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Fusarium head blight (FHB) is a disease of cereal crops caused by trichothecene producing Fusarium species. Trichothecenes, macrocyclic fungal metabolites composed of three fused rings (A–C) with one epoxide functionality, are a class of mycotoxins known to inhibit protein synthesis in eukaryotic ribosomes. These toxins accumulate in the kernels of infected plants rendering them unsuitable for human and animal consumption. Among the four classes of trichothecenes (A–D) A and B are associated with FHB, where the type B trichothecene deoxynivalenol (DON) is most relevant. While it is known that these toxins inhibit protein synthesis by disrupting peptidyl transferase activity, the exact mechanism of this inhibition is poorly understood. The three-dimensional structures and H-bonding behavior of DON were evaluated using one- and two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy techniques. Comparisons of the NMR structure presented here with the recently reported crystal structure of DON bound in the yeast ribosome reveal insights into the possible toxicity mechanism of this compound. The work described herein identifies a water binding pocket in the core structure of DON, where the $3\text{OH}$ plays an important role in this interaction. These results provide preliminary insights into how substitution at $C_3$ reduces trichothecene toxicity. Further investigations along these lines will provide opportunities to develop trichothecene remediation strategies based on the disruption of water binding interactions with $3\text{OH}$.
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INTRODUCTION

Deoxynivalenol (DON) belongs to a class mycotoxins called trichothecenes and are produced by Fusarium species involved cereal crop diseases, such as Fusarium head blight (FHB; Foroud and Eudes, 2009). The responsible fungal species infect wheat and other small grains during flowering and kernel development stages and mycotoxins accumulate in the kernels of infected plants (Foroud et al., 2014). Ingestion of trichothecene-contaminated grain is harmful for human and animal consumers (Pestka, 2010). Trichothecenes are known to induce programmed cell
death (apoptosis) by exerting ribotoxic effects on eukaryotic cells (Shifrin and Anderson, 1999; Rocha et al., 2005). Interestingly, like many antibiotics, trichothecenes interfere with ribosome function, and act as potent inhibitors of protein synthesis in eukaryotes (Ueno et al., 1968; McLaughlin et al., 1977). Earlier it was hypothesized that trichothecenes make direct contact with the ribosomal protein RPL3 (Gilly et al., 1985). Moreover, three domains of RPL3 function as a “rocker switch” that dynamically coordinates amino acyl-tRNA (aa-tRNA) and ribosome during translation elongation (Meskauskas and Dinman, 2008)—thus, an interaction of these toxins with RPL3 would result in an inhibition of protein synthesis. This hypothesis was validated in yeast where W225C or W225R mutations in the highly conserved W-finger of RPL3 conferred toxin resistance (Mitterbauer et al., 2004). The close proximity of RPL3 with the peptidyl transferase center (PTC) suggests that trichothecenes interfere directly with peptidyl transferase activity (Mitterbauer et al., 2004). Recent x-ray crystallography studies of toxin-bound yeast ribosomes, clearly shows trichothecene (DON, T-2 toxin and verrucarin A) binding to the A-site of the PTC (Garreau De Loubresse et al., 2014), which would impair peptide bond formation during translation elongation.

The most effective method to minimize trichothecene contamination of food/feed grain is to grow cultivars with FHB resistance and to employ strategic disease management practices, such as those previously described (Dill-Macky and Jones, 2000; Krupinsky et al., 2002; McMullen et al., 2008, 2012; Foroud et al., 2014). A major challenge is that “immunity” to FHB has not been identified in cultivated cereals, and the availability of highly resistant cultivars is limiting since resistance tends to be associated with poor agronomics (Foroud et al., 2014). Ongoing efforts have led to some improvements over the years (for an overview see McMullen et al., 2012, and other publications in the current issue of Frontiers in Microbiology), meanwhile FHB continues to have significant impact. Furthermore, no remediation strategies are available for detoxification or sequestration of trichothecenes. That being said, grain cleaning strategies can be employed to remove some of the contaminated roughage from the grain (Tittlemier et al., 2014) and biological mechanisms to detoxify trichothecenes have been identified (Fuchs et al., 2002; Poppenberger et al., 2003; Boutigny et al., 2008).

Trichothecenes are composed of three fused rings: the cyclohexene (A-ring) is fused to the tetrahydropyran (B-ring), which is bridged by a 2-carbon chain at C2 and C3 thereby forming a cyclopentyl moiety (C-ring). In addition, an epoxide functionality is attached at C12 which is common to the B- and C-rings (Scheme 1) (Cole and Cox, 1981). Side chains at C3, C4, C7, C8, and C15 are variable, although primarily consist of H, OH, or OCC(=O)CH3. Trichothecenes fall into four classes (types A–D) (McCormick et al., 2011), where either A and B are produced by Fusarium species. DON is a type B trichothecene, which is characterized by a ketone at C8, and has hydroxyl groups at C3, C7, and C15. The epoxide ring is essential for toxicity (Ehrlich and Daigle, 1987), which are unusually stable in the trichothecenes (Pronyk et al., 2006; Bullerman and Bianchini, 2007). Some bacterial species can open the epoxide ring, forming de-epoxyvinalenol (DOM-1) (Fuchs et al., 2002; Schatzmayr et al., 2006). No de-epoxy trichothecenes have been reported in plants infected with trichothecene-producing Fusarium species. Other modifications, in planta, that show reduced toxicity include DON-thiol, and -glucoside conjugates (Gardiner et al., 2010; Kluger et al., 2013; Stanic et al., 2015). The former were observed at C9, disrupting the double bond in the A-ring (Kluger et al., 2013), and at C8, disrupting the R5 keto functional group at Gardiner et al. (2010). The latter are catalyzed by plant UDP-glucoconranferases that yield DON-3-glucosides (Poppenberger et al., 2003). Generally, modifications at C3 reduces toxicity; for example, acetylation, produces 3-O-acetyl-DON which is significantly less toxic than DON (Dejardins et al., 2007; McCormick, 2009).

Structural knowledge of trichothecenes has driven detoxification/remediation strategies. Trichothecenes from all classes have been studied primarily in chloroform (Cole and Cox, 1981; Savard et al., 1987; Greenhalgh et al., 1989), which obviously does not emulate their biological environment. A single report on the effect of solvent DON and NIV structures provides compelling evidence for significant structural variation in different chemical environments. Jarvis et al. (1990) provided preliminary evidence for a second minor configuration in a single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) study of NIV recrystallized from a mixture of methanol-d4 (CD3OD) and water. The authors compared changes in 13C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra of both DON and NIV in CDCl3 with those in acetone-d6, CD3OD, and DMSO-d6, and proposed that the second configuration in both toxins is an isomer resulting from a rearrangement of the ketone at C8 and hydroxyl at C15 to a hemiketal linkage between C8 to C15.

Historically, CDCl3 was the solvent of choice as most trichothecenes are soluble in it, and it seems to minimize problems associated with aggregation which is at the heart of their poor solubility in water. Chloroform is a significant departure from the cellular environment. Although the cytosol is primarily aqueous, some hydrophobic environments with limited free water exist. As such, trichothecene structure and dynamics should be observed in both types of environments, where the presence of free water is controlled to gain a better understanding of how these toxins interact with and move through the cell.

A more comprehensive structural analyses and a dynamic understanding of the trichothecene-ribosome interaction and inhibition mechanisms will lead to more advancements in this area. With some exceptions, the majority of the structural analysis of trichothecenes reported to date was carried out 20–30 years ago, and often with the purpose of basic structural determination and identification. Technological advances in structural determination have made it possible to perform more detailed structural analysis and dynamics. A small number of dynamic studies have been reported among the different classes of trichothecenes (Jarvis et al., 1990; Fragaki et al., 2008; Steinmetz et al., 2008; Chaudhary et al., 2011; Shank et al., 2011). Density functional theory (DFT) computations were carried out by Nagy et al. (2005), on the internal dynamics.
of DON, which suggested that the lowest energy configuration contains an internal H-bonding network. The proposed H-bonding occurs between the C₈ carbonyl oxygen and the C₇ hydroxyl hydrogen, which in turn is linked to the hydroxyl hydrogen at C₁₅. The energy of this interaction is significantly lower than all other locally optimal configurations considered; however, H-bonding and bridging with water was not taken into account in this study. Also recent evidence presented by our group on water binding in T-2 toxin (Chaudhary et al., 2011) demonstrates that the H-bonding networks, both intra- and inter-molecular, of all the trichothecenes should be carefully considered.

The current study was designed to determine whether different solvent properties induce structural changes in DON, where the presence of free and bound water is controlled. High resolution $^1$H and $^{13}$C solution state spectra are presented which provides novel insights into the structure and dynamics of DON in different chemical environments. The structural implications on the toxicity mechanisms and biological interactions of DON are discussed.
TABLE 1 | Chemical shifts (ppm) for DON in CDCl₃ compared with literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Lit.a</th>
<th>COSYb</th>
<th>NOESYCd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.671</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3, 4α,11,14, 15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.577</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>2, 3αΗ, 4βΗ</td>
<td>2, 4βΗ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3OH</td>
<td>2.066</td>
<td>N.O.c</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15OH, W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4α</td>
<td>2.129</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2, 3, 4β,11</td>
<td>3, 4β,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4β</td>
<td>2.247</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>3, 4α,11,15α,14</td>
<td>2, 3OH, 4α,11,15β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.876</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>7OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>3.861</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7, 14, W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.652</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>11,16</td>
<td>11,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.843</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>24αβ,10,15αβ,16</td>
<td>23OH,4β,10,15αβOH,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13α</td>
<td>3.195</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>13β</td>
<td>13β,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13β</td>
<td>3.121</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>13α,4β</td>
<td>2,13α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.170</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2, 4β</td>
<td>4β,7OH,15α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15α</td>
<td>3.932</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>15β,15OH,11</td>
<td>4β,14,15β,15OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15β</td>
<td>3.778</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>15α,15OH,11</td>
<td>4β,14,11,15α,15OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15OH</td>
<td>1.759</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
<td>15α,15β</td>
<td>3OH,15αβW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.930</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>10,11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSaward and Blackwell (1994).
bEXSY crosspeaks are in blue.
cNot observed.
dNOESY crosspeaks of note are in red.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

4-Deoxynivalenol was purchased from Sigma, (DON; CAS 51481-10-8), with estimated purity >95%. The delivered sample, which had a flaky appearance, was dried under vacuum to remove residual water.

NMR samples of DON were prepared in CDCl₃ to a concentration of 1 mg mL⁻¹ with TMS as an internal reference for both ¹³C and ¹H. CDCl₃ was dried over molecular sieves to prevent further introduction of water through the solvent. A sample was also prepared in dried DMSO-d₆; however for the samples in acetone-d₆, THF-d₄ and methanol-d₄ the solvents were not dried. All deuterated solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**¹H Solution-State NMR Experiments**

All NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker Avance 2 300 MHz spectrometer, outfitted with a 5 mm HX PABBO BB probe. The magnetic field strength is 7.05 Tesla, with larmor frequencies of 300.13 MHz for ¹H. All experiments were performed at ambient temperature (25°C). Typical 1D ¹H spectra were recorded as 128 transients, using a 90° pulse width of 12.4 μs, and a recycle delay of 1.5 s. Similarly typical 1D ¹³C spectra were obtained in 4000 transients, using a 90° pulse width of 7.6 μs, and a recycle delay of 4.0 s, as 64 K points over a spectral window of 18 kHz.

The homonuclear magnitude gradient ¹H COSY spectrum was acquired in 256 increments over a spectral width of 1800 Hz (12.0 ppm) in both dimensions, using a recycle delay of 1.5 s. 64 transients were collected for each increment, having 4096 points. The direct and indirect dimensions have a digital resolution of 0.88 and 7.03 Hz, respectively, before zero filling.

The gradient ¹H NOESY spectrum was acquired in at least 256 increments covering a spectral width of 1802.45 Hz (12.0 ppm) in both dimensions, using a recycle delay of 20 s and an array of mixing times of 0.5, 1.0, 2, 5, 7, and 10 s. Eight transients were collected for each increment, having 4096 points. The direct and indirect dimensions have a digital resolution of 0.88 and 7.03 Hz, respectively, before zero filling. Inversion recovery experiments determined that the T₁s of all DON and water signals were below 3 s.

The ¹H-¹³C HSQC spectrum was acquired in 128 increments, using a recycle delay of 2.0 s, and a spectral width covering 4006.41 Hz (13.34 ppm) in the direct dimension and 12,500 Hz (165.62 ppm) in the indirect dimension. 152 transients were collected for each increment, having 1024 points, which was zero filled to 4096 points. The t₁ dimension was linear forward predicted to 256 points and further zero filled to 1024 points. The direct and indirect dimensions have a digital resolution of 3.9 and 98 Hz, respectively.

Similarly, the sub-spectrum associated with the remaining hydrogens: 2, 3, 3OH, 4α, 4β, 14, 13α, and 13β was simulated as a ten-spin ABCDEFGH spin system. Long range couplings were considered up to five bonds, and an inherent line-width of 0.3 Hz along with Gaussian line shapes was used to fit the data. In the A-ring simulation typically 2000 transitions were assigned to within RMS deviations below 0.03 Hz, and a largest absolute differences of less than 0.08 Hz Standard deviations (SD) in all the spectral parameters ranged from 0.1000 to 0.001 Hz In the C ring simulation, typically 2200 transitions were assigned with an RMS deviations below 0.03 Hz, and largest absolute differences less...
than 0.09 hz SD in all the spectral parameters range from 0.1000 to 0.001 Hz.

Structural Analysis
All proposed structures were calculated and optimized using ACD ChemSketch, from which internuclear distances were estimated for comparison to those obtained from the NOESY spectra in CDCl$_3$, and DMSO-d$_6$. All NOESY spectra were analyzed using Mestre NOV A software, using an LB of 3 Hz in each dimension. Integration of all the cross-peaks (CP) and auto-correlation peaks (ACP) was performed from which the CP to ACP ratio were computed and the cross-relaxation rate, $s$, was obtained using:

$$\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{CP}}{I_{ACP}}\right) = \sigma \tau_{mix}$$

(1)

The cross-relaxation rates were used to determine the internuclear distances, using 4$_a$ and 4$_b$ as well as 13$_a$ and 13$_b$ as references with internuclear distances of 181 and 186 pm respectively. The following relationship was used:

$$r_{ij} = r_{ref} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{ref}}{\sigma_{ij}}$$

(2)

The EXSY CP were used to compute exchange rates between water and OH signals using:

$$\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{I_{CP}}{I_{ACP}}\right) = k \tau_{mix}$$

(3)

RESULTS
Full Spectral Assignment of DON in Chloroform
The assignment of the $^1$H spectrum in CDCl$_3$ differed significantly from those in previous reports on the basis of spectral simulation and new evidence from the COSY and NOESY spectra. In addition to the revision of the methylene proton assignments, accurate chemical shifts of the hydroxyl protons are offered here.

The $^1$H spectrum of DON in CDCl$_3$ is shown in Figure 1 in which the labeling convention of Savard et al. (1987) was employed as shown in Scheme 1. Where significant $\pi$-electron delocalization is expected, coupling constants of up to five-bonds were considered. The assignment of the $^1$H spectrum is given in Table 1 where the chemical shifts and coupling constants given alongside the diagnostic homonuclear CP from the COSY and the NOESY spectra. Figure 2 contains the NOESY spectrum of DON in CDCl$_3$ measured using a mixing time of 3 s. The most
prominent CP are labeled, and used to compute exchange rates and internuclear distances, which are shown in Table 2. The heteronuclear 2D experiments are not shown. The assignment corresponds closely to previous reports (Savard et al., 1987; Nagy et al., 2005) with some notable exceptions described below.

The assignment of 4a and 4b is now reversed, where the chemical shift of the former is smaller than the latter (Table 1). This was confirmed by NOESY, where a strong cross-peak between 4b and 11 is observed and that between 4a and 14 is weak, which stands in contrast to the strong cross-peak 4a and 14 and that between 4a and 11 being weak. Furthermore, the cross-peak between 3 and 4a is much stronger than the 1 to 4a, and those from both 15a and 15b to 4a are much stronger than those to 4a. This realignment clearly makes it easier to appreciate the relative order in the chemical shifts where 4b is larger than 4a, which is consistent with 4b being eclipsed by the hydroxyl oxygen on C3 (see Figure 3A). Correspondingly 4a eclipses 3 as indicated by the vicinal coupling of 10.98 Hz, which could be easily confused with a trans vicinal coupling causing 4a and 4b to be interchanged.

The assignments of the protons on the epoxy ring were reversed with respect to previous reports (Savard and Blackwell, 1994), where 13a, at 3.195 ppm, has a significant cross-peak with 14, while 13b, at 3.121 ppm, has a prominent cross-peak with 2. Additionally, the larger cross-peak between 7 and 13a compared with 7 and 13b further supports this assignment. Hence, the larger chemical shift of 13a compared with 13b is due to its proximity to 15OH.

The methylene protons 13a and 13b are not easy to assign; however, NOESY CP provide the most convincing evidence that 15a is closer to 14, while 15b is closer to 11. Thus, 15a nearly eclipses C5, while similarly 15b is almost eclipses C1 and thus is oriented underneath the B ring, placing 15OH in the correct orientation to undergo H-bonding to 7OH. The larger chemical shift of 15a can be ascribed to its closer proximity to 7OH. This indicates a preference for the gauche (with a dihedral angle C7-C6-C15-O15 = 330°) rotamer, which is stabilized by transient H-bonding to 7OH.

The shifts and couplings involving of the hydroxyl protons went previously unreported presumably due to being obscured by chemical exchange broadening with excess free water in the solvent. To illustrate the loss of resolution in those signals, Figure 4 compares the spectra for DON prepared with CDC3 that was either dried or not dried. The high resolution achieved in the OH signals of the dried sample indicates that very slow exchange takes place with water. Line broadening in the wet sample suggests faster exchange; however, 7OH remains relatively narrow. The EXSY cross-peak intensities give exchange rates in the dry sample for 7OH is 0.04 s⁻¹ while those for 15OH and 3OH are 0.12 s⁻¹ suggesting that strong H-bonding between 7OH and the carbonyl oxygen hinders water exchange.

The natural line width of the water and OH signal in the dry sample are below 0.2 Hz as their T2S occur near 1.5 s. Consequently, the effect of chemical exchange on the OH chemical shifts is negligible and thus they reflect the true shift of the OH environment. The OH shifts in the dry sample support preferential H-bonding to 7OH as its shift is much larger than those of 15OH and 3OH.

The water peak contains only one component in approximately equal stoichiometric proportions to DON.

### Table 2 | Internuclear distances calculated from NOESY spectrum in CDCl3, at a mixing time of 3 s, compared with computed boat and chair geometries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nα</th>
<th>Nβ</th>
<th>rij</th>
<th>rin (boat)</th>
<th>rin (chair)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>13b</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>3OH</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3OH</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3OH</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>13b</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>13b</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2OH</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15a</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3OH</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13a</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>13b</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>15OH</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3OH</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>13a</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7OH</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>(e)²</th>
<th>(σ)²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>(0.74)</td>
<td>(0.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Hydrogen nucleus.  
2Internuclear distance in Å computed from NOESY crosspeaks using Equations (1) and (2) (green indicates distances to the bound water molecule).  
3Predicted estimates for internuclear distances using AM1 computations.  
4Mean deviation squared.  
5Chi squared based on 33 distances in Å², and σ is the standard deviation in Å (red and blue highlights the largest contributor to the chi squared of boat and chair conformations, respectively).
The signal is extremely narrow lacking any significant fine structure, indicating that it has significant mobility. In an attempt to remove water bound to DON, a sample was prepared in dried solvent where molecular sieves were added over a period of 72 h. There was an initial slight decrease in the ratio of the water to DON, after which, the ratio remained constant with time, and the number of sieves added. The stoichiometric ratio of water to DON converged to 1:1, meaning that one water molecule is very strongly bound to each DON molecule.

The $^{13}$C signals were assigned using HSQC and HMBC. Table 3 shows the $^{13}$C assignment for CDCl$_3$ along with all the diagnostic heteronuclear CP. All the $^{13}$C chemical shifts are summarized in Table 4 and are reported with respect to TMS in all 5 solvents. The $^{13}$C chemical shift assignment in CDCl$_3$ is the same as previously reported. Additional structural observations can be made from when they are compared between the different solvents.

The internuclear distances from the NOESY spectra in CDCl$_3$ are compared with those from structures modeled with a boat and chair configuration of the B ring (Figures 3A,B). The results are shown in Table 2. The $c^2$ between the experimental values and the boat configuration is 17.6 Å², while that with the chair configuration is 6.7 Å², indicating a preference for the chair form. If one were to drop internuclear distances involving any mobile nuclei (i.e., OH, sidechain and CH$_3$ signals) from consideration, $c^2$ would dramatically decrease to 11.8 Å² for the boat and 2.1 Å² for the chair form. This significantly increases the confidence level in the match of the remaining 18 internuclear distance measurements to the chair form with a standard deviation of 0.35 Å as compared with the boat form at 0.83 Å.

**Full Spectral Assignment of DON in DMSO-d$_6$**

The spectrum in DMSO-d$_6$ is shown in Figure 5 along with its simulation. Figure 6 shows the corresponding NOESY spectrum. The spectral parameters obtained by simulation and fitting to the experimental spectrum are given in Tables 5, 6 for all five solvents.

The assignment of the $^1$H spectrum also departs from previous work concerning the methylene protons at C$_4$ and C$_{15}$. The hydroxyl resonances have been previously reported and are consistent with our findings. As in CDCl$_3$, $4\alpha$ and $4\beta$ are reversed with respect to previous reports (Savard and Blackwell, 1994), where the former has the lower shift, and the vicinal coupling between the $4\alpha$ and 3 indicates they are eclipsed. In addition, the chemical shift of $4\alpha$ decreases, while $4\beta$ increases significantly between CDCl$_3$ and DMSO-d$_6$. The assignments of $13\alpha$ and $13\beta$ is the same as in Savard et al. (1987), hence reversed with respect to CDCl$_3$, where the shift of $13\alpha$ decreases sufficiently to be lower than $13\beta$ which is less sensitive to the change in solvent environment. The assignment of $13\alpha$ and $13\beta$ were verified by NOESY CP between $13\alpha$ and 14 and between $13\beta$ and 2, respectively. Both $15\alpha$ and $15\beta$ decrease by similar amounts, although their absolute assignment is difficult to verify by NOESY as they both have CP with 14, 11, and 3. However, $15\alpha$ is the only one to have a cross-peak with $4\beta$. 
FIGURE 4 | Expansions of OH regions of the 300 MHz $^1$H spectrum of DON in dried (Top) and wet (Bottom) CDCl$_3$.

Other notable trends in $^1$H shifts changes from CDCl$_3$ to DMSO-d$_6$ are that all the shifts corresponding to the position on the C-ring namely 2, 3, and 4$_a$ decrease, and similarly 7, 14, and 16 decrease while 11 increases. Most of the changes in the chemical shifts can be reconciled by the reorientation of 15$_{OH}$ with respect to C$_6$, as shown in Figure 3C. The 15$_{OH}$ now situated under the B-ring oriented toward the C-ring side. This increases the distances from 15$_{OH}$ to 4$_a$, 7, 14, and 16 hence significantly decreasing their shifts, while decreasing the distances to 4$_b$ and 11 hence increasing their shifts.

Again the slow water exchange allows OH shifts to be interpreted. In DMSO-d$_6$ the chemical shift of 7$_{OH}$ increases the least by 1 ppm, while 15$_{OH}$ and 3$_{OH}$ increase much more dramatically by approximately 3 ppm each. This can only occur if significant new H-bonding interactions take place involving 15$_{OH}$ and 3$_{OH}$. In response to 15$_{OH}$ being sequestered 7$_{OH}$ is free to interact more strongly with the carbonyl oxygen as indicated by $^3$J$_{7,7_{OH}}$ changing from 2 to 4 Hz between CDCl$_3$ and DMSO-d$_6$.

Resolution enhancement of the water signal indicates that there are two distinct water signals. One component has a splitting pattern that resembles a doublet of triplets with a linewidth of 0.3 Hz (Figure 7). This is actually an AMNX spin system, where A and X are 15$_{OH}$ and 3$_{OH}$, and the water protons M and N are inequivalent by 0.013 ppm. The coupling constants are $^2$J$_{MN} = -7.8$ Hz ($^2$J$_{HH}$ is estimated from HOD in deuterium exchange study on T2-toxin in CDCl$_3$ (Chaudhary et al., 2011)), and the outer lines of the MN pattern have 1.3% intensity of inner lines and thus are lost in the noise). $^6$J$_{AX} = 0$ Hz, and $^2$J$_{AM} = ^2$J$_{NX}$ and $^4$J$_{AN} = ^4$J$_{MX}$ each ranging from $-0.20$ to $-0.40$ Hz. Such a coupling pattern is consistent with an immobile bridging water species, between 3$_{OH}$ and 15$_{OH}$, spanning the mouth of a “binding pocket.” When considering the B- and C-rings together as a seven-membered ring that is bridged by the epoxide, the “binding pocket” is the bottom of the combined ring, opposite to the epoxide bridge.

The other component of the water signal exhibits no fine structure with linewidth of 0.86 Hz. With the water bridged (hence strained) the binding pocket retains essentially the same configuration as in CDCl$_3$ where 3 and 4$_a$ remain staggered. The exchange rate between water and all three hydroxyl groups is effectively the same near 0.07 to 0.08 s$^{-1}$, which indicates
that binding to 15OH and 3OH is stronger in DMSO-d₆ than in CDCl₃.

The ¹³C shift changes occurring in DMSO-d₆ with respect to CDCl₃ are consistent with the conformation changes proposed, where δC₅, δC₇, and δC₁₅ decrease when 15OH moves under the binding pocket which also causes δC₄, δ₁₂, and δ₁₄ to increase.

The changes in δC₁₃, δC₁₄ and δC₁₂ are also due in part to reorientation of 3OH.

Conformational changes were investigated further using NOESY CP in to compare cross relaxation rates δ₁₁,δ₄₈, δ₁₅,δ₄₆, δ₁₃,δ₇, δ₁₅,δ₇, and δ₁₃,δ₂ between the two solvents. The corresponding internuclear distances δ₁₁,δ₄₈, δ₁₃,δ₂, δ₁₃,δ₇, and δ₁₃,δ₇ are consistently shorter in DMSO-d₆ by at least 0.10, 0.15, 0.10, and 0.25 Å. These changes indicate that water binding has caused slight changes in the binding pocket bringing C₁ and C₃ closer to C₅ and C₁₁, effectively increasing the degree of folding between the B- and C-rings by decreasing the valence angles at C₂ and C₅. As a result, the epoxide ring becomes further removed from the A- and C-rings. This is corroborated by the decrease in chemical shifts of 2, 3, 4a, and 7 which would experience deshielding effect of the epoxide ring less strongly. Also the reorientation of 15OH to underneath the binding pocket was confirmed by δ₁₅,δ₄₈ decreasing from 2.70 to 2.19 Å.

Additional evidence for changes in the geometry of the B- and C-rings upon water binding is seen in the changes to the scalar couplings in DMSO-d₆. The dihedral angle between 2 and 3 increases, and between 3 and 4a decrease as indicated by δ₂,δ₃ decreasing and δ₃,δ₄ increasing. The valence angle between 4a and 4b increases and that between C₃ and C₅ decreases as indicated by δ₂,δ₃,δ₄ increasing. Increased strain in the epoxide ring can be inferred by the increase in δ₂,δ₃,δ₄.

### Analysis of the ¹H Spectra of DON in Acetone-d₆, THF-d₄, and Methanol-d₄

The ¹H chemical shift trends in the remaining solvents are consistent with the findings in DMSO-d₆. The shifts of 11 and 4b increase, whereas that of 4a decreases (Table 5). Even though the trends in 7, 2, 3, and 13a are not as extreme as in DMSO-d₆, they do follow the same sense. Also, in acetone-d₆ and THF-d₄ the 3OH and 15OH shifts increase significantly by 2 ppm, which are not quite as large in DMSO-d₆. In methanol-d₄ the hydroxyl signals could not be observed as they were lost to deuterium exchange with the solvent.

Only the water signal in acetone-d₆ exhibited sufficient resolution such that two components could be found, corresponding to two bound water molecules; however, there were no fine structural features that indicate a bridging binding motif. Cross-relaxation measurements suggest that one of the water molecules was approximately 2.80 Å away from 11.

In all three solvents 15OH does favor the trans rotamer; however, not exclusively as in DMSO-d₆. The remaining mobility in 15OH accounts for the smaller increases in the hydroxyl shifts as well as the weaker trends in 7, 2, 3, and 13a. The weaker bonding interaction in the binding pocket for methanol-d₄ and THF-d₄ is not just the result of differing solvent polarity as they also contain much more free water, where the water to DON ratio was 14 and 30 to one, respectively. Furthermore, methanol-d₄ is a hydrogen bonding solvent and therefore would compete with water thereby further weakening the water binding to DON. One would expect to see the same effect in bulk water.

**TABLE 3 | The assignment of the carbon chemical shifts and observed ¹³C correlations for DON in CDCl₃.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>¹³C</th>
<th>δ (ppm)³</th>
<th>HSQC</th>
<th>HMBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69.17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2δCH₂,δCH₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43.21</td>
<td>4a, 4b</td>
<td>2δCH₂,δOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>51.96</td>
<td>3δOH,δCH₃,δOH</td>
<td>7,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70.39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>199.87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>135.96</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>138.46</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>74.53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>65.61</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>47.41</td>
<td>13a, 13b</td>
<td>13a, 13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>62.56</td>
<td>13a, 13b</td>
<td>13a, 13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11,16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³Measured directly from the spectrum. No statistical error available from fitting. The experimental digital resolution is 0.55 Hz or 0.007 ppm.

**TABLE 4 | Experimental and literature ¹³C chemical shift data for DON in CDCl₃, acetone-d₆, and DMSO-d₆.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>¹³C</th>
<th>CDCl₃</th>
<th>Acetone-d₆</th>
<th>DMSO-d₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lit.³</td>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>Lit.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>80.80</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>69.17</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>43.21</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>46.46</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>51.98</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>70.39</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>202.3</td>
<td>199.87</td>
<td>200.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>135.96</td>
<td>136.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>138.4</td>
<td>139.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>74.53</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>65.61</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>47.41</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>62.56</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³All literature data obtained from Jarvis et al. (1993).

Blue indicates increase and red decrease in chemical shift with respect to CDCl₃. No error estimates available from fitting. The experimental digital resolution is 0.55 Hz or 0.007 ppm.
FIGURE 5 | Experimental (Bottom) and simulated (Top) 300 MHz $^1$H spectrum of DON in DMSO-d$_6$.

FIGURE 6 | 300 MHz $^1$H NOESY of DON in DMSO-d$_6$ with a mixing time of 1 s.
TABLE 5 | The chemical shifts (ppm) and associated error (Hz) obtained by fitting the $^1$H spectra of DON are in the various solvents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^1$H</th>
<th>CDCl$_3$</th>
<th>DMSO-d$_6$</th>
<th>Acetone-d$_6$</th>
<th>THF-d$_4$</th>
<th>Methanol-d$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.671 (±0.002)$^a$</td>
<td>3.400 (±0.003)</td>
<td>3.499 (±0.004)</td>
<td>3.391 (±0.067)</td>
<td>3.545 (±0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.577 (±0.002)</td>
<td>4.190 (±0.004)</td>
<td>4.401 (±0.009)</td>
<td>4.277 (±0.068)</td>
<td>4.378 (±0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3O$_H$</td>
<td>2.066 (±0.001)</td>
<td>5.114 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.270 (±0.004)</td>
<td>4.282 (±0.072)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$_a$</td>
<td>2.129 (±0.001)</td>
<td>1.770 (±0.003)</td>
<td>1.943 (±0.004)</td>
<td>1.861 (±0.065)</td>
<td>1.969 (±0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4$_b$</td>
<td>2.247 (±0.002)</td>
<td>2.461 (±0.003)</td>
<td>2.530 (±0.004)</td>
<td>2.451 (±0.074)</td>
<td>2.464 (±0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.876 (±0.002)</td>
<td>4.683 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.833 (±0.009)</td>
<td>4.758 (±0.066)</td>
<td>4.810 (±0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7O$_H$</td>
<td>3.861 (±0.002)</td>
<td>4.868 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.104 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.146 (±0.008)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.969 (±0.002)</td>
<td>13.932 (±0.002)</td>
<td>3.639 (±0.003)</td>
<td>3.838 (±0.073)</td>
<td>3.702 (±0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15B</td>
<td>3.778 (±0.002)</td>
<td>3.414 (±0.003)</td>
<td>3.728 (±0.055)</td>
<td>3.603 (±0.005)</td>
<td>3.697 (±0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15O$_H$</td>
<td>1.759 (±0.002)</td>
<td>4.631 (±0.003)</td>
<td>3.801 (±0.086)</td>
<td>3.778 (±0.005)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.930 (±0.001)</td>
<td>1.734 (±0.002)</td>
<td>1.824 (±0.005)</td>
<td>1.776 (±0.005)</td>
<td>1.846 (±0.003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RMS$^b$

$^a$Typically 4200 transitions were assigned in total. RMS, Root mean square deviation. The largest absolute difference was typically below 0.1 Hz.

$^b$The simulations give chemical shifts in Hz units with standard deviation of less than 0.002 to 0.1 Hz. This amounts 5–7 significant figures; therefore, when converting to ppm the shifts should have been recorded from 4 to 6 decimal places. In this case the errors are given in Hz units, as in ppm the error would be 0 up to the third decimal place.

$^{Red}$indicated increase and blue a decrease in chemical shift with respect to CDCl$_3$. The more significant changes are underlined.

TABLE 6 | Scalar couplings (Hz) and associated error (Hz) obtained by fitting the $^1$H spectra of DON in the various solvents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupling</th>
<th>CDCl$_3$</th>
<th>DMSO-d$_6$</th>
<th>Acetone-d$_6$</th>
<th>THF-d$_4$</th>
<th>Methanol-d$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(2,3$\beta$)</td>
<td>4.940 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.121 (±0.006)</td>
<td>4.407 (±0.007)</td>
<td>4.583 (±0.113)</td>
<td>4.428 (±0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(3,3O$_H$)</td>
<td>4.080 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.112 (±0.006)</td>
<td>4.085 (±0.007)</td>
<td>4.416 (±0.108)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(3,4$_a$)</td>
<td>10.980 (±0.003)</td>
<td>11.365 (±0.007)</td>
<td>11.127 (±0.007)</td>
<td>10.986 (±0.126)</td>
<td>11.070 (±0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(3,4$_b$)</td>
<td>4.140 (±0.003)</td>
<td>3.347 (±0.006)</td>
<td>4.368 (±0.007)</td>
<td>4.059 (±0.112)</td>
<td>4.369 (±0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2$J(4$_a$,4$_b$)</td>
<td>−14.870 (±0.003)</td>
<td>−14.040 (±0.008)</td>
<td>−14.466 (±0.006)</td>
<td>−14.479 (±0.101)</td>
<td>−14.512 (±0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(7,7O$_H$)</td>
<td>1.940 (±0.003)</td>
<td>3.945 (±0.005)</td>
<td>2.927 (±0.010)</td>
<td>2.647 (±0.008)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(10,11)</td>
<td>5.684 (±0.004)</td>
<td>6.021 (±0.006)</td>
<td>5.911 (±0.009)</td>
<td>6.043 (±0.010)</td>
<td>6.009 (±0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^4$J(10,16)</td>
<td>−1.510 (±0.002)</td>
<td>−1.511 (±0.007)</td>
<td>−1.526 (±0.006)</td>
<td>−1.607 (±0.006)</td>
<td>−1.601 (±0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2$J(13$_a$,13$_b$)</td>
<td>4.220 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.575 (±0.005)</td>
<td>4.514 (±0.011)</td>
<td>4.565 (±0.010)</td>
<td>5.065 (±0.011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2$J(15$_a$,15$_b$)</td>
<td>−12.032 (±0.004)</td>
<td>−11.230 (±0.006)</td>
<td>−11.961 (±0.120)</td>
<td>−11.600 (±0.105)</td>
<td>−11.816 (±0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(15$_a$,15O$_H$)</td>
<td>5.670 (±0.003)</td>
<td>5.251 (±0.006)</td>
<td>5.696 (±0.138)</td>
<td>5.285(±0.104)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$J(15$_b$,15O$_H$)</td>
<td>4.820 (±0.003)</td>
<td>4.739 (±0.005)</td>
<td>4.819 (±0.094)</td>
<td>4.767(±0.107)</td>
<td>N.O.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Water Binding in the Proposed Structure with NOESY in CDCl$_3$ and DMSO-d$_6$

Previous work in our group showed that a water molecule is bound to T-2 toxin at a 1:1 ratio (Chaudhary et al., 2011). The same was observed here for DON in both chemical environments investigated. Water binding to DON was observed through cross-relaxation between water and protons of the binding pocket. Internuclear distances in CDCl$_3$ from water to 2, 3, 11, 15$_a$ and 15$_b$ are 2.99, 2.84, 3.14, 2.93, and 3.22 Å, respectively. This places at least one water molecule beneath the binding pocket in the vicinity of 15O$_H$ and 3O$_H$. The lack of fine structure in the high resolution water $^1$H signal suggest significant mobility remains in the bound water and thus is unlikely to bridge 3O$_H$ to 15O$_H$.

In DMSO-d$_6$ water binding involves one water molecule bridging the 3O$_H$ to 15O$_H$. Additional water may be bound elsewhere; however, it would be more mobile in a similar manner to what is observed in CDCl$_3$. Unfortunately, no CP between
The major structural differences observed between the two environments occurred with respect to the OH groups, which undergo distinct internal H-bonding and water binding patterns. In CDCl₃ the 15O₃H has significant configurational flexibility and undergoes H-bonding with both 7O₂H and 3O₃H, although 7O₂H is primarily occupied with H-bonding with the carbonyl oxygen at C₈. In DMSO-d₆, the interaction between 15O₃H and 7O₂H is lost, and the 15O₃H interaction with 3O₃H involves a bridging through a water molecule. This bridged interaction leads to a much stronger binding of the water in DMSO-d₆ as compared with CDCl₃. Furthermore, water binding in DMSO-d₆ leads to slight conformational changes in the B- and C-rings, such that the opening of the combined rings is smaller, thus accommodating the water bridging. Therefore, in non-H-bonding and low polarity environments, in the absence of free water, the configuration of the binding pocket arranges the 3O₃H and 15O₃H optimally for donation, hence they will be very reactive to nearby acceptors, such as water, basic amino acid residues, and metal cations.

**Comparison of DON Structures in Solution with Reported Structures of Bound Trichothecenes**

The crystal structure of DON and other trichothecenes bound to the yeast ribosome (Garreau De Loubresse et al., 2014) and to *Fusarium* trichothecene acetyltransferases, TRI101 (Garvey et al., 2008) and TRI3 (Garvey et al., 2009), were previously reported. In the bound crystal structures for DON (Garvey et al., 2008; Garreau De Loubresse et al., 2014) and in the solution structure in DMSO-d₆ described herein, the B-ring is in the chair configuration and the 15O₃H group is pointing underneath the ring. In DMSO-d₆, the 15O₃H is poised underneath the C-ring, whereas when bound in TRI101 it is underneath the A-ring. In the ribosome-bound crystal structure it is unclear whether it is pointing inwards toward the A- or C-ring. By contrast, in CDCl₃, the 15O₃H of DON is pointing outwards from the molecule. Interestingly, this is similar to the crystal structures of the ribosome-bound and the TRI101-bound T-2 toxin (Garvey et al., 2008; Garreau De Loubresse et al., 2014), where the 15O₃Ac is unambiguously pointing outwards. Furthermore, a water molecule was observed in the vicinity of the binding pocket in the TRI101-DON and TRI3-15-decalonectrin interactions (Garvey et al., 2008, 2009), in approximately the same distance as observed for DON herein. Furthermore, in the TRI101-DON interact, a strong donor-acceptor interaction was observed between the 3O₃H and His-156 (Garvey et al., 2008).

Based on these structural insights, we propose the following mechanism of inhibition of protein synthesis. The epoxide ring, known to be essential for toxicity, was not reported to have direct interactions with ribosomal components in the crystal structure presented by Garreau De Loubresse et al. (2014). The specific nature of the epoxide ring making it essential for toxicity is unclear; however, it stands to reason that the epoxide holds the binding pocket in an ideal configuration to bring 3O₃H and 15O₃H in close proximity. The NMR structures presented here show
that 3\text{OH} and 15\text{OH} bind to a water molecule in various ways depending on the nature of the solvent. Thus, we hypothesize that in a cellular environment, where free water is limited, the 15\text{OH} and 3\text{OH} of DON interacts with a magnesium ion in the A-site in the PTC (which is aligned with the normal vector to the plane of the opening of binding pocket) thereby disrupting its activity by changing the local conformation of the PTC. It should be noted that the resolution of the toxin in the ribosome was not sufficient enough to determine whether or not any water was included in the binding. In the event that water is present, it is also possible that the water itself, held in place through the bridging interaction, interacts with the magnesium ion. If the magnesium ion were to be sequestered in either capacity, the local conformation in the PTC would be disrupted; hence, the peptidyl transferase activity would be inhibited.

**Implications of Water Binding on the Toxicity Of DON and Related Trichothecenes**

The work presented herein provides new high resolution structural and dynamic information for the trichothecene toxin DON. Our results support previous structural works, but also give new insights with respect to the role of water. Of note, is the detailed hydrogen bonding interaction with water which revealed a bridging between 3\text{OH} and 15\text{OH}. This binding between water and DON is incredibly strong with exchange rates of 0.04–0.12 s\(^{-1}\), which represents a Gibbs energy on the order of 77–81 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). In a previous study (Chaudhary et al., 2011), we reported water binding with T-2 toxin where the 3\text{OH} was also shown to be important; however, in this case, the functional group at C\text{15} is substituted with an acetyl group in T-2 toxin, which prevents the formation of the water bridge observed here for DON.

The nature of the water binding is likely very important for toxicity, and the strength of this interaction with the molecule may explain differences in toxicity. For example, our work shows that 3\text{OH} plays an important role in water binding, meanwhile substitution at the 3\text{OH} in trichothecenes, such as acetylation or glycosylation, has been shown to reduce or eliminate toxicity (Alexander et al., 1999; Poppenberger et al., 2003; McCormick, 2009). It is possible that these modifications at C\text{3} increase the number of possible binding modes which are non-optimal for donor-acceptor interactions of the binding pocket with its immediate surroundings, thereby reducing its ability to effectively bind magnesium.

We hypothesize that the role of the epoxide ring in toxicity is in stabilizing the structure of the binding pocket for interactions with water and/or relevant molecules in its vicinity, and that differences in toxicity are related to (a) the reactivity of the binding pocket, which is based on substitution patterns on the trichothecene core, and (b) the orientation of the binding pocket with respect to the magnesium ion in the A-site of the PTC. This hypothesis outlines directions of future inquiries currently under investigation, such as effects of substitutions on water binding, the role of the epoxide ring on water binding, direct donor-acceptor interactions of these toxins with metal centers. The knowledge to be obtained from these studies can be exploited to initiate and/or support the development of detoxification strategies. Strategies to modify the C\text{3} have previously been proposed to reduce the impacts of trichothecene contamination of grain using transgenic approaches (Muhitch et al., 2000; Alexander, 2008; Shin et al., 2012). The work herein provides the first insights as to why substitutions at C\text{3} reduce toxicity, namely the role of 3\text{OH} in water binding. Further analysis of this interaction may lead alternative strategies to disrupt the interaction with water and could therefore be used in trichothecene remediation from contaminated grains.
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