 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.088 | 0.134 | 0.130 | 0.165 | 0.511 | 0.530 |
| $\theta$ range | 2.04-27.89 | 1.80-27.00 | 1.93-27.42 | 1.99-26.36 | 1.83-29.59 | 2.22-28.83 |
| coll. reflns | 8956 | 12270 | 28147 | 24664 | 12307 | 14808 |
| obsd reflns | 3580 | 4750 | 4532 | 4826 | 2987 | 3137 |
| no. of param | 219 | 333 | 345 | 404 | 192 | 217 |
| $F(000)$ | 320 | 504 | 880 | 1136 | 592 | 688 |
| $T$ (K) | 173(2) | 173 (2) | 173(2) | 173(2) | 173(2) | 173(2) |
| R1, wR2 [ $I>\sigma 2(I)]$ | 0.0410, 0.0959 | 0.0338, 0.0842 | 0.0370, 0.0859 | 0.0468, 0.1217 | 0.0379, 0.0965 | 0.0327, 0.0843 |
| R1, wR2 [all data] | 0.0695, 0.1053 | 0.0420, 0.0896 | 0.0496, 0.0934 | 0.0538, 0.1298 | 0.0550, 0.1026 | 0.0414, 0.0895 |
| GOF | 0.978 | 1.038 | 1.041 | 1.038 | 1.031 | 1.056 |

 refined parameters.

Table 5 (cont'd). Crystallographic Data and Refinement Parameters for Compounds 1-6.

| complex | 5a | 5b | 5c | 5d | 5e | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ClF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 274.25 | 258.25 | 244.22 | 278.66 | 312.22 | 218.66 |
| Cryst size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.476 \times 0.192 \times 0.118$ | $0.185 \times 0.171 \times 0.153$ | $0.287 \times 0.215 \times 0.086$ | $0.29 \times 0.22 \times 0.14$ | $0.311 \times 0.155 \times 0.06$ | $0.13 \times 0.12 \times 0.12$ |
| cryst syst | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Tetragonal |
| space group | $P 1$ | $P 1$ | $P 1$ | $P 1$ | P1 | $P 42_{12} 2$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 7.1075(8) | 11.5126(12) | 9.136(4) | 11.1851(15) | 10.7943(6) | 9.4879(9) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 11.5130(13) | 14.7539(15) | 10.728(4) | 14.799(2) | 13.3162(8) | 9.4879(9) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 13.3832(15) | 15.0498(15) | 11.016(5) | 14.806(2) | 16.7910(10) | 21.584(4) |
| (deg) | 88.0000(10) | 111.2160(10) | 84.652(4) | 110.9450(10) | 94.0420(10) | 90.00 |
| (deg) | 86.2810(10) | 99.9130(10) | 69.663(4) | 103.210(2) | 107.4760(10) | 90.00 |
| (deg) | 80.4830(10) | 107.6770(10) | 68.715(3) | 106.5720(10) | 96.1190(10) | 90.00 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1077.5(2) | 2153.0(4) | 942.6(7) | 2038.8(5) | 2275.6(2) | 1943.0(5) |
| Z | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.691 | 1.593 | 1.721 | 1.816 | 1.823 | 1.495 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.333 | 0.321 | 0.362 | 0.600 | 0.358 | 0.576 |
| $\theta$ range | 1.79-27.42 | 1.96-26.59 | 1.97-27.45 | 1.61-28.49 | 1.90-28.73 | 2.34-26.86 |
| coll. reflns | 15562 | 23211 | 13575 | 22885 | 26627 | 21297 |
| obsd reflns | 4872 | 8841 | 4267 | 9467 | 10697 | 2076 |
| no. of param | 327 | 729 | 577 | 629 | 777 | 124 |
| $F(000)$ | 556 | 1048 | 492 | 1112 | 1240 | 896 |
| $T$ (K) | 173(2) | 296(2) | 173(2) | 173(2) | 173(2) | 173(2) |
| R1, wR2 [I>大 2(I)] | 0.0273, 0.0732 | 0.0379, 0.1035 | 0.0368, 0.0976 | 0.0426, 0.0893 | 0.0440, 0.1137 | 0.0421, 0.1102 |
| R1, wR2 [all data] | 0.0302, 0.0757 | 0.0572, 0.1127 | 0.0444, 0.1036 | 0.0825, 0.1054 | $0.0689,0.1256$ | 0.0610, 0.1166 |
| GOF | 1.032 | 1.026 | 1.047 | 1.022 | 1.036 | 0.984 |
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Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the two independent hydrogen-bonded molecules of 2c as found in the crystal at $-100(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ along with an additional H 4 B ' atom to indicate how the chain propagates in the lattice. The $\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{N} 5$ and $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}-\mathrm{N} 2$ bonds can be viewed as incipient tautomerism leading to the diimine isomer. Only the principal components of the disordered CF3 groups are shown for clarity. (Figures S3 and S4 are plots of 2a and 2e.)
$80 \times 61 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600$ DPI)


Average bond lengths ( $\AA$, top) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$, bottom) from crystal structures of the six independent imidoylamidine molecules found in 2a, 2c, and 2e. Errors are standard deviations. $80 \times 88 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600 \mathrm{DPI})$


Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plots with atom numbering schemes showing the molecular structures of (a) 4 b and (b) 4 e as they are found within the crystal lattices at $-100(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Only the principal components of the disordered CF3 groups are shown.
$80 \times 89 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600$ DPI)


Average bond lengths ( $\AA$, top) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$, bottom) with standard deviations from crystal structures of the 1 -chlorothiatriazines 4 b and 4 e . $80 \times 118 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600 \mathrm{DPI})$


Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot and atom numbering scheme showing the solid-state face-to-face and head-to-head dimerization of 5c, which comprises the asymmetric unit in the lattice at $-100(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dashed line indicates the close contact between sulfur atoms, for which the S10 $\cdots$ S20 distance is $2.625(1) \AA$. The structure of 5 a (Figure S9) is very similar with an S10 $\cdots$ S20 distance of 2.6370(3) A.
$80 \times 53 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600 \mathrm{DPI})$


Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecular structure of 5b found within the crystal lattice at $23(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four crystallographically independent molecules form into two distinct dimers. The S10 $\cdots \mathrm{S} 20$ distance is $2.684(1) \AA$ and the $\mathrm{S} 30 \cdots \mathrm{~S} 40$ distance is $2.6515(8) \AA$. A very similar arrangement is found in the lattice for 5d (Figure S10) with S10…S20 and S30 $\cdots$ S40 distances of 2.659(1) $\AA$ and $2.635(1) \AA$, respectively.
$99 \times 119 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600 \mathrm{DPI})$
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Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecular structure of 5 e found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four crystallographically independent molecules form into two distinct dimers with intra-dimer S10 $\cdots$ S20 and S30 $\cdots$ S40 distances of 2.6237(9) $\AA$ and $2.6255(8) \AA$, respectively. These dimers associate into a single tetrameric set through $\mathrm{S}(\delta+)-\mathrm{N}(\delta-)$ interactions (shown in red). The S20 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 30$ and $\mathrm{S} 30 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 20$ distances are 3.169 (2) $\AA$ and 3.199(2) $\AA$, while S40 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 20$ and $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 30$ distances are $3.082(2) \AA$ and $3.034(2) \AA$, respectively. The rings belonging to the dimers are out of register with each other as indicated by the different interaction lengths.
$120 \times 62 \mathrm{~mm}$ (600 x 600 DPI )

(a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra of 5 d in CH 2 C 12 at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, modulation amplitude 0.01 mT , modulation frequency 100 kHz . Simulations were performed with WinSim (version 0.98, 2002) 19 software using a $100 \%$ Lorentzian lineshape.
$83 \times 112 \mathrm{~mm}(300 \times 300 \mathrm{DPI})$


Kohn-Sham isosurface of the п-SOMO of 5b from a UB3LYP/6-31G(d) calculation. $956 x 690 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $72 \times 72$ DPI)


Overlapping CV's of the redox couples of 5 e in CH 3 CN solution ( 0.1 M [nBu4N][PF6]) at: a) dilute concentration (blue), b) moderate concentration (red), and c) high concentration (black). $82 \times 67 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600$ DPI)


The in-phase and out-of-phase combinations of the 3b1 SOMO of model 3,5-dihydro-1,2,4,6thiatriazinyls.
$87 \times 60 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $300 \times 300$ DPI)


Calculated CVs for both (a) monomeric (blue line) and (b) dimeric (black line) solutions of 5 e resulting from the input of kinetic parameters listed in the text and following the "ladder" scheme shown in Scheme 2. These CVs follow the specific case of $\mathrm{v}=0.2 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{s-1}, \mathrm{Keq1}=400, \mathrm{kf1}=1 \mathrm{M}-1$ $\mathrm{s}-1, \mathrm{Keq} 2=0.0766, \mathrm{kf} 2=0.01 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~s}-1, \mathrm{Keq} 3=271, \mathrm{kf} 3=1 \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{~s}-1, \mathrm{Keq} 4=106, \mathrm{kf} 4=5$ $\mathrm{s}-1$ (first-order decay of [TTA]+), $\mathrm{ks} 1=\mathrm{ks} 2=\mathrm{ks} 3=\mathrm{ks} 4=0.03 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}-1$, conc. dimer $=0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{L}-1$, conc. monomer $0.001 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}-1$. The potential was first swept in the cathodic direction starting from 0.4 V .
$82 \times 56 \mathrm{~mm}(300 \times 300$ DPI)


Plots of the calculated vs. experimental monomer reduction potentials (top) and oxidation potentials (bottom) of $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ as measured by cyclic voltammetry in CH 2 Cl 2 (black line, $\mathrm{R}=0.975$, top and $\mathrm{R}=$ 0.975, bottom), and (b) CH3CN (red line, $R=0.993$, top and $R=0.994$, bottom) solution. Error bars express an estimated error in measured potential of $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~V}$.
$79 \times 130 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600$ DPI)


Synthetic route to the 3-trifluoromethyl-5-aryl-1,2,4,6-thiatriazines.
$181 \times 167 \mathrm{~mm}(300 \times 300$ DPI)
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Complex concentration-dependence of the cyclic voltammograms of the title compounds in solution can be explained by monomer-dimer equilibria and the data fit a ladder scheme. EPR spectra on very dilute solutions unambiguously identify the monomeric radicals in solution.
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119 \times 53 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600 \mathrm{DPI})
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## Crystallographic Characterization of para-substituted benzamidine 1a

Amidine chemistry is of interest due to the multifaceted bonding modes of these compounds as complex-ligands and their widespread use as synthons for subsequent chemical transformations. ${ }^{1}$ This compound was characterized by X-ray crystallography as an example of an aryl benzamidine. No structure of this derivative has yet been reported in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database (2010 edition.) Plate shaped X-ray quality crystals of 1a were collected on a cold finger following vacuum sublimation and its structure was determined by crystallography (Figure 1). The unit cell contains two independent hydrogen-bonded centrosymmetric dimers of a type previously determined for amidines. ${ }^{2}$ The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in the amidine unit are indicative of partial single $(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1=1.358(3) \AA$ ) and partial double bond ( $\mathrm{C} 1-$ $\mathrm{N} 2=1.294(3) \AA$ ) character, and are consistent with previously known values for aryl amidines. ${ }^{3}$


Figure S1. Thermal ellipsoids ( $30 \%$ ) plot showing the two independent hydrogen-bonded dimers of 1a found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each dimeric pair is connected in ribbons to adjacent dimers of the same type through additional H bonding between N2 and H1A. The molecules that are fully-labelled comprise the asymmetric unit of the cells, each related to another molecule of the same type by inversion symmetry as required by the $P \overline{1}$ space group.

Table S1. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectral data for the aryl N-imidoylamidines 2a-e. ${ }^{a}$


| cmpd | R | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AB}}(\mathrm{Hz})$ | R | NH | NH | NH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ | 6.93 | 7.87 | 9.0 | 3.85 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 6.7 |
| 2b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 7.26 | 7.81 | 8.1 | 2.41 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 6.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 c}$ | H | $\mathrm{m} 7.38-7.54$ | $\mathrm{~m} 7.83-7.88$ | - | $\mathrm{m} 7.38-7.54$ | 11.0 | 9.1 | 6.8 |
| 2d | Cl | 7.42 | 7.84 | 8.8 | - | 11.0 | 9.2 | 6.7 |
| 2e | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 7.73 | 8.02 | 8.1 | - | 11.1 | 9.3 | 6.8 |

${ }^{a}$ All values are in ppm, with reference to TMS.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2c which is entirely typical of all the imidoylamidines prepared in this work. The three kinds of NH peaks have very distinct chemical shifts and linewidths.

Table S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of aryl imidoylamidines 2a-e. ${ }^{a}$


| cmpd | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a | $117.86^{b}$ | $163.41^{c}$ | 165.33 | 127.33 | 129.25 | 114.32 | 163.09 | 55.66 |
| 2b | $117.84^{b}$ | $163.55^{c}$ | 165.83 | 132.37 | 127.64 | 129.70 | 142.84 | 21.65 |
| 2c | $117.80^{b}$ | $163.51^{c}$ | 165.92 | 135.22 | 127.44 | 129.02 | 132.20 | - |
| 2d | $117.70^{b}$ | $163.31^{c}$ | 164.76 | 133.60 | 128.83 | 129.28 | 138.62 | - |
| 2e | $117.67^{b}$ | $163.23^{c}$ | 164.65 | 138.55 | 127.91 | $125.98^{d}$ | $133.91^{c}$ | $123.91^{e}$ |

${ }^{a}$ All values are in ppm, with reference to TMS. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C})}=281 \mathrm{~Hz}{ }^{c} \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C})}=33 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C})}$ $=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{e} \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C})}=273 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

## Hydrogen bonding in the crystal structures of imidoylamidines 2a-e

Strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Table S3) is found from N3 to H1A and N6 to H4A in all cases, leading to pseudo six-membered rings with $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ distances in the range $2.6350(18)$ $2.6928(18) \AA$. There are also weaker $(2.9765(15)-3.1934(16) \AA)$ intermolecular H-bonds which link N1 and N4 to the backbone nitrogen atoms (N2, N5) of the next molecule in 2c and $\mathbf{2 e}$ (compared to the typical $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ distance range $2.94-3.15 \AA$ for H -bonding between nitrogen.) ${ }^{4}$ However, 2a differs from the others by having additional short contacts between both N3 and H4A and N6 and H3A. In this case all the intermolecular $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ distances are rather long, ranging from 3.1832(16) to $3.2342(17) \AA$. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ angles range between $124.9(13)$ and $175.1(14)^{\circ}$, normal for this class. ${ }^{4}$ Interestingly, in the case of $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathbf{2 e}$ one NH atom from each imidoylamidine is not involved in any H-bonding (H3A, H6A).


Figure S3. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the two independent molecules of 2a found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. There are short contacts between both N 4 and N6 with N 3 , but N 4 to N 6 is slightly beyond the range of a normal H-bond at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S4. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the two independent hydrogen-bonded molecules of $\mathbf{2 e}$ found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table S3. Hydrogen bonds $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for imidoylamidines $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathbf{2 e}$.

| Bond Type | D-H...A | Cmpd | d(D...A) | $<$ (DHA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inter | N1-H1B...N5 | 2a | 3.1832(16) | 139.2(14) |
|  |  | 2 c | 3.0868(16) | 175.1(14) |
|  |  | 2 e | 2.994(2) | 170.2(19) |
| Inter | N4-H4B...N2 | 2 a | 3.1869(16) | 136.3(14) |
|  |  | 2 c | 2.9765(15) | 153.3(13) |
|  |  | 2 e | 3.099(2) | 172(2) |
| Intra | N1-H1A...N3 | 2a | 2.6448(18) | 133.9(14) |
|  |  | 2 c | 2.6712(17) | 131.4(13) |
|  |  | 2 e | 2.660(2) | 134.5(18) |
| Intra | N4-H4A...N6 | 2a | 2.6928(18) | 129.3(14) |
|  |  | 2 c | 2.6350(18) | 133.5(13) |
|  |  | 2 e | 2.643(2) | 132.0(18) |
| Inter | N4-H4A...N3 | 2a | 3.1934(16) | 139.5(13) |
| Inter | N3-H3A...N6 | 2a | 3.2342(17) | 124.9(13) |

## Infra-red spectra of 2a-e and 3a-e

(KBr pellets; recorded on a Bomem MB102 FTIR spectrometer)
2a: 3383 (m), 3325 (m), 3178 (w), 1601 ( s), 1572 ( s$), 1485$ (s), 1466 (sh), 1438 (m), 1423 (m), 1399 (m), 1311 (m), 1261 (s), 1233 (s), 1221 (s), 1174 (vs), 1141 (vs), 1113 (sh), 1094 (m), 1029 (m), 998 (m), 837 (s), 815 (w), 786 (m), 758 (w), 745 (w), 729 (w), 708 (w), 689 (w), 642 (w), 614 (w), 588 (w), 563 (m), 514 (w), 479 (w), 433 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$.

2b: 3455 (m), 3345 (m), 3330 (m), 1612 ( s ), 1571 (m), 1524 (m), 1486 ( s$), 1400$ (m), 1289 (w), 1231 (s), 1175 (s), 1154 (vs), 1111 (sh), 1019 (m), 998 (m), 954 (w), 867 (w), 842 (m), 831 (m), $820(\mathrm{~m}), 781(\mathrm{~m}), 734(\mathrm{~m}), 687(\mathrm{~m}), 670(\mathrm{w}), 593(\mathrm{w}), 543(\mathrm{~m}), 513(\mathrm{~m}), 466(\mathrm{w}), 441(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

2c: 3330 (m), 3118 (w), 1631 (s), 1600 (s), 1579 (s), 1513 (s), 1481 (s), 1449 (s), 1420 (m), 1320 (w), 1300 (w), 1224 (s), 1164 (s), 1144 (vs), 1076 (w), 1031 (m), 1000 (s), 932 (w), 878 (m), 826 (m), 800 (w), 775 (m), 715 (m), 695 (s), 614 (w), 602 (w), 583 (m), 516 (w), 448 (w), 421 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

2d: 3333 (s), 3257 (m), 3102 (m), 1644 (s), 1600 (s), 1572 (w), 1515 (m), 1475 (m), 1428 (w), 1395 (w), 1300 (w), 1226 (s), 1194 (s), 1179 (m), 1160 (sh), 1146 (vs), 1089 (m), 1017 (s), 884 (w), 844 (m), 836 (w), 794 (w), 732 (m), 675 (w), 647 (w), 625 (w), 592 (w), 519 (w), 485 (w), 458 (w), 428 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

2e: 3330 (m), 3249 (m), 3083 (m), 1636 (m), 1607 ( s), 1582 (m), 1527 (s), 1493 (s), 1436 (w), 1406 (m), 1326 (vs), 1299 (sh), 1229 (s), 1202 (s), 1152 (s), 1130 (s), 1112 (sh), 1067 (s), 1019 (s), 962 (w), 886 (w), 852 ( s), 830 (w), 801 (w), 761 (m), 713 (s), 692 (w), 630 (w), 601 (w), 590 (sh), 519 (w), 408 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$.

3a: 3199 (s), 3123 (s), 3027 (s), 2846 (w), 1705 (s), 1675 (m), 1641 (w), 1604 (s), 1584 (m), 1509 (m), 1457 (m), 1430 (m), 1408 ( s), 1317 (m), 1266 (s), 1210 (vs), 1186 (m), 1158 ( s), 1030 (m), 989 (w), 852 (w), 835 (m), 751 (w), 723 (w), 689 (w), 651 (m), 631 (w), 573 (m), 518 (w), 505 (w), 415 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$.

3b: 3185 (m), 3085 (m), 2960 (m), 2925 (m), 2854 (w), 1698 (s), 1637 (m), 1609 (m), 1528 (w), 1515 (w), 1428 (m), 1399 (m), 1316 (w), 1292 (w), 1213 (s), 1193 (sh), 1158 (vs), 1122 (w), 1037 (w), 1019 (w), 998 (w), 848 (w), 827 (m), 731 (m), 674 (w), 652 (w), 637 (w), 594 (w), 567 (w), $516(\mathrm{w}), 498(\mathrm{w}), 468(\mathrm{w}), 420(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

3c: IR 3203 (s), 3098 (s), 1694 (vs), 1637 (m), 1602 (w), 1528 (w), 1499 (w), 1450 (m), 1415 (m), 1305 (w), 1212 ( s), 1193 (m), 1154 (s), 1028 (w), 1002 (w), 933 (w), 843 (w), 795 (w), 783 (w), 724 (w), $696(\mathrm{~m}), 659(\mathrm{w}), 603(\mathrm{w}), 591(\mathrm{~m}), 515(\mathrm{w}), 502(\mathrm{w}), 426(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

3d: 3199 (s), 3103 (s), 3020 (m), 1697 (s), 1637 (m), 1593 (m), 1523 (w), 1491 (w), 1459 (w), 1421 (m), 1394 (m), 1310 (w), 1282 (w), 1223 ( s), 1210 (vs), 1190 (m), 1162 (s), 1091 (m), 1013 (w), 848 (w), 805 (w), 738 (w), 697 (w), 661 (w), 637 (m), 593 (w), 519 (w), 493 (w), 470 (w), 412 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

3e: 3187 (s), 3105 (s), 3021 (s), 1705 (s), 1643 (m), 1541 (w), 1517 (w), 1429 (m), 1402 (w), 1327 (vs), 1302 (w), 1226 (s), 1212 (s), 1191 (m), 1164 (s), 1131 (s), 1120 (s), 1068 (s), 1016 (m), 858 (m), 812 (w), 761 (w), 699 (m), 668 (w), 624 (m), 595 (w), 514 (w), 463 (w), 415 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S5: Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the short intermolecular $\mathrm{S}\left(\delta^{+}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(\delta^{-}\right)$ interactions in $\mathbf{4 e}$ within the crystal lattice at $-100(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The S $1 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ distance is $3.043(1) \AA$. Intermolecular short-contacts of this type are very common in thiazyl chemistry. Interestingly, the strength of such interactions - as measured from the interatomic distances - are very similar for the $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{IV})$ chlorothiatriazines and the $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{III})$ thiatriazinyls (see main text and figures below.)

Table S4. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectral data for the 1-chlorothiatriazines

|  | cmpd | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AB}}(\mathrm{Hz})$ | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4 a}$ | 7.02 | 8.45 | 9.0 | 3.94 |
|  | $\mathbf{4 b}$ | 7.35 | 8.37 | 8.2 | 2.49 |

## Crystal structure of $\mathbf{N}$-Sulfurylchloride-N,N'-benzamidine

Hydrolysis product 6 was obtained as colorless blocks suitable for X-ray analysis (Figure S6).
This is the first chlorosulfonyl derivative of a primary amidine to have been structurally characterized. In all, fifteen structures with chlorosulfonyl groups attached to nitrogen have been reported. ${ }^{5}$ The structure of $\mathbf{6}$ is stabilized by a complex network of H-bonding involving all the nitrogen and oxygen atoms (see Figures S7, S8 and Table S5). The structure of $\left[\mathrm{ClSO}_{2} \mathrm{NPCl}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]_{2} \mathrm{BCl}$ is the most comparable reported in the literature. ${ }^{5 \mathrm{c}}$


Figure S6. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecular structure of 6 as found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table S5. Hydrogen bonds and short contacts $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 6.

| Bond <br> Type | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \ldots . \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{D} . . . \mathrm{A})$ | $<$ (DHA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inter | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \ldots \mathrm{O} 2 \# 1$ | $2.763(3)$ | $153(4)$ |
| Inter | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \ldots \mathrm{O} 1 \# 2$ | $2.675(4)$ | $151(4)$ |
| Intra | $\mathrm{O} 1 \ldots \mathrm{~N} 2$ | $2.762(4)$ |  |
| Intra | $\mathrm{N} 2 \ldots \mathrm{O} 2 \# 3$ | $2.930(3)$ |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
$\# 1-y+1 / 2, x-1 / 2, z+1 / 4 \quad \# 2-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 4 \quad \# 3-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 4$


Figure S7. A packing diagram for $\mathbf{6}$ showing the double-layer "W"-shaped chain of molecules along the four-fold $c$ axis of the tetragonal unit cell. The sheets defined by H -bonding as discussed in Figure S 6 are clearly visible.


Figure S8. The complex network of hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of $\mathbf{6}$ and short contacts that form (a) through N1-H1...O1 a chain of face-to-tail chelates vertically in the page at the l.h.s. and (b) through $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \ldots \mathrm{O} 2$ a second direction directed out of the page at the r.h.s. Short contacts are also induced intra-molecularly between O1...N2 and inter-molecularly between $\mathrm{N} 2 \ldots \mathrm{O}$. The two intertwined H -bonded sheets define planes with approximate Miller indices of (24-42) and (-1 $12-20$ ). The view is approximately perpendicular to the former. The lattice contains two further sheets of molecules with approximate Miller indices of ( 9015 ) and (0 16 28). Only two other known sulfonylchlorides bonded to N have been reported which also contain H-bond donors. Refcode GIVWEN contains a single type of N-H...O=S contact of $2.858(4) \AA .{ }^{6}$ Refcode GIVXAK is the most comparable structure, containing a U-shaped chelate ring bridged by an H -bond ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{H} 2.81(1) \AA$ ) and chain forming link between the backbone N and a neighboring chlorosulfonyl oxygen ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S} 2.96(1) \AA)^{7}{ }^{7}$


Figure S9: Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecule 5a found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~S} 20$ distance is $2.6370(3) \AA$.



Figure S10: Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot with atom numbering scheme showing the molecule 5d found within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots$ S20 distance is $2.659(1) \AA$ and the $\mathrm{S} 30 \cdots \mathrm{~S} 40$ distance is $2.635(1) \AA$.


Figure S11: Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the short intermolecular and inter-dimer contacts in 5a within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 20$ distance is $2.941(1) \AA$ and the S20 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 10$ distance is $3.341(1) \AA$. Thus the shortest contacts are between the two "top" and "bottom" rings of approximately co-planar dimers of thiatriazinyls. The dimers are centrosymetrically arranged.


Figure S12. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the short intermolecular and inter-dimer contacts in $\mathbf{5 c}$ within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 20$ distance is $3.297(2) \AA$, the $\mathrm{S} 20 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 10$ distance is $3.025(2) \mathrm{S} \AA$ and the $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 10$ distance is $3.108(2) \mathrm{S} \AA$. Thus the two pairs of thiatriazinyl dimers are almost equally out of register and, though still centrosymmetrical, form a less-compact pair than that found in 5a (Figure S9).


Figure S13. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the short intermolecular and inter-dimer contacts in $\mathbf{5 b}$ within the crystal lattice at $23(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fluorine atoms omitted for clarity). Here are found two distinct arrangements for the two pairs of dimers. That relating the S10,N10-S20,N20 pair forms approximately co-planar arrangements very similar to that observed for $\mathbf{5 a}$. (The S10 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 20^{\prime}$ distance is $3.085(2) \AA$ and the $\mathrm{S} 20 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 10^{\prime}$ distance is 3.088 (2) $\left.\AA.\right)$ The second dimer pair is out of register in an arrangement very similar to that found in the structure of $\mathbf{4 c}$ with $\mathrm{S} 40 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 40$ at $3.108(1) \AA$ and $\mathrm{S} 30 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 40$ at 3.277 (2) $\AA$.


Figure S14. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the short intermolecular and inter-dimer contacts in 5d within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Like $\mathbf{5 b}$, there are two distinct arrangements for the two pairs of dimers. (a) The S10,N10-S20,N20 pair forms an intermediate arrangment between that of $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$, with the $\mathrm{S} 10 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 20^{\prime}$ distance at $3.123(2) \AA$ and $\mathrm{S} 20 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 10^{\prime}$ at $3.035(2) \AA$.) (b) The second dimer pair is strongly out of register in an arrangement very similar to that found in the structure of $\mathbf{4 c}$ with $\mathrm{S} 30 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 30^{\prime}$ at $3.087(2) \AA$. Here the $\mathrm{S} 40 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 30^{\prime}$ and S30 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 40^{\prime}$ distances are very long at $3.407(3)$ and $3.756(3) \AA$, respectively.


Figure S15. Thermal ellipsoids (30\%) plot showing the short intermolecular and inter-dimer contacts in 5e within the crystal lattice at $-100(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Apart from the interactions within the tetramer shown in Figure 8, there are short "stacking" interactions between S40 with C41' and C41 with S40' of $3.447(2) \AA$.


Figure S16. Stacking between two layers of thiatriazinyl dimers in 5e leading to short S40 with C41' and C41 with S40' of 3.447 (2) $\AA \AA$. This is the strongest interaction ever detected among multiple thiatriazinyl rings, but the stacking does not extend beyond the eight associated monomers shown in this bird's eye view approximately down the crystallographic $c$ axis.


Figure S17. (a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra of 5a in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, modulation amplitude 0.005 mT , modulation frequency $100 \mathrm{kHz}, 100 \%$ Lorentzian lineshape.


Figure S18 (a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra of 5b in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, modulation amplitude 0.01 mT , modulation frequency $100 \mathrm{kHz}, 100 \%$ Lorentzian lineshape.


Figure S19. (a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra of $\mathbf{5 c}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, modulation amplitude 0.01 mT , modulation frequency $100 \mathrm{kHz}, 100 \%$ Lorentzian lineshape.


Figure S20. (a) Experimental and (b) simulated EPR spectra of 5e in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, modulation amplitude 0.01 mT , modulation frequency $100 \mathrm{kHz}, 100 \%$ Lorentzian lineshape.


Figure S21. Plot of the Hammett coefficients ( $\sigma_{p}$ ) versus the hyperfine splitting constants ( mT ) of $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- e}$ as measured by EPR spectroscopy in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. More strongly electron donating substituents appear to increase spin density on the NSN portion of the ring, in particular on N6, but that increase is almost exactly compensated-for by decreased spin density on $\mathrm{N} 2,4$. Blue line (N6) $\mathrm{R}=0.976$, red line ( N 4 ) $\mathrm{R}=0.910$, black line ( N 2 ) $\mathrm{R}=0.896$.


Figure S22. Plot of the Hammett coefficients $\left(\sigma_{p}\right)$ versus the reduction peak potentials $(\mathrm{V})$ at low concentrations of $\mathbf{5 a - e}$ as measured by CV in both $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (black line, $\mathrm{R}=0.993$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (red line, $\mathrm{R}=0.986$ ).


Figure S23. Plot of the Hammett coefficients $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ versus the oxidation peak potentials $(\mathrm{V})$ at low concentrations of $\mathbf{5 a - e}$ as measured by CV in both $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (black line, $\mathrm{R}=0.989$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (red line, $\mathrm{R}=0.953$ ).
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## Gaussian 98 Calculations output, by compound and charge



Tolyl $\mathbf{C F}_{3}$ radical 5b



## $\mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ radical 5d



## $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ radical 5e

Geometry

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.871536 | 0.793984 | -0.010694 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 3.036831 | -0.064302 | 0.014311 |
| 3 | 7 | 0 | 1.709816 | -0.273089 | -0.007905 |
| 4 | 7 | 0 | 1.216272 | 2.079517 | -0.000718 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 3.726865 | 1.053638 | 0.030849 |
| 6 | 16 | 0 | 2.832103 | 2.465744 | 0.021412 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.580882 | 0.492636 | -0.023650 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -1.019868 | -0.840313 | -0.018857 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.380824 | -1.128744 | -0.027374 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -3.314104 | -0.088723 | -0.041590 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -2.884381 | 1.242053 | -0.049844 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -1.524962 | 1.531570 | -0.040983 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -0.285834 | -1.637171 | -0.009722 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -2.718830 | -2.159581 | -0.029685 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -3.613116 | 2.045137 | -0.070418 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -1.182833 | 2.560142 | -0.049654 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 3.859168 | -1.358917 | 0.002099 |
| 18 | 9 | 0 | 5.168480 | -1.122769 | 0.132792 |
| 19 | 9 | 0 | 3.469702 | -2.163922 | 1.006131 |
| 20 | 9 | 0 | 3.658491 | -2.012933 | -1.157556 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -4.787374 | -0.401752 | 0.010850 |
| 22 | 9 | 0 | -5.074848 | -1.566040 | -0.609751 |
| 23 | 9 | 0 | -5.220798 | -0.516458 | 1.287136 |
| 24 | 9 | 0 | -5.527086 | 0.565917 | -0.572565 |

Isotropic Fermi Contact Couplings

| Atom |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~N}(14)$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~N}(14)$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~N}(14)$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~S}(33)$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 3 | H |
| 4 | H |
| 5 | H |
| 6 | H |
| 7 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~F}(19)$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{~F}(19)$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~F}(19)$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~F}(19)$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~F}(19)$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~F}(19)$ |


| a.u. | MegaHertz |
| ---: | ---: |
| -0.01736 | -19.51666 |
| -0.01603 | -18.01733 |
| 0.04586 | 14.81752 |
| 0.04533 | 14.64676 |
| 0.03417 | 11.03962 |
| 0.05617 | 19.29405 |
| 0.00128 | 1.43593 |
| -0.00227 | -2.54731 |
| 0.00127 | 1.42988 |
| -0.00155 | -1.74434 |
| 0.00119 | 1.33839 |
| -0.00193 | -2.16447 |
| 0.00024 | 1.07337 |
| -0.00014 | -0.64378 |
| -0.00012 | -0.55495 |
| 0.00023 | 1.03450 |
| 0.00026 | 0.29547 |
| -0.00002 | -0.08337 |
| -0.00080 | -3.38069 |
| -0.00090 | -3.79826 |
| 0.00038 | 0.42429 |
| -0.00007 | -0.31323 |
| -0.00040 | -1.68151 |
| -0.00008 | -0.32978 |


| Gauss | $10(-4) \mathrm{cm}-1$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| -6.96403 | -6.51006 |
| -6.42903 | -6.00993 |
| 5.28726 | 4.94259 |
| 5.22633 | 4.88563 |
| 3.93921 | 3.68242 |
| 6.88460 | 6.43580 |
| 0.51238 | 0.47897 |
| -0.90894 | -0.84969 |
| 0.51022 | 0.47696 |
| -0.62242 | -0.58185 |
| 0.47757 | 0.44644 |
| -0.77234 | -0.72199 |
| 0.38300 | 0.35804 |
| -0.22972 | -0.21474 |
| -0.19802 | -0.18511 |
| 0.36914 | 0.34507 |
| 0.10543 | 0.09856 |
| -0.02975 | -0.02781 |
| -1.20632 | -1.12768 |
| -1.35531 | -1.26696 |
| 0.15140 | 0.14153 |
| -0.11177 | -0.10448 |
| -0.60000 | -0.56089 |
| -0.11767 | -0.11000 |

$\mathbf{M e O} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ cation 5a

Geometry

| Center | Atomic | Atomic Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.066438 | 0.092940 | 0.071662 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 0.085435 | 0.005010 | 2.411340 |
| 3 | 7 | 0 | 0.726788 | 0.085279 | 1.194180 |
| 4 | 7 | 0 | -1.292384 | 0.033473 | -0.113135 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | -1.284748 | -0.080017 | 2.512457 |
| 6 | 16 | 0 | -2.170640 | -0.071367 | 1.205418 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.859192 | 0.201947 | -1.243829 |
| 8 | 9 | 0 | 0.589004 | 1.387546 | -1.804135 |
| 9 | 9 | 0 | 2.165417 | 0.102933 | -1.017505 |
| 10 | 9 | 0 | 0.470864 | -0.773822 | -2.070131 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 0.852837 | 0.008770 | 3.605188 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 0.222106 | -0.072926 | 4.879565 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 0.964258 | -0.065421 | 6.037902 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 2.377949 | 0.025438 | 5.960693 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 3.019896 | 0.106668 | 4.694419 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 2.276143 | 0.098468 | 3.543641 |
| 17 | 1 | 0 | -0.858431 | -0.141662 | 4.935810 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.466115 | -0.128299 | 6.997404 |
| 19 | 1 | 0 | 4.102060 | 0.174355 | 4.672972 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 2.761692 | 0.160158 | 2.576798 |
| 21 | 8 | 0 | 3.187452 | 0.041862 | 7.006689 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | 2.665879 | -0.033555 | 8.348944 |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 2.015516 | 0.821781 | 8.554011 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 2.127546 | -0.974301 | 8.496721 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | 3.541533 | 0.000575 | 8.994845 |

Tolyl CF $\mathbf{3}_{3}$ cation 5b


$\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ cation 5d


## $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ cation 5e


$\mathbf{M e O} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ anion 5a


## Tolyl CF $_{3}$ anion 5b

Geometry


## Ph $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ anion 5c

Geometry

| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | Type | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.554889 | 0.659572 | -0.143451 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 1.692311 | 0.121939 | -0.105357 |
| 3 | 7 | 0 | 0.428223 | -0.253960 | -0.424946 |
| 4 | 7 | 0 | -0.466830 | 1.938795 | 0.102030 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 2.244969 | 1.270581 | 0.138717 |
| 6 | 16 | 0 | 1.132808 | 2.601108 | -0.142488 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -1.938408 | 0.081145 | -0.054923 |
| 8 | 6 | 0 | -2.141030 | -1.294262 | -0.237488 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -3.424649 | -1.839076 | -0.169825 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -4.526203 | -1.020399 | 0.086172 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -4.331871 | 0.352905 | 0.270365 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -3.051747 | 0.898051 | 0.197870 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | -1.267933 | -1.908512 | -0.428357 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -3.564362 | -2.909061 | -0.314345 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | -5.183969 | 1.000421 | 0.470314 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -2.883596 | 1.961187 | 0.335155 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 2.619011 | -1.079144 | 0.081219 |
| 18 | 9 | 0 | 3.916111 | -0.741225 | 0.243112 |
| 19 | 9 | 0 | 2.272614 | -1.819429 | 1.166641 |
| 20 | 9 | 0 | 2.567066 | -1.915872 | -0.985586 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | -5.526880 | -1.445337 | 0.141446 |



## $\mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ anion 5 d



## CF3 CF3 anion 5e




[^0]:    Comparison of bond lengths ( $\AA$, top) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$, bottom) in 1,2,4,6-thiatriazinyls determined from five crystal structures. A common numbering scheme was used among crystallographically independent monomers $(X=1-4)$. The average intradimer short $S \cdots$ S contact distance is $2.643(21)$ Å. $80 \times 106 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600$ DPI)

[^1]:    Proposed "Ladder" Scheme interrelating the voltammetric behavior of thiatriazinyls at lower and higher concentrations. $79 \times 37 \mathrm{~mm}(600 \times 600$ DPI)

