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Abstract

*Myo*-inositol polyphosphates (IPs) are ubiquitous in nature and involved in various cellular events. Dephosphorylation of IPs by protein tyrosine phosphatase-like polyphosphatases (PTPLPs) occurs via a complex pathway, and the *in vivo* function of many of these enzymes remains unknown. In order to further our understanding of PTPLP catalyzed dephosphorylation of IPs; I present rapid kinetics studies of the representative PTPLP PhyA from *Selenomonas ruminantium* (PhyAsr). These studies revealed kinetic parameters of PhyAsr dimerization, and *myo*-inositol hexakisphosphate (IP₆) binding to the homodimer. In addition to studying PhyAsr, I have developed a simplified methodology to produce a biosensor capable of detecting phosphate release in real-time. The phosphate biosensor is fluorescently labeled and utilizes *Escherichia coli* Phosphate-binding protein (PhoS). The results show that my proposed methodology yields a functional biosensor and is feasible for large-scale production. I envision this methodology to be versatile and useful for a large number of research applications where detection of free phosphate is required.
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## List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-IAF</td>
<td>5-iodoacetamidofluorescein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50S</td>
<td>50 svedberg prokaryotic ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70S</td>
<td>70 svedberg prokaryotic ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>Adenosine diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.U.</td>
<td>Arbitrary Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLASTp</td>
<td>Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (protein sequence input)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BME</td>
<td>β-mercaptoethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPs</td>
<td>β-Propeller phytases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAP</td>
<td>Histidine acid phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMAC</td>
<td>Immobilized metal affinity chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>myo-inositol polyphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP₃</td>
<td>myo-inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP₄</td>
<td>myo-inositol-1,2,5,6-tetraphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP₅</td>
<td>myo-inositol-1,2,4,5,6-pentakisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP₆</td>
<td>myo-inositol hexakisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP₇ase</td>
<td>myo-inositol polyphosphate-degrading enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KᵤD</td>
<td>Equilibrium dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KᵤM</td>
<td>Michaelis constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDCC</td>
<td>N-[2-(1-maleimidyl)ethyl]-7-(diethylamino)coumarin-3-carboxamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>inorganic phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP</td>
<td>Purple acid phosphatases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE</td>
<td>Phytate-Degrading Enzyme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhoS</td>
<td>Phosphate binding protein from <em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAbb</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAcp</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Clostridium perfringens</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAdm</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Desulfovibrio magneticus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAlpp</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Legionella pneumophila str. Paris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAme</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Megasphaera elsdenii</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAmm</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Mitsuokella multacidia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAms</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Mycococcus stipitus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAsl</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Selenomonas lacticifex</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAsr</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>Selenomonas ruminantium</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAsrl</td>
<td>PhyA from <em>S. ruminantium</em> subspecies <em>lactilytica</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyBmm</td>
<td>PhyB from <em>Mitsuokella multacidia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyBsl</td>
<td>PhyB from <em>Selenomonas lacticifex</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSF</td>
<td>phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-PhoS</td>
<td>PhoS with its N-terminal signal sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>Phosphate specific transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTPLP</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine phosphatase-like polyphosphatases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rho</td>
<td>tetramethylrhodamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS PAGE</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1: Literature Review: myo-inositol polyphosphate-degrading enzymes

1.1 myo-inositol polyphosphates

Myo-inositol polyphosphates (IPs) are ubiquitous in nature and involved in a number of important cellular signalling events (Best et al., 2010). There are a total of 63 possible permutations of the IP molecule, excluding IPs with pyrophosphate groups; and altering the phosphorylation state of an IP can trigger various events in vivo due to different phosphorylation patterns of IPs having a variety of signalling roles. However, it is not clear if IPs play a role in cell signalling in bacteria, as IPs have yet to be identified in this group of organisms. Of the IPs, myo-inositol hexakisphosphate (IP$_6$, or phytate) is the most abundant in vivo and can have a number of roles in different cell types (Best et al., 2010). For example IP$_6$ can be involved in RNA processing, mRNA export, DNA repair, apoptosis, plant development, and bacterial pathogenicity (York et al., 1999, Hanakahi et al., 2000, Chatterjee et al., 2003, Macbeth et al., 2005, Tan et al., 2007, Majerus et al., 2008). Additionally, IP$_6$ is utilized as a phosphate storage molecule in some organisms (Raboy and Dickinson 1987).

IPs with lower phosphorylation states can also serve important roles. Myo-inositol-1,3,4,5,6-pentakisphosphate is involved in cell proliferation, chromatin remodelling, viral assembly, and calcium channel regulation (Caffrey et al., 2001, Campbell et al., 2001, Quignard et al., 2003, Steger et al., 2003, Orchiston et al., 2004, Piccolo et al., 2004, Maffucci et al., 2005). As well, myo-inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate (IP$_3$) has been shown to have a role as a second messenger in calcium release (Streb et al., 1983, Best et al., 2010).
IPs can also be components of other important molecules involved in signal transduction pathways. For example, phosphatidylinositol phosphates (PIPs) consist of a glycerophospholipid attached to a phosphorylated myo-inositol head group (Best et al., 2010). The position(s) that are phosphorylated on the myo-inositol head group can vary, and these structures are important in cell signalling, and membrane trafficking (Di Paolo and De Camilli 2006, Wymann and Schneiter 2008, Posor et al., 2013).

1.1.1 myo-inositol polyphosphate structure

The structure of an IP is an achiral (meso) cyclohexane that can be phosphorylated at different positions (Best et al., 2010). Research utilizing nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of 0.1 M solutions of the Na$_{12}$IP$_6$ salt have shown that for IP$_6$ the most energetically favorable conformation adopts a 5-equatorial/1-axial conformation at pH values less than 9.2 (Isbrandt and Oertel 1980). At pH values larger than 9.6, IP$_6$ undergoes a pH dependent inversion and adopts a 5-axial/1-equatorial conformation (Isbrandt and Oertel 1980). However, the conformational properties of IP$_6$ can be strongly influenced by counter ions (Bauman et al., 1999), so that the aforementioned pH values causing conformational inversion of IP$_6$ should not be treated as absolute. A numbering convention commonly adopted labels the 1-position on the inositol ring as the point where the inositol ring is attached to a lipid backbone in inositol-based lipid structures. Numbering then continues counter-clockwise in the inositol ring, starting with the 2-position at the only axial group present in the < 9.2 pH structure of IP$_6$ (Best et al., 2010). This is the “D” numbering system for IPs (Fig. 1.1), and because the majority of biologically active IPs are the “D” enantiomers most researchers utilize the “D” numbering methodology (Murthy 2006).
When IP₆ is at physiological pH it has a charge between -6 and -9 (Isbrandt and Oertel 1980). This negative charge allows IP₆ to associate with a variety of positively charged entities, including cations or electropositive regions on proteins (Macbeth et al., 2005, Tan et al., 2007, Lupardus et al., 2008). Twelve sites on IP₆ can be protonated, six of these protons have pKₐ values of approximately 1.8; three protons have pKₐ values between 6.0 and 7.6, and the last three protons have pKₐ values between 9.2 and 9.6 (Isbrandt and Oertel 1980). However, these pKₐ values may vary depending on the presence and concentration of cations.

1.2 myo-inositol polyphosphate-degrading enzymes

Myo-inositol polyphosphate-degrading enzymes (IPases) are enzymes which catalyze the dephosphorylation of IPs via phosphoester bond hydrolysis, generating lower order IPs (Chen et al., 2015). IPases have been identified in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and have a number of proposed roles as the hydrolysis of phosphate esters is involved in many cellular processes (Chen et al., 2015). For example, some plants are hypersusceptible to viral infections when their IP₆ levels are low, and an IPase from the plant pathogen Xanthomonas oryzae is secreted and acts as a virulence factor by degrading host IP₆ (Chatterjee et al., 2003, Murphy et al., 2008). Some IPases have been shown to be exported from the cell and associated with the outer membrane, potentially suggesting a role in phosphate scavenging (D'Silva et al., 2000). Additionally, low phosphate levels in culture medium are required for the transcription of some IPase genes, further suggesting a role in phosphate scavenging (Van Hartingsveldt et al., 1993).
IPases that can dephosphorylate phytate are generally referred to as phytases. Phytases can have narrow or broad substrate specificities, and enzymes with broad substrate specificity may not utilize IPs as their preferred substrate (Rossburger et al., 2012). Additionally, the order of the dephosphorylation pathway of a substrate with multiple phosphate groups can vary (Table 1.1). Knowledge of the substrate specificity of phytases is key to understanding its in vivo function, as well as its biochemical properties. Moreover, the divergence of substrate specificity within the phytases, or within a class of phytases, is an interesting topic from an evolutionary and enzyme engineering perspective.

1.2.1 Classification of Phytases

All known phytases currently fall into one of four groups: histidine acid phosphatases, β-propeller phytases, purple-acid phosphatases, and protein tyrosine phosphatase-like phytases (Chen et al., 2015). Although these enzymes all share the ability to degrade IP₆, their mechanisms of action, structural features, and substrate specificities differ (Chen et al., 2015).

1.2.1.1 Histidine Acid Phosphatases

Histidine Acid Phosphatases (HAPs) represent the majority of phytases characterized to date (Chen et al., 2015). This group of enzymes has significant importance to agriculture, as the HAPs are often used as feed supplements in monogastric livestock diets to increase phosphate availability by breaking down plant-derived IPs (Mullaney et al., 1999). A two-step mechanism has been proposed to describe the liberation of phosphate from an IP by a HAP. The foundation of this two-step mechanism
hypothesis has been formed on crystallographic studies of transition-state complexes, and site-directed mutagenesis (Ostanin et al., 1992, Ostanin and Van Etten 1993, Lim et al., 2000, Liu et al., 2004). The proposed two-step mechanism involves first positioning of the scissile phosphate by its interaction with a conserved Arg in the active site. A conserved His of the “RHGXRXP” active site signature sequence acts as a nucleophile and forms a phospho-histidine intermediate. Next, a conserved Asp of the “HD” motif protonates the leaving group, and a water molecule hydrolyzes the covalent intermediate releasing the catalytic His for subsequent reactions (Ostanin et al., 1992, Ostanin and Van Etten 1993, Chen et al., 2015).

Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic HAPs have been identified, and aside from the aforementioned active site sequence motifs they share little sequence similarity. To date, a total of 25 HAP crystal structures have been reported from 9 organisms. HAP structural data shows that these proteins contain a α/β domain, and a smaller α domain, where substrate binding occurs at an interface between these two domains. Substrate specificity also varies between the HAPs, as diversity exists in the preferred ligands utilized by this group of enzymes (Chen et al., 2015). Divergence in quaternary structure has also been observed between the HAPs, as two of the studied proteins crystalize as a tetramer (Kostrewa et al., 1999, Ragon et al., 2009).

1.2.1.2 β-Propeller Phytase

β-Propeller phytases (BPPs) were first identified in Bacillus species, and fold into a six-bladed β-propeller structure (Ha et al., 2000). Also of structural importance to the BPPs are Ca$^{2+}$ ions, which are required both for protein thermostability and activity.
(Kerovuo et al., 2000). The binding of an IP to the BPP binding pocket is distinct from other phytases in that it requires Ca$^{2+}$ ions to provide favourable electrostatic interactions (Oh et al., 2001). This information combined with the absence of active site sequence conservation with HAPs suggests a unique mechanism of IP dephosphorylation by BPPs. Recent research has identified novel BPP candidate enzymes via survey of the intestinal contents of grass carp, with many distinct BPP genes originating from uncultured microorganisms (Huang et al., 2009). BPPs have been found broadly distributed in soil bacteria, plant bacteria, and aquatic environments; and may play a role in phytate-phosphate cycling (Lim et al., 2007).

1.2.1.3 Purple-Acid Phosphatases

Two phytate-degrading enzymes from *Aspergillus niger*, and *Glycine max* with homology to purple-acid phosphatases (PAPs) have also been studied (Ullah and Cummins 1988, Hegeman and Grabau 2001). Of these enzymes, the protein from *G. max* shows significantly more activity against phytate (Mullaney and Ullah 2003). PAPs are a class of metallophosphoesterases, which utilize Fe (III) metal ions in combination with Fe (II), Mn (II), or Zn (II) to form a binuclear metal center. The dephosphorylation mechanism used by PAPs first involves interaction between the substrate and the divalent cation of the binuclear center. Next, an Fe (III)-coordinated hydroxide performs a nucleophilic attack on the scissile phosphate (Klabunde et al., 1996).

1.2.1.4 Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase-like Phytases

The most recently discovered subgroup of IPases, protein tyrosine phosphatase-like phytases (PTPLPs) are predominantly microbial enzymes which contain a protein
tyrosine phosphatase (PTP)-like active site signature sequence (C(X)₅R(S/T)). PTPLPs are believed to utilize a similar dephosphorylation mechanism as PTPs due to the similarity of conserved active site features (Gruninger 2009). The mechanism of PTP catalyzed dephosphorylation has been proposed to proceed via a two-step mechanism (Guan and Dixon 1991, Zhang 2003). In the first step, a nucleophillic P-loop cysteine attacks the scissile phosphate of the substrate, while an aspartic acid protonates the leaving group. The transition state complex is stabilized by the aforementioned aspartic acid, an active site arginine, and main chain amines of the P-loop (Hengge et al., 1995, Zhang 2003). This results in the formation of a covalent phospho-cysteine intermediate (Guan and Dixon 1991, Pannifer et al., 1998). The second step in the reaction mechanism involves positioning a water molecule for nucleophillic attack by the same aspartic acid from the first step, followed by hydrolysis of the covalent intermediate (Wu and Zhang 1996, Zhang 2003).

Of the PTPLPs characterized, one of the two domains adopts a PTP-like fold while the other is a unique phytase domain (Chu et al., 2004, Gruninger et al., 2012, Gruninger et al., 2014). The significance of the evolutionary relationship between PTPs and PTPLPs is currently unknown; however, the best studied eukaryotic PTPs serve as regulators of cellular function (Shi et al., 1998). Currently, many PTPLPs have been hypothesized to be involved in phosphate scavenging or cell signalling; however, specific roles remain unknown for all but HopAO1 from the phytopathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*. HopAO1 contains a C-terminal PTPLP domain, and an N-terminal avirulence region used by type-III secretion systems to transport HopAO1 into plant cells (Espinosa et al., 2003). HopAO1 has been proposed to be a virulence factor for *P. syringae* that
suppresses apoptosis in plant cells, likely by interference with the Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway (Bretz et al., 2003, Espinosa et al., 2003, Macho et al., 2014). As well, a PTPLP from Legionella pneumophila str. Paris has a similar proposed function through a type-IV secretion system (Weber et al., 2014).

1.3 Recent Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase-like Phytase Research

PTPLPs from various organisms have been cloned into E. coli, and their protein products characterized using several in vitro enzyme assays (Gruninger et al., 2003, Puhl et al., 2007, Puhl et al., 2008a, Puhl et al., 2008b, Puhl et al., 2009, Thibault 2010, Rossburger et al., 2012, Gruninger et al., 2014, Van Herk et al., 2015). The characterization of PTPLPs from anaerobic Gram-positive bacteria has included: PhyAsr from Selenomonas ruminantium, PhyAmm and PhyBmm from Mitsuokella multacida, PhyAsl and PhyBsl from Selenomonas lacticifex, PhyAsrl from S. ruminantium subspecies lactilytica, PhyAme from Megasphaera elsdenii, and PhyAcp from Clostridium perfringens. PTPLPs from Gram-negative bacteria have also been characterized, including: HopAO1 from Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato, PhyAbb from Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus, PhyAlpp from Legionella pneumophila str. Paris, PhyAdm from Desulfovibrio magneticus, and PhyAms from Myxococcus stipitatus. Biochemical properties of these PTPLPs have been summarized (Table 1.1). To date, over 300 homologs to PhyAsr from various bacterial organisms have been identified. In order to show the diversity and evolutionary trajectory of the PTPLP class of enzymes, a phylogenetic tree of the PTPLP genes from these organisms has been constructed (Fig. 1.2). By characterizing the substrate binding properties of various enzymes on the tree, one can describe the divergence of substrate specificity within this class of enzymes. With
this type of data set, researchers are better equipped to develop methods to produce specific IPs of interest. For example, either by engineering an enzyme to have novel functionality, or by predicting which uncharacterized PTPLPs in the tree will have a desired activity.

The PTPLPs characterized tend to have acidic pH optima in the range of 4 - 6, and temperature optima of 40 – 60 °C. An exception to this is HopAO1, which has a neutral pH optimum and operates best at mesophilic temperatures. In studies screening 20 phosphorylated substrates, PTPLPs generally were most active against IP₆. However, two PTPLPs – PhyAcp and PhyBmm – showed broad substrate specificity and displayed more activity against substrates other than IP₆ (Rossburger et al., 2012). Other than PhyAcp and PhyBmm, PTPLPs showed little or no activity against conventional PTP substrates (e.g. O-phospho-tyrosine), and it has been suggested that various structural features confer specificity for IPs (Chu et al., 2004, Puhl et al., 2007, Puhl et al., 2008a).

A parameter that has often been examined when studying PTPLPs is their dephosphorylation pathway of IP₆. Most PTPLPs have a single, specific dephosphorylation pathway of IP₆ that is followed more than 80% of the time. An exception to this is PhyAme, which has two prominent dephosphorylation pathways. These primary dephosphorylation pathways can differ for each PTPLP, and alternate minor pathways exist for IP dephosphorylation. For inositol-based substrates there appears to be a preference for the more highly phosphorylated substrate, as PTPLPs generally display the most activity towards IP₆ (Table 1.1). Preference for the more
negatively charged phosphorylated substrates may be for electrostatic reasons, as many PTPLP binding pockets contain electropositive regions that interact with substrate (Gruninger et al., 2009, Gruninger et al., 2012, Gruninger et al., 2014). Of the PTPLPs with known IP₆ dephosphorylation pathways, all are able to remove 5 phosphate groups leaving a singly substituted IP with a phosphate at the 2-position; except for HopAO1, which removes fewer than 5 phosphates from IP₆. There are two PTPLPs that have shown preference for lower order IPs over IP₆. These enzymes are PhyBsl, and the D1 domain of PhyAmm (Puhl et al., 2008a, Gruninger et al., 2009). The basis of myo-inositol-1,2,5,6-tetraphosphate (IP₄) substrate preference by PhyBsl is unknown (Puhl et al., 2008a). However, in PhyAmm, activity of the D1 domain is hindered by the presence of a phosphate group at the 2-position on an IP; and docking studies have suggested that this is for steric reasons (Gruninger et al., 2009). Naming of the phytase enzymes may require further consideration, as some enzymes classified as phytases may have very little activity against phytate.

Ongoing PTPLP research projects aim to understand enzyme characteristics with the long-term goal of producing a variety of IP substrates (Van Herk 2014, Chen et al., 2015). Production of these various IP substrates from IP₆ could be achieved by discovering novel activity in uncharacterized PTPLPs, or by modifying the activity of a previously characterized PTPLP to alter its IP degradation pathway. Expanding the scope of IPs that can be produced by PTPLPs will be useful for industrial purposes as an alternative to current production methods, as current chemically synthesized IPs can be very expensive. For example, 1 mg of myo-inositol 1,3,4,5 – tetraphosphate from Cayman Chemical currently costs $351 (Cayman Chemical P/N: 60980). Novel PTPLPs have been
identified via survey of ruminant fluid from cattle or by searching genetic and protein databases for relatedness in primary sequence to known PTPLPs (Yanke et al., 1998, Thibault 2010). Although both approaches have resulted in the identification of novel PTPLPs in the past, primary sequence analysis is much less laborious particularly with the rapid accumulation of whole genome sequences in GenBank.

1.3.1 PhyA from *Selenomonas ruminantium*

Much of the PTPLP data available has been derived from studies of the representative PTPLP PhyAsr. PhyAsr was first discovered in a survey of anaerobic ruminal bacteria (Yanke et al., 1998, Yanke et al., 1999). Previous research has shown that PhyAsr is exported from *S. ruminantium* and associates to the outer cell membrane, suggesting a role in phosphate scavenging (D'Silva et al., 2000). Alternatively, PhyAsr may be involved in some unknown processes where production of specific IPs is required.

The dephosphorylation pathway of PhyAsr has been previously studied by incubating enzyme with substrate, followed by a time-course of IPs produced (Puhl et al., 2007). Results from this study showed that PhyAsr dephosphorylation of IP₆ is very ordered and follows a single, primary pathway greater than 80% of the time (Fig. 1.3). After each phosphate cleavage event, the IP product is released from the binding site and can later re-bind and serve as a substrate for subsequent rounds of hydrolysis. Current hypotheses have proposed that PhyAsr has multiple, overlapping binding sites for IPs; and the primary dephosphorylation pathway is a reflection of the IP permutations best-suited for these sites (Gruninger et al., 2012). Generally speaking, PhyAsr is most active against IPs with more phosphate groups. It has been proposed that this activity is due to
electrostatic interactions as the PhyAsr binding pocket is very electropositive and the more highly substituted IPs harbour a larger negative charge.

Early crystallographic structural studies of PhyAsr in complex with the inhibitor myo-inositol hexasulphate showed the active site was located near a conserved Cys-containing P-loop (Chu et al., 2004). Additional crystallographic studies have shown catalytically inactive PhyAsr in complex with various IP substrates revealing the underlying features of its protein architecture (Puhl et al., 2007, Gruninger et al., 2008, Gruninger et al., 2012). This protein folds into a two-domain structure, comprised of a PTP-domain, and a smaller phytase domain. The larger domain is comprised of a 4-stranded β-sheet core surrounded by several α-helices, and the smaller domain consists of a 5-stranded β-sheet and an α-helix. Binding of IPs occurs at an interface between the two domains in close proximity to the P-loop (Fig. 1.4). This protein is also known to crystalize as a homodimer (Gruninger 2009); however, the biological significance of this quaternary structure formation has not been investigated so far.

In addition to structural data, preliminary kinetics and binding studies have been performed using PhyAsr (Gruninger et al., 2012). This enzyme has a $K_M$ value that is several orders of magnitude larger than its $K_D$, suggesting that it does not follow a Michaelis-Menten mechanism. In order to study binding and rapid kinetics, PhyAsr mutants have been designed that can be labeled with a fluorescent thiol-reactive probe at a position near the IP binding site (either H188C or K301C, Fig. 1.4), and that produce a detectable change in fluorescence upon substrate binding. In previous publications, a catalytically inactive H188C/C252S variant of PhyAsr has been used to obtain
preliminary substrate binding, and rapid kinetics data (Gruninger et al., 2012). This data has suggested that: 1) The affinity of IP$_6$ for PhyAsr H188C/C252S is less than 1 micromolar; and 2) binding of IP$_6$ to PhyAsr H188C/C252S follows a mechanism with at least two steps. However, there is no published kinetic data about the formation of the PhyAsr homodimer. Additionally, only limited information is available regarding the kinetics of substrate binding, catalysis, and product release of IPs from PhyAsr. Obtaining kinetic data with respect to the mechanism of PhyAsr will provide insight into the complex nature of the dephosphorylation pathway of this enzyme. Additionally, kinetic studies could be expanded beyond the model system of PhyAsr and utilized with other PTPLPs to examine a broader research objective of examining divergence of substrate specificity.

1.4 Objectives: myo-inositol polyphosphate degrading enzymes

As part of my thesis objectives, I have described the kinetic parameters involved in the formation of PhyAsr quaternary structure. This was of interest to me because the formation of PhyAsr dimers may play a role in its *in vivo* functions. As well, this research will allow for improved design of future PhyAsr experiments. For example, various experiments have been published for PhyAsr where the concentration of protein varies greatly between studies; meaning the partitioning of PhyAsr between the different quaternary structure may vary between studies. Additionally in my thesis, I have described the kinetic parameters of IP$_6$ binding to dimerized PhyAsr. This is a first step in describing the kinetic mechanism of the model PTPLP PhyAsr; however the concentrations of PhyAsr used in these experiments were higher than what has been used in previous research. As well, I have done research on the effect of phosphate on the
binding of IP$_6$ to PhyAsr. Previous studies have shown that phosphate is an inhibitor of PhyAsr catalyzed dephosphorylation of IP$_6$; however the mechanistic basis for this inhibition is unknown (Gruninger et al., 2012). In general, these studies will contribute to the overall understanding of the underlying kinetic features of PhyAsr, as well as provide a model system for future PTPLP kinetic studies.
Figure 1.1. Molecular diagram of myo-inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakisphosphate in the chair conformation labeled using the “D” numbering system. Phosphate groups are shown as P, and carbons are numbered inside of the ring. The lone axial phosphate in the < 9.2 pH structure is attached to the carbon numbered as 2, and an internal plane of symmetry runs through the carbons numbered 2 and 5 in this achiral (meso) cyclohexane.
Sequences were aligned using a ClustalW alignment with default parameters (cost matrix, BLOSUM; gap open cost, 10; gap extend cost, 0.1), and a tree was built using a neighbour joining distance matrix (Thompson et al., 1994).
Figure 1.3. The dephosphorylation pathway of IP$_{6}$ by PhyAsr. Numbers in brackets denote the position(s) of phosphate groups remaining on the IP. Thicker arrows denote the primary dephosphorylation pathway which has >80% prevalence, and thinner arrows denote minor pathways (Puhl et al., 2007).
Figure 1.4. Cartoon representation of PhyAsr structure. Colouring is as follows: α-helices – red, β-sheets – blue, P-loop – yellow, positions mutated for fluorescent labeling (H188 or K301) – green. In this structure, a catalytically inactive variant of PhyAsr (C252S) was used, and the IP₆ substrate is visible just below the P-loop. PDB ID: 3MMJ
Table 1.1. Biochemical properties of characterized PTPLPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Size (kDa)</th>
<th>Temp. Optimum (°C)</th>
<th>pH Optimum</th>
<th>Substrate Specificity</th>
<th>$K_M$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>IP$_6$ Degradation Pathway$^1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhyAsr$^2$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>3,1,6,5,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAmm$^3$</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>3,1,5,6,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAsl$^4$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>3,4,5,6,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyBsl$^4$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>IP$_4$</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3,4,5,6,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAsrl$^5$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>IP$_6$/ATP</td>
<td>7/229</td>
<td>8/14</td>
<td>5,4,6,3,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAme$^6$</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>3,4,5,6,1 or 4,5,6,1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HopAO1$^7$</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,6,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAbb$^8$</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAms$^9$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAcp$^{10}$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Broad</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAdm$^{10}$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyAlpp$^{10}$</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>IP$_6$</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhyBmm$^{10}$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Broad</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Numbers indicate the order the phosphate groups are removed

$^2$ (Puhl et al., 2007)

$^3$ (Gruninger et al., 2003)

Note: PhyAmm contains multiple active sites with different activities, and the values displayed here reflect the enzyme activity as a whole

$^4$ (Puhl et al., 2008a)

$^5$ (Puhl et al., 2008b)

$^6$ (Puhl et al., 2009)

$^7$ (Thibault 2010)

$^8$ (Gruninger et al., 2014)

$^9$ (Van Herk et al., 2015)

$^{10}$ (Rossburger et al., 2012)

N.R. – Not Reported

Note: all protein characterization was performed on constructs lacking signal sequences, and the molecular weights listed reflect constructs lacking signal peptides
Chapter 2: Literature Review: Phosphate detection methods

The detection of free phosphate is a critical element in many biochemical studies. For example, many *in vitro* enzyme activity assays require reliable and quantitative measurement and detection of phosphate concentration. Such assays include the measurement of phosphatase activity, for example the measurement of ATPase, or GTPase activity. Current methods to quantify phosphate for *in vitro* enzyme activity assays include colorimetric chemical assays, radioactive assays, and fluorescence-based detection using labeled phosphate binding protein (Brune et al., 1994, Feng et al., 2011, Craig et al., 2014).

The malachite green-based detection of phosphate is a commonly used colorimetric method (Feng et al., 2011). A typical protocol using this system in an enzyme activity assay would first incubate the enzyme of interest with the respective substrate, followed by quenching of the reaction with strong acid at a specific time point to acquire a time series. Subsequently, the samples will be mixed with malachite green solution, and ammonium molybdate solution, which forms a chromogenic complex in the presence of free phosphate (Feng et al., 2011). The incubation steps are generally 15 – 30 minutes in duration and have involved adding malachite green solution followed by ammonium molybdate solution; alternatively both solutions can be added to the sample at the same time (Feng et al., 2011). The chromogenic complex formed can then be detected by absorbance spectroscopy at 650 nm, and the phosphate concentration in the respective sample can be extrapolated from a previously determined standard curve of A_{650} versus phosphate concentration. This method is versatile, and has been successful for the detection of phosphate concentrations down to the nanomolar range (Hess and Derr
1975). With respect to its use in the kinetic analysis of enzymes, the malachite-based approach can be used for a wide range of experimental designs, such as detection of phosphate formation in ATPases, GTPases, or other phosphatase activity assays. Activity assays can also be expanded to determine other biochemical parameters of enzymes of interest. For example, pH, temperature, or substrate concentration could be varied to determine pH optima, temperature optima, and steady-state kinetic parameters involved in phosphate formation for an enzyme. The benefits of using this methodology are that it is easy to use, inexpensive, easily scalable, and sample measurement requires only a spectrophotometer. While these assays are straightforward and highly reproducible they involve the quenching of the reactions, which denatures the protein of interest liberating any bound P$_i$. As such these assays are not able to differentiate between the formation of P$_i$ during the reaction and the subsequent release of the phosphate from the enzyme (Fig. 2.1). Often the latter is delayed with respect to the chemical step and frequently serves important mechanistic functions (Lionne et al., 1995, Takagi et al., 2004, Savelsbergh et al., 2005, Wang et al., 2010). In addition the malachite green assay requires incubation steps in order to form the phosphate complexes that can be detected; meaning detection is not in real-time. Real-time detection of phosphate has applications in rapid-kinetics studies, for example, in the determination of the rates of phosphate release following a dephosphorylation step.

A related alternative to colorimetric assays is the use of radioisotope labels. The radioisotope approach to detection of phosphate utilizes substrates labeled with $^{32}$P or $^{33}$P. Protocols utilizing radioactive detection first involve incubation of a radiolabeled substrate with the enzyme studied, followed by chemical quenching of the reaction, and
subsequent detection of liberated radioactive phosphate (Craig et al., 2014). Detection of radioactive phosphate is usually achieved using liquid scintillation counting, or autoradiography (Craig et al., 2014). With liquid scintillation counting, a scintillation cocktail absorbs energy emitted by the radioisotope being detected and re-emits it as photons to be detected by a photomultiplier tube (Horrocks 1974). With autoradiography, modern methodology usually involves first resolving radioactive samples on a gel or thin-layer chromatography plate; followed by radioisotope detection using a phosphorimager screen (Craig et al., 2014). The phosphorimager screen can then be scanned with a laser, and light emissions detected (Craig et al., 2014). Similar to the colorimetric method, this type of methodology can be used to determine the steady-state kinetic parameters of phosphate formation by an enzyme (e.g. the Michaelis constant, or catalytic efficiency). For example, assays utilizing $\gamma^{32}$P labeled GTP have been used to determine the rates of enzymatic GTP hydrolysis by GTPases (De Laurentiis and Wieden 2015, Coatham et al., 2016). Although radioactive detection of phosphate is a useful tool, the main restriction in terms of running this type of assay is the availability of radioactively labeled substrate analogs. Additionally, this type of assay is not able to distinguish between phosphate formation and phosphate release. Information regarding the phosphate product release step is key to understanding complex enzyme mechanisms.

Although the aforementioned colorimetric and radioactive methods of phosphate detection are useful for researchers in detecting the enzymatic formation of phosphate, they lack the fundamental ability to separate the chemical step of phosphate formation from the step of phosphate release due the required quenching step, which will liberate any enzyme-bound phosphate. Phosphate release from a protein active site has a variety
of roles in biological systems; the release of phosphate by an enzyme can contribute substantially to the function and mechanism of an enzyme or system. For example, phosphate release from actin bound myosin-ADP-Pi triggers force generation in muscle fibers (Takagi et al., 2004). As well, some ATPases are rate-limited by the release of phosphate when performing ATP hydrolysis (Lionne et al., 1995, Wang et al., 2010). These and other examples have led to the hypothesis that phosphate release kinetics are key to a variety of biological processes (Brune et al., 1994) and that quenching based detection methods might miss the critical role this mechanistic step might play in an enzymes reaction mechanism.

2.1 Phosphate binding protein

To overcome these shortcomings a protein biosensor-based system to detect the release of the formed phosphate from the enzyme of interest has been developed (Brune et al., 1994). This method is able to dissect the chemical step of phosphate formation from the mechanistic step of phosphate release. The phosphate-binding protein method for phosphate detection utilizes a fluorescently labeled variant of *Escherichia coli* PhoS. *In vivo* PhoS is a component of the phosphate-specific transport (PST) system, which is the primary method for uptake of extracellular phosphate in *E. coli* (Hsieh and Wanner 2010). The PST system is comprised of an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter protein, as well as high-affinity phosphate-binding proteins such as PhoS (Willsky and Malamy 1980, Goody 2013). Gene expression of PhoS is up-regulated by phosphate limitation, and the protein is synthesized with an N-terminal signal sequence which is cleaved upon localization to the periplasm (Magota et al., 1984).
Previous structural data suggests that PhoS undergoes a large conformational change upon phosphate binding (Hirshberg et al., 1998). PhoS consists of two-domains and a flexible hinge region, and binding of phosphate occurs at an interface between the respective N and C-terminal domains. In the phosphate-bound PhoS structure, the protein is “closed” and phosphate is completely desolvated and coordinated by enzyme residues via hydrogen bonds (Luecke and Quiocho 1990, Ledvina et al., 1996). In the phosphate-free PhoS structure, the protein adopts an open conformation providing easy access of the binding pocket to the bulk solvent.

Multiple mutations of PhoS have been developed in order to allow for labeling with thiol reactive probes and to detect the conformational change triggered by phosphate binding (Brune et al., 1994, Hirshberg et al., 1998). The most widely used version of PhoS for phosphate release assays is the A197C variant labeled with \(N\)-[2-(1-maleimidyl)ethyl]-7-(diethylamino)coumarin-3-carboxamide (MDCC). This variant provides ideal positioning for the MDCC fluorophore on the edge of the phosphate binding site in PhoS, which allows for a signal change of up to 5-fold upon phosphate binding (Hirshberg et al., 1998). Binding of phosphate to PhoS A197C-MDCC is rapid (\(1.36 \times 10^8\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), and tight (\(K_D \sim 0.1\)µM), making this system ideal for real-time detection of the release of free phosphate \textit{in vitro} (Brune et al., 1994). This system has been successfully commercialized and ThermoFisher Scientific currently sells PhoS A197C-MDCC at a price of $450/10 nanomoles. As a comparison, the amount of MDCC fluorophore required to produce 10 nanomoles of PhoS A197C-MDCC would cost less than a dollar based on current prices.
As an alternative to this system another fluorescent labeling strategy of PhoS worth noting is double-tetramethylrhodamine (rho) labeled PhoS A17C I76G A197C. This labeled protein maintains rapid, tight binding of phosphate, has an additional mutation in the hinge-region of PhoS, and has been shown to produce a improved signal change of up to 18-fold (Okoh et al., 2006). In this variant, the distance of the rho dyes allows for the formation of a non-covalent, low-fluorescence rho dimer in the absence of phosphate. When phosphate binds to double-rho labeled PhoS A17C I76G A197C, the conformational change of PhoS reorients the dyes into their high-fluorescence monomer form. However, a reported issue with this construct is contamination with single-labeled rho-PhoS following the labeling reaction. Subsequent chromatographic steps are required in order to remove the highly fluorescent single-labeled rho-PhoS (Solscheid et al., 2015).

The very tight binding of phosphate to labeled PhoS makes it very useful for measuring phosphate concentrations in the low micromolar range. However at higher phosphate concentrations this system will be expensive to use as labeled PhoS will need to be in excess over phosphate. This is because, like the colorimetric and radioactive systems described in this literature review, phosphate detection is stoichiometric. In a recent PhoS paper, the authors introduced many variants of PhoS with affinities for phosphate ranging from $<1 \, \mu\text{M}$ to $>200 \, \mu\text{M}$ (Solscheid et al., 2015). The decreased affinity of these PhoS constructs allows for sub-stoichiometric quantities of labeled PhoS to be used for detecting phosphate, making this system useful for detecting high quantities of phosphate. However in situations where rapid, sensitive detection of phosphate is required the high-affinity PhoS constructs would be better suited.
In general, the reported protein production and purification procedures for PhoS are laborious. PhoS has been previously purified by first expressing from a plasmid, pSN5182 (Brune et al., 1994), in E. coli using its native promoter and signal sequence, followed by purification from the periplasm using osmotic shock, followed by ion-exchange chromatography, and size-exclusion chromatography (Brune et al., 1994). Recently, expression of PhoS variants from a pET22b plasmid using isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) induction has been reported (Solscheid et al., 2015) and purification was performed as previously described (Morita et al., 1983, Okoh et al., 2006, Solscheid et al., 2015). This demonstrated that PhoS variants could be expressed using an IPTG-inducible promoter, allowing for higher expression levels than previously described. For labeling of PhoS variants with rho or MDCC, various adjustments to standard protocols have been reported to increase product yield and labeling efficiency (Brune et al., 1994, Brune et al., 1998, Okoh et al., 2006). For example, some studies have aimed to improve labeling efficiency by removing phosphate contamination from PhoS variant protein preparations. The presence of phosphate can decrease labeling efficiency because in a phosphate-bound PhoS variant crystal structure, the 197C thiol is buried likely not accessible for labeling (Hirshberg et al., 1998). The methods for removing phosphate contamination include using an additional chromatographic step, or including a “phosphate mop” in the labeling reaction (Brune et al., 1994, Brune et al., 1998, Okoh et al., 2006). The phosphate mop utilizes 7-methylguanosine and purine nucleoside phosphorylase, which is able to remove free phosphate by its conversion to ribose 1-phosphate (Brune et al., 1994). Although these studies have succeeded in improving the protein expression and labeling efficiency, the main challenge is that many of these procedures remain inefficient. For example, little has been done to improve the
purification procedure of PhoS variants, and utilizing modern purification strategies may allow researchers to produce PhoS A197C-MDCC at a lower cost.

2.2 Objectives: Phosphate Detection

In short the PhoS system of phosphate detection is extremely useful, however its high price and laborious purification procedure may prevent it from being a cost-effective research tool. In this thesis, I present a simplified methodology of PhoS A197C-MDCC production that has drastically decreased the cost of producing this biosensor relative to a commercially available product. As well, I demonstrate that this methodology produces PhoS A197C-MDCC suitable for use in real-time phosphate release assays.
2.2 Chapter 2. Figures and Tables

Figure 2.1. Schematic representation of an enzyme-catalyzed dephosphorylation reaction, distinguishing phosphate formation from phosphate release. In this hypothetical mechanism, an enzyme (E) is bound to a phosphorylated substrate (S-P) in Complex 1. The bond between the substrate (S) and the phosphate (P) is broken, resulting in Complex 2. Following this phosphate release occurs, resulting in free phosphate. In this figure an E-S complex is shown for Complex 3, and it should be noted that S could dissociate from the complex before, after, or at the same time as P.
Chapter 3: A kinetic study of quaternary structure formation and phytate binding by PhyA from *Selenomonas ruminantium*

3.1 Introduction

*Myo*-inositol polyphosphates (IPs) are ubiquitous in nature and have a variety of physiological roles. IPs chelate cations, and are the principal form of phosphate storage in plant seeds (Reddy et al., 1982). Additionally, IPs serve a variety of roles in cellular signalling events. For example, *myo*-inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6–hexakisphosphate (IP$_6$, or phytate) is involved in mRNA export, DNA repair, bacterial pathogenicity, RNA editing, plant development, and apoptosis (York et al., 1999, Hanakahi et al., 2000, Chatterjee et al., 2003, Macbeth et al., 2005, Tan et al., 2007, Majerus et al., 2008). Other IPs are involved in cell signalling processes as well; for example, *myo*-inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate is exemplary in terms of biological activity for its role as a second-messenger (Best et al., 2010).

Phytate-Degrading Enzymes (PDEs) are enzymes that dephosphorylate phytate, producing lower-order IPs. PDEs are generally referred to as phytases, and this class of enzymes is sub-divided into four groups: histidine acid phosphatases, β-propeller phytases, purple-acid phosphatases, and protein tyrosine phosphatase-like phytases (PTPLPs). Although these enzymes all share the ability to degrade IPs, their mechanisms of action, structural features, and substrate specificities differ. The PTPLP group of phytases contains a PTP domain and use a PTP-like mechanism to dephosphorylate IPs (Puhl et al., 2007). The significance of this evolutionary relationship between PTPLPs and PTPs is not well understood; however, the best studied-eukaryotic PTPs are
regulators of cellular function (Chen et al., 2015). The representative PTPLP PhyA from *Selenomonas ruminantium* (PhyAsr) contains this PTP domain, yet the protein shows little activity against conventional PTP substrates and contains various structural features that confer specificity to IPs (Chu et al., 2004, Puhl et al., 2007, Gruninger et al., 2012).

PhyAsr is able to dephosphorylate IPs in a stepwise fashion, releasing the IP product after each round of catalysis (Puhl et al., 2007). PhyAsr follows its primary dephosphorylation pathway for the conversion of IP$_6$ to *myo*-inositol 2-phosphate $>80\%$ of the time (Puhl et al., 2007). This type of selectivity for one substrate in the pathway over other very similar substrates is interesting from an enzyme engineering perspective. For example, knowledge of this selectivity by PhyAsr may lead to the ability to engineer a PTPLP to produce a specific IP. Production of a specific IP would be useful from an industrial prospective, as current methods to produce stereospecific IPs are costly. Knowledge of the kinetic mechanism of PhyAsr provides useful information in understanding the basis of IP selectivity by this enzyme. However, there is limited data describing the kinetic mechanism of PhyAsr. In previous publications, catalytically inactive (C252S) fluorescently labeled variants of PhyAsr have been developed to study substrate binding (Gruninger et al., 2012). This fluorescence reporter system utilizes amino acid substitution in PhyAsr that allow for labeling with a thiol reactive probe (either H188C or K301C substitution). The respective variants contain substitutions at a position near the IP binding site, and produce a detectable change in fluorescence upon IP binding. Previous data has suggested that: 1) the affinity of IP$_6$ to labeled PhyAsr H188C/C252S is below 1 micromolar, 2) binding of IP$_6$ to labeled PhyAsr H188C/C252S follows a mechanism with at least two steps and 3) that the Michaelis constant ($K_M$) value
is several orders of magnitude larger than the Equilibrium Dissociation constant (\(K_D\)), suggesting that it does not follow a Michaelis-Menten mechanism (Gruninger et al., 2012). Also it has been shown that phosphate is an inhibitor of PhyAsr catalyzed dephosphorylation of IP<sub>6</sub> to *myo*-inositol 1,2,4,5,6 – pentakisphosphate (IP<sub>5</sub>) under multiple-turnover conditions (Gruninger et al., 2012). However, only little is known regarding the molecular mechanism of substrate binding to PhyAsr, and the kinetic details for PhyAsr-catalyzed dephosphorylation of IPs are largely missing.

An additional feature of PhyAsr that has remained mostly unexplored is the mechanistic role of PhyAsr dimerization, which has been observed in crystal structures (Fig. 3.1) as well as size-exclusion chromatography purification procedures (Gruninger 2009). This observation raises a number of questions. For example, at what protein concentration does PhyAsr dimerize, and at what rate does PhyAsr dimerization occur? Is PhyAsr dimerization physiologically relevant? If so, what role does the formation of this quaternary structure serve *in vivo*? Systematic dissection of the fluorescence signal observed with the previously reported fluorescently labeled mutations of PhyAsr (Gruninger et al., 2012) reveal that it is sensitive to dimerization, meaning these constructs are useful to studies of the kinetics of PhyAsr dimerization.

Here, I report for the first time the \(K_D\), minimal molecular mechanism, and respective rate constants of PhyAsr dimerization using a fluorescence reporter assay using the stopped-flow technique. As well, I describe IP<sub>6</sub> binding to dimerized PhyAsr both in the presence, and absence of phosphate.
3.2 Methods and Materials

Protein Overexpression and Purification

*Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells (Novagen) containing the previously constructed pET28b::PhyAsr constructs (Puhl et al., 2007, Gruninger et al., 2012) were used as an inoculum in LB medium with kanamycin (50 µg/mL) and incubated overnight (37°C, 200 RPM). The following day, fresh LB medium with kanamycin was inoculated with the overnight culture (2% v/v). The resulting culture was grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6, and induced with isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside to a final concentration of 1 mM. Cells were harvested approximately 3 h later by centrifugation (5000 × g, 5 minutes, 4°C), flash frozen, and stored at -80°C.

Cells were resuspended in 7 mL Buffer A (20 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 7.0), 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (BME), 15 mM imidazole) per gram of cells. The suspension was sonicated (Branson Sonifier 450, Danbury, CT) on ice for 1 min at 60% duty cycle (repeated twice, with a 5 minute break between cycles), cell debris was removed by centrifugation (5000 × g, 5 minutes, 4°C), and the supernatant was centrifuged again (30 000 × g, 30 minutes, 4°C). The supernatant was loaded onto a Ni$^{2+}$ immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) resin (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) column that was equilibrated with Buffer A on a low-pressure liquid chromatography system (Bio-Rad BioLogic LP) at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The column was then washed with Buffer A until $A_{280}$ of flow-through decreased below 0.2 absorbance units. Protein was eluted using Buffer E (Buffer A with 400 mM imidazole). Fractions were analyzed for purity using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.
(SDS-PAGE) stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue, and fractions containing PhyAsr were pooled and dialyzed into Equilibration Buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM βME) for labeling.

**Fluorescent Labeling**

For every 400 nmoles of protein, 5 mL of Ni$^{2+}$ IMAC resin (Bio-Rad) equilibrated in 40 mL equilibration buffer was used for batch binding. The resin was centrifuged (all centrifugation steps in this fluorescent labeling protocol were 500 × g, 5 minutes, 20°C), and buffer solution decanted. Resin was washed with 1 volume of Labeling Buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol). Following centrifugation, the buffer solution was decanted. The wash step was repeated 8 times and the resin containing bound PhyAsr was resuspended in 1 volume of Labeling Buffer, and a 20-fold molar excess of 5-iodoacetamidofluorescein (5-IAF; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA) was added (from a freshly made 30 mM stock in dimethylformamine). The resin was then incubated overnight at 30°C with shaking (240 RPM, Innova 4080 incubator shaker, New Brunswick Scientific, Enfield, CT). The reaction was stopped by washing with 1 volume of Labeling Buffer, the supernatant was decanted, and the wash repeated until the supernatant solution appeared colourless. Elution of labeled protein was performed with 1 volume of Elution Buffer (Labeling Buffer with 500 mM imidazole) until elution appeared colourless. Fractions collected were examined via SDS-PAGE under UV light, and fractions containing labeled PhyAsr were pooled and dialyzed into 2 L of 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0), 300 mM NaCl (4 exchanges at 4°C, dialysis tubing: Spectra/Por molecular weight cut-off 6-8 kDa). Labeling efficiencies were typically
~70%, and were determined using spectrophotometry and manufacturer’s labeling formulae (Equations 3.1 and 3.2, ThermoFisher).

\[
[c] = \frac{(A_{280} - (A_{491} \times 0.3))}{\varepsilon_p \times L} \quad (3.1)
\]

Labeling efficiency (%) = \( \frac{A_{491}}{(\varepsilon_d \times [c] \times L)} \times 100\% \) \quad (3.2)

Where \([c]\) is the protein concentration (M), \(A_{280}\) is the absorbance at 280 nm of the labeled protein preparation, \(A_{491}\) is the absorbance at 491 nm of the labeled protein preparation, 0.3 is a correction factor which adjusts for the absorbance that fluorescein will have at 280 nm, \(\varepsilon_p\) is the molar extinction coefficient of the protein (80 330 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)), \(L\) is the spectrophotometer path length (cm), and \(\varepsilon_d\) is the molar extinction coefficient of the 5-IAF dye (82 000 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)).

Data Analysis: Error Propagation

When indicated, standard deviation values were propagated using the equations below. For operations involving subtraction of one value with standard deviation from another, Equation 3.3 was used. For operations involving division or multiplication of values with standard deviation, Equation 3.4 was used.

\[
d_c = \sqrt{((d_a)^2 + (d_b)^2)} \quad (3.3)
\]

\[
d_c / c = \sqrt{((d_a / a)^2 + (d_b / b)^2)} \quad (3.4)
\]

Where \(d_a\) and \(d_b\) are standard deviations associated with the mean values \(a\) and \(b\) respectively, and \(d_c\) is the error propagated standard deviation of the calculated value \(c\).
**Equilibrium Fluorescence Measurements**

Fluorescence spectrophotometry experiments were conducted using a Quanta Master 60 Fluorescence Spectrometer (Photon Technology International, Edison, New Jersey; excitation wavelength: 488 nm, emission wavelength: 505 - 540 nm, excitation slit widths: 2 nm, emission slit widths: 4 nm, step size: 1 nm, integration: 1 s). All equilibrium fluorescence measurements were performed in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0), 300 mM NaCl at 20°C. Experimental (IP$_6$ solution; n = 3) and control (Buffer Solution; n = 3) titrations were performed. The mean values obtained from the control were subtracted from the mean values of the experimental treatments, the absolute value of this calculation was plotted and standard deviation was propagated to produce error bars (Equation 3.3). Data was plotted using GraphPad Prism v. 5.0, and fit using a hyperbolic function (Equation 3.5). Equation parameters were as follows: $B_{\text{max}}$ is maximum binding in the same units as $Y$, $K_D$ is the dissociation constant, in the same units as $X$.

$$Y = B_{\text{max}} \times \frac{X}{(K_D + X)} \quad (3.5)$$

**Rapid Kinetics Measurements**

Rapid kinetics measurements were performed in a KinTek SF-2004 apparatus using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm, and fluorescence emission was detected through 505 nm long-pass filters (NewPort, Irvine, CA). Experiments were performed in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0), 300 mM NaCl at 20°C. Individual traces were fit using TableCurve exponential fitting (SigmaPlot). Fluorescence time courses obtained in the stopped-flow titration of labeled PhyAsr C252S/K301C with unlabeled PhyAsr
C252S/K301C (Fig. 3.4) were fit with either a one-exponential function (Equation 3.6), or a two-exponential function (Equation 3.7). Traces used in the stopped-flow titration of labeled PhyAsr H188C/C252S with IP₆ (Fig. 3.7) were fit with a two-exponential plus slope function (Equation 3.8), or a three-exponential plus slope function (Equation 3.9). Experimental traces testing the effect of 10 mM phosphate on IP₆ binding to PhyAsr H188C/C252S (Fig. 3.8) were fit with a three-exponential plus slope function (Equation 3.9). Equation parameters were as follows, fluorescence (F) observed at time t, final fluorescence (F∞), A was signal amplitude with rate k_app, and m was slope.

One-exponential function:

\[ F = F_\infty + A_1 \exp(-k_{\text{app}1}t) \]  

Two-exponential function:

\[ F = F_\infty + A_1 \exp(-k_{\text{app}1}t) + A_2 \exp(-k_{\text{app}2}t) \]  

Two-exponential function plus slope:

\[ F = F_\infty + A_1 \exp(-k_{\text{app}1}t) + A_2 \exp(-k_{\text{app}2}t) + mt \]  

Three-exponential function plus slope:

\[ F = F_\infty + A_1 \exp(-k_{\text{app}1}t) + A_2 \exp(-k_{\text{app}2}t) + A_3 \exp(-k_{\text{app}3}t) + mt \]

Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism v. 5.0. A hyperbolic function was used for fitting of amplitude data (Equation 3.5), and apparent rate plots were fit with a linear function (Equation 3.10).

\[ k_{\text{app}} = [e] * k_1 + k_{-1} \]
Where \( k_{\text{app}} \) was the apparent rate observed at concentration \([c]\), \( k_1 \) was the rate constant of the forward reaction, and \( k_{-1} \) was an approximation of the rate constant of the reverse reaction.

3.3 Results

**Dimerization of PhyAsr.** The mechanistic details of formation of PhyAsr quaternary structure are not well understood. To determine the \( K_D \), and association rate constants of dimer formation, a fluorescence-based rapid kinetics experiment was performed using a stopped-flow apparatus. By mixing fluorescently labeled PhyAsr C252S/K301C with unlabeled PhyAsr C252S/K301C, an increase in fluorescence was observed (Fig. 3.2). The obtained time courses were best fit with a one-exponential (Equation 3.6), suggesting a one-step binding mechanism (Fig. 3.3A). Interestingly, at higher (\( \geq 1 \) µM protein concentration) a two-exponential function (Equation 3.7) best described the fluorescence change as function of time, indicating an additional step during formation of the PhyAsr dimer (Fig. 3.3B). After performing this experiment at various protein concentrations, signal amplitudes and apparent rates obtained from the exponential fits were plotted as a function of protein concentration (Fig. 3.4), and analyzed to determine kinetic parameters (Table 3.1). A plot of signal amplitude of the first phase was plotted as a function of protein concentration and fit with a hyperbolic function (Equation 3.5) to yield a \( K_D \) of 1.6 ± 0.9 µM (Fig. 3.4A). A plot of signal amplitude of the second phase was plotted as a function of protein concentration and fit with a hyperbolic function (Equation 3.5) to yield a \( K_D \) of 1.0 ± 0.4 µM (Fig. 3.4C). The analysis of the concentration dependence of the two obtained apparent rate constants reveals that the \( k_{\text{app}1} \) describes the initial concentration dependent step of a two-step
binding mechanism (Fig. 3.4B). Consistent with a first-order structural rearrangement following initial complex formation, \( k_{\text{app2}} \) is concentration independent (Fig. 3.4D). The obtained plots of apparent rate as a function of protein concentration can be fit with a linear function (Equation 3.10) to determine \( k_1 \) (dimerization), \( k_{-1} \) (protein dissociation), and \( k_2 \) (dimerization) (Fig. 3.4, B and D). Using these parameters, \( k_{-2} \) (protein dissociation) was calculated using the formula \( K_D = \frac{(k_1 \times k_2)}{(k_1 \times k_2)} \). In summary, dimerization of PhyAsr involves at least two steps, proceeds slowly (\( k_1 \) (dimerization) = 0.024 ± 0.006 \( \mu \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), \( k_2 \) (dimerization) = 0.0120 ± 0.0009 \( \text{s}^{-1} \)), and has a \( K_D \) of approximately 1 \( \mu \text{M} \).

*Binding of IP₆ to dimerized PhyAsr.* Previous work performed at 1 \( \mu \text{M} \) PhyAsr has estimated the affinity of monomeric PhyAsr to IP₆ to be in the sub-micromolar range (Gruninger et al., 2012). More recent work performed at 20 nM PhyAsr has estimated the affinity of monomeric PhyAsr to IP₆ to be approximately 90 nM (Steven Mosimann, personal communication). On this background I wanted to know if the dimerization of PhyAsr alters the binding affinity for IP₆. In order to investigate the binding of IP₆ to dimerized PhyAsr, the PhyAsr dimer experiments were performed at a protein concentration of 3 \( \mu \text{M} \) which is approximately 3 times above the \( K_D \) determined in the previous protein dimerization assay (Fig. 3.4, Table 3.1). At 3 \( \mu \text{M} \) PhyAsr over 75% of the protein will be exist as dimer (Equation 3.5). Using these conditions an equilibrium fluorescence titration of IP₆ was performed to determine \( K_D \) of IP₆ for PhyAsr dimer. Upon binding of the IP₆ to PhyAsr and consistent with previous work (Gruninger et al., 2012), a decrease in fluorescein fluorescence was observed. The experiments were performed with two different fluorescently labeled variants; revealing that IP₆ binding to the two dimerized PhyAsr mutants occurs with similar affinity (C252S/K301C: \( K_D = 46 \pm \)
7 μM, H188C/C252S: $K_D = 29 \pm 7 \mu M$) (Fig. 3.5). Interestingly, these $K_D$ values are approximately 300-fold higher than the previously $K_D$ of 90 nM for the binding of IP$_6$ to monomeric PhyAsr at a lower protein concentration. This suggests that dimerization of the PhyAsr modulates its substrate affinity.

*Rapid kinetic studies of IP$_6$ binding to dimerized PhyAsr.* To further investigate the kinetic mechanism of IP$_6$ binding to PhyAsr dimers, a rapid-kinetics analysis using the stopped-flow technique was performed to investigate the molecular mechanism and to obtain the rate constants describing this mechanism. Labeled PhyAsr H188C/C252S was used at a final concentration after mixing of 3 μM to preform the dimer and minimize the effect of protein dilution on the signal. The protein was rapidly mixed with various concentrations of IP$_6$. After mixing, a fluorescence decrease was observed consistent with the equilibrium titration. The resulting fluorescence time courses were best fit with either a two-exponential plus slope function (Equation 3.8), or a three-exponential plus slope function (Equation 3.9), depending on the concentration of IP$_6$ (Fig. 3.6). After performing this experiment at various IP$_6$ concentrations, signal amplitudes and apparent rates were plotted as a function of IP$_6$ concentration (Fig. 3.7) and fit to obtain the respective kinetic parameters summarized in (Table 3.2). In summary, binding of IP$_6$ to dimerized PhyAsr involved at least three steps. And the $K_D$ obtained from this stopped-flow experiment was $21 \pm 3 \mu M$, a result within error of the $K_D$ determined in the equilibrium fluorescence titration (*vide supra*) using the same mutant (H188C/C252S, Table 3.2).
IP₆ binding to dimerized PhyAsr in the presence of phosphate. It has been previously reported that PhyAsr catalyzed dephosphorylation of IP₆ to IP₅ is significantly hindered by the presence of 10 mM phosphate (Gruninger et al., 2012), although the $K_D$ of phosphate binding to PhyAsr is not known. Little is known about the mechanistic details of this inhibition. For example, does phosphate prevent binding of IP₆ to PhyAsr under these conditions? Or does phosphate inhibit any of the steps previously observed in the stopped-flow assay? As a tool to study the impact of phosphate on the binding of IP₆ to PhyAsr, the aforementioned stopped-flow assay that mixed dimerized PhyAsr H188C/C252S with IP₆ was utilized. For this experiment a single concentration of IP₆ was tested, the assay was performed in the presence of 10 mM phosphate, and the results were interpreted by fitting the signal change data (Fig. 3.8). Under these experimental conditions, phosphate was at 10 mM concentration, which was previously shown to be inhibitory to PhyAsr up to an IP₆ concentration of 50 mM (Gruninger et al., 2012). To determine the impact of phosphate on IP₆ binding by PhyAsr, fit parameters in the presence or absence of phosphate were compared (Table 3.3). Results showed that there was little variance between fit parameters when comparing IP₆ binding to PhyAsr in the presence or absence of 10 mM phosphate. More specifically, the presence of phosphate did not affect any of the apparent rates; and only slightly affected two of the amplitude parameters. This result suggests that none of the kinetic parameters determined in this experiment were strongly inhibited by the presence of 10 mM phosphate. Meaning that phosphate does not affect IP₆ binding to dimerized PhyAsr, and inhibition likely occurs on another step not observed in this study.
3.4 Discussion

Little is known about the kinetic mechanism of how PhyAsr is able to select for different, consecutive IP substrates in its dephosphorylation pathway. This property of PhyAsr is of great interest to bioengineering applications. To this end it is important to develop an experimental framework that will allow for the determination of these enzymatic properties, ultimately instructing the systematic enzyme engineering. In this study, the first kinetic information and minimal molecular mechanism for the model PTPLP PhyAsr is reported with respect to its self-association and binding to IP₆.

_Dimerization of PhyAsr._ The reported observations support a sequential model of dimer formation, where the steps observed occur in succession (Fig. 3.9). Only the apparent rate of the first step is concentration dependent (Fig. 3.4B) suggesting a bimolecular event, such as two PhyAsr proteins coming together to form a dimer. The apparent rate of the second step in the kinetic mechanism is not dependent on protein concentration (Fig. 3.4D) suggesting it is an event that occurs with one molecular entity, such as a conformational change of the enzyme that follows binding. This event likely occurs in proximity to the binding pocket given the location of the fluorescence reporter. In terms of the affinity of PhyAsr dimer formation, all methods used to determine the $K_D$ produced values of approximately 1 - 2 µM (Table 3.1). The similarity between the amplitude versus protein concentration plots (Fig. 3.4, A and C) suggests that the first and second steps in dimer formation are coupled processes. For example, if 50% of the protein is binding and forming a dimer in step one, only that 50% subpopulation may undergo the post-binding conformational change.
With a $K_D$ value of approximately 1 µM, it is possible that PhyAsr exists as a dimer \textit{in vivo}. The cellular concentration of PhyAsr is not currently known, and the protein has previously been shown to localize to the outer surface of \textit{S. ruminantium} (D'Silva et al., 2000). Signal peptide prediction software (Petersen et al., 2011) suggests that PhyAsr contains a signal peptide. However, the mechanism of PhyAsr export from the cell is not known. Bacteria can utilize different means of transporting a protein outside of the cell. These methods include co-translational transport with periplasmic intermediates, or post-translational transport (Kostakioti et al., 2005). With either type of transport, PhyAsr could fold before being transported across the outer membrane of the cell. It is possible that when PhyAsr is produced in a bacterial cell it forms dimers, when the protein is exported from the cell it is diluted and forms monomers. This type of mechanism suggests that dimerization could function to regulate the activity of PhyAsr depending on its localization. Although the presence of IPs in the bacterial cell has not yet been confirmed, one could envision a reduced affinity for the dimer to its substrate might reflect a structural rearrangement of the substrate-binding pocket, consistent with the observed second step in the kinetic mechanism (Fig 3.4D), to prevent “rogue” interactions with substrates inside of the cell or the periplasm and that the enzyme is only fully active when monomeric. In addition to PhyAsr crystalizing as a dimer (Gruninger 2009), two other published PTPLPs have been shown to exist as a dimer (Gruninger et al., 2009, Gruninger et al., 2014). However, the dimerization interface of these published structures and an additional unpublished PTPLP structure lacks conservation (Gruninger 2009, Steven Mosimann, personal communication). This observation suggests that either: 1) the property of dimerization modulating binding affinity for IP$_6$ is unique to PhyAsr, or 2) other PTPLPs are achieving similar means via different mechanisms.
Binding of IP₆ to dimerized PhyAsr. On this background it is interesting to investigate if dimerization has indeed the potential to modulate the affinity of PhyAsr to its substrate. Both PhyAsr mutants yielded similar $K_D$ values for IP₆ binding (H188C/C252S, $29 \pm 7 \mu M$, and C252S/K301C, $46 \pm 7 \mu M$), which demonstrated that the labeling position does not influence the interaction of PhyAsr with substrate (Fig. 3.5).

Interestingly, cellular concentrations of IP₆ have been reported to range from 10-100 µM in eukaryotes (Best et al., 2010), the reported $K_D$ values of ~35 µM fall within this range and thus could be physiologically relevant, as IP₆ binding to PhyAsr will be highly concentration dependent. At the low end of the concentration range essentially no IP₆ will be bound and at 100 µM IP₆ over 75% will be bound. A previous study has suggested that the $K_D$ of 1 µM PhyAsr for IP₆ is in the low micromolar range (Gruninger et al., 2012). As well, unpublished data has estimated that the $K_D$ for IP₆ is approximately 90 nM at 20 nM PhyAsr (Steven Mosimann, personal communication). The data presented in my current study was collected at a much higher protein concentration than what has been used previously. An explanation for this difference in $K_D$ values is that quaternary structure of PhyAsr indeed modulates binding affinity to IP₆; and altering protein concentration could tune enzyme activity. One could argue that another possible explanation of this data is that the $K_D$ determined in this study is for IP₆ binding to a different part of the binding pocket, which might be directed by the interactions formed between the two PhyAsr molecules in the dimer (e.g. occlusion of the high affinity binding site). The active site of PhyAsr contains a large electropositive cleft (Gruninger et al., 2012), therefore it may be the case that under high IP₆ concentrations the substrate binds to locations in the binding pocket other than the high affinity site. For example,
previously reported crystal structures of PhyAsr (protein databank 3MOZ and 1U25) each show ligand bound in a non-catalytically competent manner. In an effort to gain further insight into what was being observed in this binding assay, a rapid kinetics approach to studying IP₆ binding was utilized.

*Rapid kinetic studies of IP₆ binding to dimerized PhyAsr.* The rapid kinetics data collected suggests that IP₆ binds to PhyAsr via a very complex mechanism with at least three steps. This data is consistent with the wide range of substrates observed in previous studies (Puhl et al., 2007), in that IP₆ could be sampling multiple conformations in the PhyAsr binding site. As it has also been suggested that PhyAsr contains multiple, overlapping IP binding sites in the binding pocket (Gruninger et al., 2012). However, one can envision the remodelling of the PhyAsr binding site upon dimerization adding to the diversity of the binding site properties. This reorientation of the binding site could occlude the high-affinity binding site, or remodel the rates of conversion between the different states, thereby leading to an altered affinity.

Analysis of this rapid kinetics data set revealed a single IP₆ concentration-dependent step (Fig. 3.7B). This phase of the signal is likely an initial binding event. Because only one of these IP₆ concentration-dependent plots was observed, this provides evidence against the interpretation that binding of IP₆ to multiple areas of PhyAsr with varying affinities was occurring. For this dataset, the best model to represent this data is a sequential model rather than a parallel model (Fig. 3.9). This data displays a single concentration-dependent step (Fig. 3.7B) followed by two additional steps. For clarity,
The rate constants obtained have been summarized in a model of the kinetic mechanism of PhyAsr (Fig. 3.10).

The Apparent Rate 2 ($k_{app2}$) versus IP$_6$ concentration plot (Fig. 3.7D) appeared to represent a concentration independent step. However, the apparent rates appeared to decrease slightly as IP$_6$ concentration increased (Fig. 3.7D). For this figure the downward trend may be an artefact of fitting, as many data points may be reflective of multiple events. For instance, a third phase was fit for the three highest IP$_6$ concentration that were not distinguishable from the second phase for the lower IP$_6$ concentration treatments. For all concentrations tested below that concentration threshold, the molecular events of the second and third phase would be combined and displayed as a single data point in Fig 3.7D. One might argue that the downward trend observed (Fig. 3.7D) could be a result of substrate inhibition slowing down this second step as IP$_6$ accumulates. It has been previously shown that high concentrations of IP$_6$ can slow PhyAsr catalyzed dephosphorylation of IP$_6$ (Steven Mosimann, personal communication). It could be the case that when high concentrations of IP$_6$ are present the second step is slowed, resulting in the downward trend observed (Fig. 3.7D). However this is not strong evidence for the presence of substrate inhibition, if substrate inhibition was being observed one would expect to observe an additional binding (IP$_6$ concentration dependent) apparent rate in the stopped-flow analysis. That said, because PhyAsr utilizes a “ping-pong” reaction mechanism substrate inhibition could occur on subsequent binding steps not examined in my research. But in the context of my data, the second step (Fig. 3.7D) likely reflects a conformational change. More specifically, it could be a conformational change of the protein, or reorientation of the IP$_6$ substrate. Based on the data, the third phase of this
signal could also be a protein conformational change or substrate reorientation. This third phase requires a very high concentration of IP$_6$ in order to be detectable (Fig. 3.7F), which suggests it may not be a process that occurs in vivo. For the slope in these fits (m parameter, Equations 3.8 and 3.9), the values fit were likely photobleaching over the time-course of the experiment. To my knowledge, this is the first report describing detailed rapid-kinetics data of substrate binding to a PTPLP.

**IP$_6$ binding to dimerized PhyAsr in the presence of phosphate.** It has been reported that conversion of IP$_6$ to IP$_5$ by 1 µM PhyAsr is inhibited by the presence of 10 mM phosphate (Gruninger et al., 2012), however the specific step in the kinetic mechanism that was inhibited by phosphate remains unknown. The results reported in this study suggest that the presence of 10 mM phosphate had no major affect on any of the steps observed for IP$_6$ binding to PhyAsr (Table 3.3). From the work conducted in this study, it is clear that the apparent rates of substrate binding for each step observed are not altered (Table 3.3), however, the amplitude of the first and third phases decreased by approximately two-fold. However, the observed phosphate inhibition effect in the multiple-turnover activity likely occurs on a step other than the steps reported by the fluorescent label and that is subsequent to binding of the substrate. For example, phosphate could prevents proper orientation of IP$_6$ immediately prior to catalysis. Alternatively, because the used reporter system gives similar output for IP$_6$ binding to PhyAsr in the presence or absence of phosphate, one could argue that the reporter is unable to distinguish between IP$_6$ binding in a catalytically competent versus catalytically incompetent manner. To address this concern, different labeling positions could be utilized with the goal of distinguishing between catalytically competent versus
catalytically incompetent binding. For example, a labeling strategy that positions a fluorophore reaching into the P-loop of PhyAsr may accomplish this. However, in this study phosphate did not have a major effect on any of the steps observed using this IP₆ binding stopped-flow assay. And more research is required in order to determine what specific kinetic steps of PhyAsr catalyzed IP₆ dephosphorylation are inhibited by phosphate.

Conclusions and future directions. This study has described for the first time the kinetic parameters of PhyAsr dimerization, and rapid kinetic studies of IP₆ binding to dimers of a PTPLP. The PhyAsr dimer forms with a $K_D$ of approximately 1 µM, and the role of dimerization is not yet known. The binding of IP₆ to the PhyAsr dimer was found to have a lower affinity than previously described for IP₆ binding to lower concentrations of PhyAsr. This result suggests that dimerization could modulate PhyAsr binding affinity for IP₆. PhyAsr is exported from the cell, so one could envision dimerization acting as a switch to alter the enzyme properties inside the cell versus outside of the cell. Additionally, I show that the presence of phosphate had no major effect on any phase of IP₆ binding to PhyAsr observed in this study. Future studies should further explore the kinetic mechanism of PhyAsr by determining kinetic parameters for other IPs binding to PhyAsr in monomer and dimer form. As well, these studies should utilize alternative methods to confirm the $K_D$ values reported in this study. This would be useful to validate the assumption that the fluorescein dye does not alter the dimerization or IP₆ binding properties of PhyAsr. For example, isothermal titration calorimetry could be performed to determine the binding properties of unlabeled PhyAsr. Future studies should also
investigate the nature of phosphate inhibition on PhyAsr, and address what step in the kinetic mechanism is inhibited by phosphate.
3.5 Chapter 3. Figures and Tables

Figure 3.1. Cartoon representation of dimerized PhyAsr C252S in complex with IP₆. Alpha helices are shown in red, beta sheets are shown in blue, and the P-loop is shown in yellow. The side chains of H188 and K301 are shown in green, and these positions have previously been mutated to cysteine to allow for labeling with thiol reactive probes for fluorescence studies. PDB ID: 3MMJ.
Figure 3.2. Dimerization of labeled PhyAsr produces a fluorescence signal increase. Pre-steady state kinetics of labeled PhyAsr C252S/K301C (500 nM) binding to unlabeled PhyAsr C252S/K301C (7.5 µM). Raw data from a representative stopped-flow time course (grey dots) was fit with a two-exponential function (black line). A.U., arbitrary units.
A.

\[ A + B \rightleftharpoons AB \]

B.

\[ A + B \rightleftharpoons AB \rightleftharpoons AB^* \]

Figure. 3.3. A schematic representation of a one-step (A), and two-step (B) binding model (B). In both schematics, A and B are molecular entities that bind to form a complex (AB, or a different conformation of AB, AB*).
Figure 3.4. Dimerization of PhyAsr follows a mechanism of at least two resolvable steps. Signal amplitudes and apparent rates of PhyAsr C252S/K301C dimer formation are plotted. Black dots indicate values obtained by fitting the average trace for each treatment; error bars reflect 95% confidence interval of the fit. Amplitude plots of the first phase (A), and the second phase (C) were fit with a hyperbolic function (Equation 3.5, \( R^2 \) values were 0.74 and 0.81 respectively). Apparent rate plots of the first phase (B), and the second phase (D) were fit with a linear function (Equation 3.10). In (B), coloured data points are from individual traces and colour indicates the error of the fit (95% confidence interval), bins were assigned as follows; green squares, error was less than 35% of the value of the data point reported, orange triangles, error was greater than 35% but less than 100% of the value of the data point reported, red diamonds, error was greater than 100% of the value of the data point reported. In (D), grey data points are from individual traces. For each treatment, the number of shots was \( \geq 5 \), and the cuvette concentration of labeled PhyAsr was 500 nM. The kinetic parameters determined from this experiment are listed in Table 3.1.
Figure 3.5. Binding of IP₆ to dimerized PhyAsr occurs with similar affinity regardless of labeling position. Peak fluorescence ($\lambda = 512$ nm) from an emission scan of dimerized, labeled PhyAsr C252S/K301C (A) or H188C/C252S (B) at various concentrations of IP₆. Sample fluorescence was measured using fluorescence spectrophotometry, and plots were both fit with a hyperbolic function (Equation 3.5, R² values were 0.92 and 0.77 respectively). For both titrations fluorescence values were corrected by using a control titration with buffer (methods), and the concentration of PhyAsr was 3 $\mu$M ($n = 3$, mean ± s.d.)
Figure 3.6. Binding IP$_6$ to dimerized PhyAsr produces a fluorescence signal decrease with multiple steps. Pre-steady state kinetics of IP$_6$ binding to dimerized PhyAsr H188C/C252S. Raw data from a representative stopped-flow time course (grey dots) was fit with a three-exponential plus slope function (black line; see methods section, Equation 3.7). A.U., arbitrary units.
Figure 3.7. Binding of IP$_6$ to dimerized PhyAsr includes multiple, resolvable steps. Rapid kinetics analysis of IP$_6$ binding to dimerized, labeled PhyAsr H188C/C252S. Traces were fit with a two exponential function, or a three exponential function when [IP$_6$] was greater than 450 µM. Amplitude for the first phase (A) is plotted against IP$_6$ concentration and fit with a hyperbolic function (Equation 5, R$^2$ value was 0.71). Apparent rate of the first phase (B) is plotted against IP$_6$ concentration and fit with a linear function. Amplitudes of the second (C), and third (E) phases are plotted but not fit. Apparent rates of the second (D), and third (F) phases are plotted but not fit. All kinetic parameters determined from this figure are listed in Table 3.2. Concentration of PhyAsr H188C/C252S was 3 µM, and data points shown reflect the mean ± s.d. of the individual fits, n = 6.
Figure 3.8. Binding of IP₆ to dimerized PhyAsr is detectable in the presence of 10 mM phosphate. Pre-steady state kinetics of IP₆ binding to dimerized PhyAsr H188C/C252S in the presence of 10 mM phosphate. Raw data from a representative stopped-flow time course (grey dots) was fit with a three-exponential plus slope function (black line; see methods section, Equation 3.7). A.U., arbitrary units.
Figure 3.9. A schematic representation of a sequential binding model (A), and a parallel binding model (B). In both schematics, A and B are molecular entities that bind to form a complex (AB, or a different conformation of AB, AB*).
Figure 3.10. Minimal kinetic mechanism of PhyAsr dimer formation (A), and IP₆ binding to PhyAsr dimers (B). In (A) PhyAsr monomers bind to form a dimer, and undergo a conformational change producing *PhyAsr dimer. In (B), there is an IP₆ binding event to preformed *PhyAsr dimer which may then undergo a series of conformational changes. n.d., not determined.
Table 3.1. Summary of dissociation constants and rate constants for the dimerization of PhyAsr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_D$ (± s.d., µM)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_D$ (± s.d., µM)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.4$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$ (± s.d., µM·s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.006$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$ (± s.d., s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0120 ± 0.0009$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{-1}$ (± s.d., s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.03$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{-2}$ (± s.d., s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.006$^f$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Determined from fitting data in Fig. 3.4A with Equation 3.5
$^b$ Determined from fitting data in Fig. 3.4C with Equation 3.5
$^c$ Determined from slope of fit in Fig. 3.4B with Equation 3.10
$^d$ Determined from the mean and standard deviation of all treatments in Fig. 3.4D
$^e$ Determined from the y-intercept of fit in Fig. 3.4B with Equation 3.10
$^f$ Calculated using the equation $K_D = (k_{-1} \times k_{-2}) / (k_1 \times k_2)$, solving for $k_{-2}$ and using 1.0 ± 0.4 µM for $K_D$, error propagated using Equation 3.4
Table 3.2. Summary of dissociation constants and rate constants for the binding of phytate to dimerized PhyAsr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_D$ (± s.d., µM)</td>
<td>$46 ± 7^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_D$ (± s.d., µM)</td>
<td>$29 ± 7^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_D$ (± s.d., µM)</td>
<td>$21 ± 3^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_1$ (± s.d., µM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$0.032 ± 0.003^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_2$ (± s.d., s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$0.12 ± 0.04^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_3$ (± s.d., s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$~2^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1}$ (± s.d., s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$6 ± 1^g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2}$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{3}$</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Determined from fitting data in Fig. 3.5A with Equation 3.5  
$^b$ Determined from fitting data in Fig. 3.5B with Equation 3.5  
$^c$ Determined from fitting data in Fig. 3.7A with Equation 3.5  
$^d$ Determined from slope of fit in Fig. 3.7B with Equation 3.10  
$^e$ Determined from the mean and standard deviation of all treatments in Fig. 3.7D  
$^f$ Estimated from Fig. 3.7F  
$^g$ Determined from y-intercept of fit in Fig. 3.7B with Equation 3.10  

n.d., not determined
Table 3.3: Fit parameter comparison for PhyAsr binding IP₆ in the presence, or absence of phosphate. Representative traces from each data set are shown with their fits in Figures 3.5, and 3.7. Equation syntax is as described in the methods section (Equation 3.7). Values reflect the mean of best-fit parameters ± s.d. (n = 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(A_1) (A.U.)</th>
<th>(k_1) (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>(A_2) (A.U.)</th>
<th>(k_2) (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>(A_3) (A.U.)</th>
<th>(k_3) (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>(m) (A.U./s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No added Pi</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.022 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.043 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-0.00020 ± 0.00009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 10 mM Pi</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.022 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.00013 ± 0.00008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4: Streamlined production of a fluorescently labeled *Escherichia coli* phosphate binding protein (PhoS) suitable for rapid-kinetics applications.

4.1 Introduction

Inorganic phosphate (Pᵢ) is ubiquitous in nature and plays a variety of roles in biological systems. The binding and release of Pᵢ in an enzyme active site can contribute substantially to enzyme function. For example, Pᵢ release from actin bound myosin·ADP·Pᵢ triggers force generation in muscle fibers (Geeves and Holmes 2005). In many ATPases multiple-turnover ATP hydrolysis is rate-limited by the release of Pᵢ (Trentham et al., 1972, Brune et al., 1994, Lionne et al., 1995, Wang et al., 2010). These and other examples demonstrate that Pᵢ release kinetics are key to a wide range of biological processes.

Previously developed methods to assay Pᵢ release kinetics utilize *E. coli* phosphate-binding protein (PhoS). *In vivo* PhoS is a high-affinity binding protein involved in active transport of Pᵢ, and gene expression is induced by Pᵢ starvation (Magota et al., 1984). Expression of PhoS has been achieved from a construct containing *phoS* in the plasmid pSN5182 in *E. coli* ANCC75 cells using its native promoter and N-terminal signal sequence (pre-*phoS*) (Brune et al., 1994, Brune et al., 1998). The N-terminal signal sequence of pre-PhoS is cleaved upon localization to the periplasm, producing mature PhoS (Morita et al., 1983). Exploiting this localization for purification, protocols have been developed which selectively rupture the outer cell membrane, allowing for the
purification of periplasm-localized proteins from a low $P_i$ environment (Willsky and Malamy 1980, Magota et al., 1984).

Structural studies indicate that $P_i$ binding occurs at an interface between the N and C terminal domains of PhoS, where binding stabilizes a large conformational change (Hirshberg et al., 1998). To enable real-time $P_i$-release assays based on the rapid binding of the released $P_i$ to PhoS, Brune and coworkers developed a N-[2-(1-maleimidyl)ethyl]-7-(diethylamino)-coumarin-3-carboxamide (MDCC) labeled PhoS A197C (Brune et al., 1994). The labeling of PhoS at C197 positions MDCC on the edge of the $P_i$ binding site and results in up to a 5-fold increase in fluorescence upon $P_i$ binding (Brune et al., 1994). PhoS A197C-MDCC binds $P_i$ tightly ($K_D \sim 0.1\mu M$) and rapidly ($1.36 \times 10^8 M^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$) making this system a sensitive method for detecting rapid changes in $P_i$ concentration in vitro (Brune et al., 1994). However, the expression and purification of PhoS using this system is laborious due to the low expression of the native promoter and the isolation form the periplasm. The commercially available version of PhoS A197C-MDCC retails at a cost of $2809 (CAD) for 100 nanomoles (ThermoFisher P/N PV4407).

Therefore, the primary objective of this work was to develop a simplified, efficient, and cost-effective methodology to produce PhoS A197C-MDCC suitable for use in rapid-kinetics applications measuring $P_i$ release. Currently PhoS purification procedures involve localization of the protein to the periplasm, followed by purification from the periplasm, requiring multiple chromatographic steps to obtain pure protein.
order to improve upon this purification procedure, it is critical to test if the localization to
the periplasm is critical for the function of the PhoS A197C-MDCC as well if the
purification could be simplified by using affinity chromatography. To this end two
expression vectors were designed and the resulting PhoS A197C-MDCC was
characterized regarding its suitability for rapid-kinetics approaches and benchmarked
against the commercially available Phosphate Sensor. These expression vectors included
(1) phoS A197C without signal sequence in pET28b and (2) phoS, A197C in pET22b,
utilizing the N-terminal pET22b signal sequence for periplasmic localization. Both
constructs included a C-terminal His-tag, which allows for simple batch purification
using Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography.

To assess the utility of rapid-kinetic approaches such as the stopped-flow
technique, my PhoS A197C-MDCC preparation was tested in a real-time Pᵢ release assay.
For this, Pᵢ release following GTP hydrolysis by LepA/EF4 bound to the 70S ribosome
was measured. The translational GTPase LepA/EF4 has been proposed to be involved in
back-translocation of the ribosome, where LepA/EF4 recognizes a defective translocation
reaction and gives EF-G a second chance to translocate the tRNAs correctly (Qin et al.,
2006). Recent studies have shown that the structural dynamics of the C-terminal domain
of LepA/EF4 strongly influences its multiple turnover GTPase activity (De Laurentiis and
Wieden 2015). The Pᵢ release kinetics of LepA/EF4 remains unknown, and knowledge of
Pᵢ release kinetics from this enzyme may be useful in deciphering key enzyme features, as
well as its kinetic mechanism.
4.2 Materials and Methods

PhoS A197C expression construct design

The coding sequence for *E. coli* K12 *phoS*, including the mutation for A197C (Genbank coding sequence NC_000913.3, 3910485 - 3911525 complement, protein accession number NP_418184.1), was synthesized (Bio Basic Inc.) without its native promoter or N-terminal signal sequence (25 amino acid N-terminal deletion). This construct had flanking *NcoI/XhoI* sites, and was inserted into pET28b (pET28b::*phoS A197C*), and pET22b (pET22b::*phoS A197C*). Upon expression the resulting proteins both had a C-terminal His-tag fusion, and the protein produced from the pET22b construct contained an N-terminal signal sequence for periplasmic localization.

Overexpression of PhoS A197C from pET28b::phoS A197C in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)

A flask containing 500 mL LB broth (supplemented with 40 µg/mL kanamycin) was inoculated with an overnight culture to $\text{OD}_{600} \approx 0.1$ and incubated at 37°C with shaking at 200 RPM. At a culture $\text{OD}_{600} \approx 0.6$, isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 1 mM. The culture was incubated overnight, and cells were harvested by centrifugation (10,000 $\times$ g, 10 min, 4°C) at a final culture $\text{OD}_{600}$ of 4.55. Cells were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C prior to cell lysis.
Cell lysis: Sonication method used on cells containing pET28b::phoS A197C

Cells were resuspended in 7 mL of Buffer A (50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5 at 4°C), 1 mM MgCl₂, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 15% v/v glycerol, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF)) per gram of cells. Lysozyme was added at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL and cell suspension incubated at 4°C with repeated inversion for 30 min. Subsequently, this mixture was sonicated (Branson Sonifier 450, Danbury, CT) on ice for 1 min at 60% duty cycle (repeated twice, with a 5 minute break between cycles) and the insoluble fraction containing cell debris was collected by centrifugation (30 000 x g, 15 min, 4°C). The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, flash frozen, and stored at -80°C.

Overexpression of A197C pre-phoS from pET22b::phoS A197C

An overnight culture of E. coli BL21(DE3) cells containing the pET22b::phoS A197C plasmid construct was diluted 1:50 in LB broth containing 100 mg/L ampicillin and grown 12 hours at 37°C. An aliquot of this culture was diluted 1:50 in 500 mL Minimal medium A (120 mM Tris-HCl (pH: 7.2), 80 mM NaCl, 20 mM KCl, 20 mM NH₄Cl, 3 mM Na₂SO₄, 640 μM KH₂PO₄, 200 μM MgSO₄, 200 μM CaCl₂, 10 μM FeSO₄, 2 g/L glucose, 100 mg/L ampicillin, and 10 mg/L thiamine) and grown for 16-20 hours prior to centrifugation (10,000 x g, 15 min, RT). Pelleted cells were resuspended in 500 mL Minimal medium B (Minimal medium A, except 64 μM KH₂PO₄) and grown for 12-16 hours to a final culture OD₆0₀ of 3.87, and harvested by centrifugation (10,000 x g, 15
Cells were subjected to the osmotic shock procedure immediately after harvesting.

**Osmotic shock of cells containing pET22b::phoS A197C protein product**

PhoS A197C was isolated from the periplasm of *E. coli* using a previously reported osmotic shock procedure (Willsky and Malamy 1980). Harvested cells were washed with 40 mL Buffer B (30 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5)) per gram of cells and centrifuged (5000 × g, 10 min, 4°C). The cell pellet was washed again with Buffer B, and resuspended in 40 mL of 33 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) per gram of cells. One volume of Stage I buffer (40% sucrose, 33 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 µM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)) was added with rapid mixing prior to a ten minute incubation at room temperature. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation (13,000 × g, 10 min, 4°C) and the supernatant decanted. The pellet was rapidly dispersed in 80 mL Stage II buffer (500 µM MgCl₂) per gram of cells and incubated on a rotary shaker (180 RPM, 10 min, 4°C). The resulting suspension (shockate) was centrifuged (13,000 × g, 10 min, 4°C), and the supernatant containing the released periplasmic enzymes was flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

**Purification of PhoS A197C**

Cell lysate or osmotic shockate was thawed and applied to a Ni²⁺ IMAC resin (BioRad) column prewashed with Buffer A on a LPLC (BioRad BioLogic LP) at a flow
rate of 1.5 mL/min. The column was washed with Buffer A until the absorbance at 280 nm of flow-through decreased below 0.2 A.U. protein was eluted using Buffer C (Buffer A with 250 mM imidazole). The collected fractions were analysed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and fractions containing PhoS A197C were pooled and dialyzed into Buffer D (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) for labeling. All preparations of PhoS A197C were determined to have a purity of >95% based on ImageJ densitometry analysis of an SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

**Fluorescent labeling of PhoS A197C with MDCC**

This procedure was used for all protein preparations, independent of the expression construct. PhoS A197C (100 µM concentration in labeling reaction, 15 mL labeling reaction volume), was batch-bound to 3.5 mL Ni²⁺ IMAC resin (BioRad) in Labeling Buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole). Next, five-fold molar excess MDCC (Sigma P/N 05019, 500 µM concentration in the 15 mL labeling reaction; From a freshly prepared 25 mM stock in dimethylformamide) was added dropwise to the mixture, and the labeling reaction was incubated in an end-over-end mixer for 5 hours at RT, then at 4°C for 10 hours. The resin was collected by centrifugation (1000 × g, 2 min, RT), and the supernatant was decanted, followed by six washes with 2.5 resin volumes of Labeling Buffer (1000 × g, 2 min, RT). Elutions were performed with 2 resin volumes of Elution Buffer (Labeling Buffer with 500 mM imidazole). Samples from the labeling procedure were analyzed by SDS PAGE, unstained gels were first examined under UV light to confirm the presence of the label then re-
examined after staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. Elutions containing PhoS A197C-MDCC were pooled and dialyzed into Storage Buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.6, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mL sample into 2 L buffer, 4 changes, dialysis tubing: spectra pore molecular weight cut-off 6-8 kDa). The protein yield from the labeling procedure was ∼70%, and labeling efficiencies ranged from 60% to >90%. PhoS A197C-MDCC concentration, and labeling efficiencies were determined using spectrophotometry as previously described (Equations 4.1-4.3, (Brune et al., 1994)). The following equation parameters were used: 0.164 was a correction factor accounting for MDCC absorption at 280 nm (Brune et al., 1994), ϵ_{280}PhoS was 61880 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ and determined by ExPASy ProtParam using primary sequence data (Gasteiger et al., 2005) L was instrument path length in cm, ϵ_{430}MDCC was 46800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Brune et al., 1994).

PhoS A197C Concentration: \((A_{280} - (A_{430} \times 0.164)) / \epsilon_{280}\text{PhoS} \times L\) \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

MDCC Concentration: \(A_{430} / \epsilon_{430}\text{MDCC} \times L\) \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

Labeling Efficiency: \((\text{Equation 4.2} / \text{Equation 4.1}) \times 100\%\) \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

*Equilibrium Fluorescence Measurements*

Fluorescence spectrophotometry experiments were conducted using a Quanta Master 60 Fluorescence Spectrometer (Photon Technology International; excitation wavelength: 420 nm, emission wavelength: 425 – 622 nm, excitation slit widths: 1 nm, emission slit widths: 2 nm, step size: 1 nm, integration: 1 s). All equilibrium binding measurements were performed in 10 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 50 mM NaCl at 20°C. Data was plotted using GraphPad Prism v. 5.0.
Rapid-Kinetics Measurements

Rapid kinetics experiments were performed in a KinTek SF-2004 rapid mixing device (stopped-flow) using an excitation wavelength of 420 nm, and fluorescence emission was detected through 450 nm long-pass filters (NewPort). Before each experiment, the stopped-flow syringes and cuvette were incubated with the “Pᵢ mop” system for 10 minutes to remove residual Pᵢ (Brune et al., 1994). The Pᵢ mop consisted of 0.5 U/mL purine nucleoside phosphorylase (Sigma P/N N8264), and 200 µM 7-methylguanosine (Sigma P/N M0627). Experiments in the stopped-flow were performed in TAKMⱽ Buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 30 mM NH₄Cl, 70 mM KCl, 7 mM MgCl₂). Individual traces were fit using TableCurve (Sigmplot) exponential fitting using a one-exponential function (Equation 4.4), or a three-exponential function (Equation 4.5)

One-exponential function:

\[ F = F_∞ + A_1 \times \exp(-k_{app1}t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

Three-exponential function:

\[ F = F_∞ + A_1 \times \exp(-k_{app1}t) + A_2 \times \exp(-k_{app2}t) + A_3 \times \exp(-k_{app3}t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Where F was the fluorescence observed at time t, final fluorescence was Fᵢ and A was signal amplitude with rate kᵦₚp.

Cloning, expression, and purification of components to test Pᵢ release from 70S-stimulated LepA/EF4 GTP hydrolysis

LepA/EF4 was previously cloned into pET28a using the NdeI and HindIII restriction sites, expressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells, and purified as previously
described (De Laurentiis and Wieden 2015). Ribosomes were purified from 50 g of *E. coli* MRE600 cells as previously described (Milon et al., 2007, Shields et al., 2009). GTP was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, and purified away from GDP as previously described (Wilden et al., 2006).

4.3 Results

*Impact of PhoS localization* – To compare if purification of PhoS from cytosol or from the periplasm affects labeling efficiency PhoS A197C was expressed from both pET22b::phoS A197C and pET28b::phoS A197C, followed by purification and labeling with MDCC. In the case of the pET22b::phoS A197C the included signal sequence localizes the expressed protein to the periplasm. It is unclear how important the periplasmic location or the osmotic shock procedure are to yield PhoS A197C that can efficiently be labeled, which was previously suggested to be due to a lower P₃ contamination in the resulting protein (Brune et al., 1998). For the pET28b::phoS A197C, the expression and cell-lysis conditions were much less laborious; however, it is unclear if these conditions would yield sufficiently pure protein that can be efficiently labeled and that retains its activity for phosphate detection.

To compare the performance of the respective fluorescently labeled proteins, the achievable increase in MDCC fluorescence upon addition of inorganic phosphate was determined (Fig. 4.1A). Results revealed a fluorescence increase of 1.6-fold, or 1.7-fold at the MDCC emission maxima upon addition of excess P₃ to PhoS A197C-MDCC obtained form the respective expression system. Under similar conditions the Phosphate sensor (ThermoFisher P/N PV4406) showed a 2.4-fold fluorescence increase (Fig. 4.1B). This
clearly shows that purification of PhoS from the cytosol via His-tag purification is a viable alternative to other published protocols (Brune et al., 1994, Brune et al., 1998, Okoh et al., 2006, Solscheid et al., 2015). Therefore, further characterisation was only conducted using the pET28b::phoS A197C protein derived and compared to the Phosphate sensor from ThermoFisher.

*Rapid-kinetics* measurements of $P_i$ binding to PhoS A197C-MDCC. In order to examine the kinetics of $P_i$ binding to my PhoS A197C-MDCC preparation and the commercially available Phosphate Sensor, the stopped-flow technique was used. Mixing of PhoS A197C-MDCC or Phosphate Sensor with $P_i$ resulted in an exponential fluorescent increase consistent with previous reports (Brune et al., 1994, Brune et al., 1998) and that could be best fit with a one-exponential equation (Fig. 4.2). In order to obtain kinetic parameters, a $P_i$-titration was performed for both proteins. The results of this experiment showed that my PhoS A197C-MDCC preparation, and the ThermoFisher Phosphate Sensor yielded the same value for the rate constant of $P_i$ binding ($k_{on} = 33 \pm 2 \mu M^{-1} s^{-1}$ and $k_{on} = 34 \pm 7 \mu M^{-1} s^{-1}$ respectively). This further demonstrates that my PhoS A197C-MDCC system is able to preserve the kinetic properties of the commercially available system (Fig. 4.3). However, consistent with equilibrium data showing smaller fluorescence change upon $P_i$ binding the amplitude of the signal change was larger for the Phosphate Sensor compared to my PhoS A197C-MDCC preparation (amplitudes were approximately 2.5 A.U. and approximately 1.75 A.U. respectively, Fig. 4.4). For both preparations, the amplitude of the signal change upon $P_i$ binding was independent of $P_i$ concentration (Fig. 4.4).
Rapid kinetics of $P_i$ release from 70S-stimulated LepA/EF4 GTP hydrolysis. Understanding the rate of the $P_i$ release in the mechanistic analysis of enzyme functions may reveal key enzyme features that are currently not understood. For example, previous research on another translational GTPase EF-G has shown that $P_i$ release is controlled by interactions between the ribosomal protein L7/12 and EF-G, and interrupting these interactions strongly inhibited $P_i$ release (Savelsbergh et al., 2005). However, $P_i$ release from 70S ribosome-stimulated GTP hydrolysis by LepA/EF4 had not yet been studied. In order to assess if PhoS A197C-MDCC is suitable for this analysis $P_i$ release from the LepA/EF4-GDP-Pi complex was measured using the stopped-flow approach previously used for EF-G (Savelsbergh et al., 2005). To this end LepA/EF4 (8 µM) was incubated with GTP (120 µM) to preform the LepA/EF4-GTP in one syringe at a concentration approximately five times above the previously reported $K_D$ of 23 ± 11 µM (De Laurentiis and Wieden 2015). The contents of this syringe were then rapidly mixed with a solution of 70S ribosomes (2 µM) and PhoS A197C-MDCC (2.5 µM). Both solutions also contained 0.1 U/mL PNP, and 200 µM 7-methylguanosine as a $P_i$ mop. The $P_i$ mop was included in the experiment to remove residual phosphate from the reaction solutions prior to sample mixing. The removal of phosphate using the $P_i$ mop occurs slowly (Brune et al., 1994), no interference of the $P_i$ mop system with the detection of $P_i$ release using PhoS A197C-MDCC was observed. The resulting fluorescence signal was best fit with a three-exponential function (Fig. 4.5) consistent with reports for EF-G and that reveal a rapid initial burst followed by a slower multiple turnover phase (Table 4.1).
4.4 Discussion

For the kinetic analysis of dephosphorylating enzymes using rapid kinetics approaches such as stopped-follow, the release of the formed P\textsubscript{i} can be observed using fluorescently labeled variants of phosphate binding proteins. However, the use of the commercially available phosphate sensor is often cost prohibited. Furthermore, previous methods to purify PhoS are laborious, and expression was driven by its native promoter (Brune et al., 1994). The characterization of the His-tagged PhoSA197C reported here demonstrates that export of PhoS into the periplasmic space is not required for proper folding and function of PhoS A197C, as well as the respective fluorescence-based detection of P\textsubscript{i} binding. Furthermore, I report for the first time the addition of a C-terminal His-tag to simplify the purification of the PhoS from the cytosol via Ni\textsuperscript{2+} affinity chromatography. PhoS A197C remains fully functional as a fusion protein. Consistent with previous work, PhoS A197C expression can be driven by an IPTG inducible promoter (Solscheid et al., 2015) to increase expression and avoid P\textsubscript{i} starvation induced expression.

Binding of P\textsubscript{i} to His-tagged PhoS A197C-MDCC is as rapid as P\textsubscript{i} binding to the Phosphate Sensor (Fig. 4.3) and therefore suitable for the rapid detection of P\textsubscript{i}. Similar to the equilibrium P\textsubscript{i} binding experiments, stopped-flow characterization revealed an approximately two-fold larger signal-change for P\textsubscript{i} binding to the Phosphate Sensor than to PhoS A197C-MDCC. Additionally, results suggest that binding of P\textsubscript{i} is tight, as the fraction of P\textsubscript{i} bound does not change between 4 – 7 µM P\textsubscript{i} (Fig. 4.4). This suggests that all P\textsubscript{i} concentrations tested are far above the \(K_D\) of both PhoS A197C-MDCC and Phosphate Sensor for P\textsubscript{i}, which is consistent with previously reported data (Brune et al., 1994).
The His-tagged PhoS A197C-MDCC was used to characterise the $P_i$ release-kinetics following 70S ribosome-stimulated GTP hydrolysis by LepA/EF4 (Fig. 4.5). The first phase ($27 \pm 9 \text{ s}^{-1}$) is likely the rate of $P_i$ release following the first round of GTP hydrolysis. Interestingly, the apparent rate observed for the first phase is at the upper limit of what can be detected with my PhoS A197C-MDCC reporter system. Given a mixing chamber concentration of 1 µM 70S, the maximum concentration of $P_i$ that can be produced after the first round of hydrolysis is 1 µM. At a $P_i$ concentration of 1 µM, the rate for $P_i$ binding to PhoS A197C-MDCC is 33 s$^{-1}$. Therefore, the apparent rate of $27 \pm 9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the first phase should likely be treated as a lower limit for the rate of $P_i$ release in the burst phase. The second phase ($1.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) likely reflects multiple turnover release of $P_i$. The multiple turnover phase is slower than the burst phase, as it requires turnover of LepA/EF4. This mechanism of a burst phase, followed by a multiple turnover phase has been previously observed with another translational GTPase, EF-Tu (Savelsbergh et al., 2005). The observed third phase was previously not described for $P_i$ release from a ribosome-stimulated translational GTPase. There are several potential explanations for this additional phase. For example multiple subpopulations of LepA/EF4, or ribosomes in an experiment can cause $P_i$ to be released at a different rate. More specifically preparations of 70S ribosomes often contain 50S contamination, and it could be this subpopulation of 50S ribosomes in the experiment that result in this additional phase. However, additional research will need to be completed to decipher the three-phase behaviour of $P_i$ release from 70S-stimulated LepA/EF4 GTP hydrolysis to clearly understand this mechanism.
In summary - His-tagged PhoS A197C can be successfully purified using Ni^{2+} chromatography from the cytosol of *E. coli* and subsequently efficiently labeled with MDCC. This provides a simplified and robust methodology for production of labeled PhoS for research applications requiring large quantities of the protein to detect binding of P_{i} in real-time.
4.5 Chapter 4 Figures and Tables

A.

B.

C.

Figure 4.1. PhoS A197C-MDCC produced by various methods binds \( P_i \) and produces a fluorescence signal change. Equilibrium fluorescence measurements of PhoS A197C-MDCC and Phosphate Sensor in the absence (black dashed line) or presence (red solid line) of 20-fold molar excess \( P_i \). The labeled protein produced using the pET28b construct (A), or by the pET22b construct (B) was assayed at a protein concentration of 5 µM; whereas the Phosphate Sensor (C) was assayed at 500 nM.
Figure 4.2. His-tagged PhoS A197C-MDCC and Phosphate Sensor produce a rapid, and large fluorescence signal change when mixed with P\textsubscript{i}. Pre-steady state kinetics of 1.25 μM PhoS A197C-MDCC (A) or Phosphate Sensor (B) binding to 4 μM P\textsubscript{i}. Raw data from a representative stopped-flow time course (grey dots) was fit with a one-exponential function (black line). A.U., arbitrary units.
Figure 4.3. His-tagged PhoS A197C-MDCC binds phosphate as rapidly as the commercially available Phosphate Sensor. Apparent rate plots obtained from a Pi titration using (A) 1.25 µM PhoS A197C-MDCC from my preparation, or (B) 1.25 µM ThermoFisher Phosphate Sensor (n = 6 shots per data point, mean ± s.d). Slopes of the lines of best fit (k₁) were (A) 33 ± 2 µM⁻¹ s⁻¹ (n = 7 data points, best fit ± s.d), and (B) 34 ± 7 µM⁻¹ s⁻¹ (n = 4 data points, best fit ± s.d)
Figure 4.4. His-tagged PhoS A197C-MDCC and Phosphate Sensor provide large signal changes upon binding of $P_i$. Amplitude change (red squares) and final amplitude (black circles) values obtained from a $P_i$ titration using (A) 1.25 µM PhoS A197C-MDCC from my preparation, or (B) 1.25 µM Phosphate Sensor (n = 6 shots per data point, mean ± s.d).
Figure 4.5. Pre-steady state kinetics of P$_i$ release from 70S-stimulated LepA/EF4 following GTP hydrolysis. A solution of LepA/EF4 (8 µM) and GTP (120 µM) was mixed with a solution of 70S ribosomes (2 µM) and PhoS A197C-MDCC (2.5 µM). Both solutions also contained 0.1 U/mL PNP, and 200 µM 7-methylguanosine as a P$_i$ mop. Raw data from a representative stopped-flow time course (grey dots) was fit with a three-exponential function (black line). A.U., arbitrary units.
Table 4.1. Fit parameters obtained from rapid kinetics study of P_i release from 70S-stimulated LepA/EF4 following GTP hydrolysis. A representative trace is shown with its fit in Figure 4.5. A description of the fit parameters can be found in the methods section (Equation 4.5). Values reflect the mean of best-fit parameters ± s.d. (n = 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit Parameter</th>
<th>Fit Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_1 (A.U., ± s.d.)</td>
<td>-0.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_2 (A.U., ± s.d)</td>
<td>-0.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_3 (A.U., ± s.d)</td>
<td>-0.31 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_1 (s^{-1}, ± s.d.)</td>
<td>27 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_2 (s^{-1}, ± s.d)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_3 (s^{-1}, ± s.d)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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