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ABSTRACT

The contribution of Virginia Satir (1916-1988) as a pioneer in the field of family therapy has been undervalued due to the lack of systematic writing about her theory and method. Over three decades, she relied predominantly on conducting workshops to transmit her ideas and to effect healing. Using a multi-methods research approach, this thesis exposes the religious root of the Satir Model from three perspectives: philosophical, ritual and empirical. The coherent ontology implicit in the Satir Model, and the similarity of Satir’s concept of congruence to an understanding of salvation as integration with oneself, others and the “ground of being” are explicated, using Tillich’s philosophical-theological categories as a framework. Victor Turner’s anthropological model of ritual process is used to bring out the liminality, symbolic enactment, oral discourse, deconstruction and reconstruction in Satir’s workshops which functioned as vehicles of personal and social transformation. Finally, the theoretical perspectives are tested against quantitative empirical data collected on two scales developed for this purpose, the Congruence Scale and the Satir Experience Scale. Intrapsychic-Interpersonal, Spiritual, Creative and Communal factors emerge as four dimensions in the Congruence Scale. Participants’ subscores on these factors indicate systemic interrelationships of the four dimensions. Two factors, the experience of Spiritual Significance and Human Significance, emerge from the Satir Experience Scale as dimensions experienced by participants in Satir workshops. Exposure to the Satir Model is found to be related to an increase in congruence and increase in the
experience of spiritual and human significance, providing initial indication of the
efficacy of the Satir Model. Synthesis of the theoretical and empirical findings
demonstrates that Satir’s vision articulates a holistic ontological framework with
an aim towards congruence that is facilitated through workshops functioning like
rites-of-passage. A case is made for typing Satir as a “prophet” figure according to
Max Weber’s sociological definition of a leader who, working outside of
mainstream institutions, introduces a new centre of revitalizing, rehumanizing
values and practices to a society in distress. In its coherent worldview, ritual
practice, and effectiveness in mobilizing a population toward congruence leading
to personal, social, communal and spiritual reconnectedness, the Satir Model finds
continuity with the transformative impulse of the prophetic strain of religion, and
yet is innovative in its contemporary cultural expression.