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Abstract

An orthogonal design of order $n$ and type $(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$, denoted $OD(n; s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$, is a square matrix $X$ of order $n$ with entries from \{0, \pm x_1, \ldots, \pm x_\ell\}, where the $x_j$’s are commuting variables, that satisfies $XX^t = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\ell s_j x_j^2\right)I_n$, where $X^t$ denotes the transpose of $X$, and $I_n$ is the identity matrix of order $n$.

An asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs is shown. More precisely, for any $\ell$-tuple $(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$ of positive integers, there exists an integer $N = N(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(2^n(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^n s_1, \ldots, 2^n s_\ell)$. This result of Chapter 5 complements a result of Peter Eades et al. which in turn implies that if the positive integers $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_\ell$ are all highly divisible by 2, then there is a full orthogonal design of type $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_\ell)$.

Some new classes of orthogonal designs related to weighing matrices are obtained in Chapter 3.

In Chapter 4, we deal with product designs and amicable orthogonal designs, and a construction method is presented.

Signed group orthogonal designs, a natural extension of orthogonal designs, are introduced in Chapter 6. Furthermore, an asymptotic existence of signed group orthogonal designs is obtained and applied to show the asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs.
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Chapter 1

Introduction and statement of results

A complex orthogonal design of order $n$ and type $(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$, denoted $COD(n; s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$, is a square matrix $X$ of order $n$ with entries from $\{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_\ell x_\ell\}$, where the $x_j$'s are commuting variables and $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ for each $j$, that satisfies

$$XX^* = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} s_j x_j^2 \right) I_n,$$

where $X^*$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $X$, and $I_n$ is the identity matrix of order $n$. A complex orthogonal design (COD) in which $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ for all $j$ is called an orthogonal design, denoted $OD(n; s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$. An orthogonal design (OD) in which there is no zero entry is called a full OD.

COD’s and OD’s have many applications in space-time block codes [47], wireless network connections [26], quadratic forms [45, 52], and they also have applications in electronics engineering [37].

A Hadamard matrix of order $n$ is a square $\{\pm 1\}$-matrix $H$ of order $n$ such that $HH^t = nI_n$, where $H^t$ is the transpose of $H$. Equating all variables to 1 in any full
OD results in a Hadamard matrix.

Hadamard matrices were first studied by Sylvester in 1867 under the name of anallagmatic pavement 26 years before Hadamard considered them in 1893 [20]. It is conjectured that a Hadamard matrix of order $4n$ exists for each $n \geq 1$ [39]. Hadamard matrices are well known up to order 668. Hadi Kharaghani and Behruz Tayfeh-Rezaie in [31] constructed a Hadamard matrix of order 428.

A **Weighing matrix** of order $n$ and weight $k$ is a square $\{0, \pm 1\}$-matrix $W$ of order $n$ such that $WW^t = kI_n$. Equating all variables to 1 in any OD of order $n$ results in a weighing matrix of order $n$ and weight $k$, denoted $W(n, k)$, where $k$ is the number of $\pm 1$ in each row (column) of the weighing matrix. It is conjectured that there exists a $W(4t, k)$ for each $1 \leq k \leq 4t$ [18].

Hadamard matrices and weighing matrices have applications in balanced incomplete block designs [21], tournaments [41], codes, graphs and statistics [2, 27, 34].

The credit for the consideration of **asymptotic existence** results should be given to Seberry [18, 50] for her fundamental approach in showing that for each positive integer $p$, there is a Hadamard matrix of order $2^np$ for each $n \geq 2 \log_2(p-3)$. Two of Seberry’s students, Peter Robinson [43] and Peter Eades [13], did extensive work on ODs in their Ph.D. theses and made significant advances towards showing the asymptotic existence of a number of ODs. The work of Warren Wolfe [53] provided enough ammunition to other researchers to pursue a different approach to the asymptotic existence of ODs. There are now a number of asymptotic existence results for orthogonal designs and thus Hadamard matrices [5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15, 24].

It was shown [18, 40] that the number of variables in an OD of order $n = 2^ab$, $b$ odd, cannot exceed $\rho(n)$ (Radon’s number), where $\rho(n)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\rho(n) := 8c + 2^d, \quad \text{where} \quad a = 4c + d, \quad 0 \leq d < 4.
$$
A rational family of order $n$ and type $(s_1, \ldots, s_k)$, where the $s_i$'s are positive rational numbers, is a collection of $k$ rational matrices of order $n$, $A_1, \ldots, A_k$, satisfying

- $A_iA_i^t = s_i I_n$, $1 \leq i \leq k$;
- $A_iA_j^t = -A_jA_i^t$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$.

Peter Eades [14], Daniel Shapiro [45] and Warren Wolfe [52, 53] made a connection between quadratic forms over rational numbers and orthogonal designs, and they obtained some non-existence results for orthogonal designs.

**Theorem 1.1** (Rational Family Theorem [45, 53]). Suppose that $n = 2^a b$ where $b$ is odd. Then there is a rational family of type $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u)$ and order $n$ if and only if

(i) $u \leq \rho(n)$,

(ii) there is a $u \times 2^a$ rational matrix $P$ such that $PP^t = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u)$.

The conditions (i) and (ii) are called the algebraic necessary conditions for the existence of orthogonal designs. By numerical evidence, it is conjectured that if $n$ is sufficiently larger than $s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_u$, then the algebraic necessary conditions (i) and (ii) are sufficient for existence of ODs of order $n$ and type $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u)$.

**Conjecture 1.2** (Asymptotic Sufficiency Conjecture [13]). Suppose that $\alpha$ is a non-negative integer and $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u$ are positive integers such that $u \leq \rho(2^\alpha)$ and there is a $u \times 2^\alpha$ rational matrix $P$ such that $PP^t = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u)$. Then there is an integer $N$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an

$$OD(2^\alpha n; s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u).$$

The case $\alpha = 0$ of this conjecture first was proved by Geramita and Wallis [17]. The cases $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ was proved by Eades [13]. We discuss these cases by slightly different methods in Chapter 3.
Throughout this thesis, we use the notation $u(k)$ to show that $u$ repeats $k$ times.

It is shown in Theorem 3.7 that if $k$ cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares, then there does not exist any skew-symmetric $W(4n, k)$, for any odd number $n$. Then by using Lemma 3.13, which indicates the existence of symmetric $OD(2^k; 1_{(k)})$ for any positive integer $k$, it is shown in Theorem 3.18 that if $k$ is a square, then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$ there is a symmetric $W(n, k)$. We prove Theorems 3.19 and 3.22 by slightly different methods:

- Suppose that $k = k_1^2 + k_2^2$, where $k_1$ and $k_2$ are two nonzero integers. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$ there is an $OD(2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)$.

- Suppose that $k = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2$, where $k_1, k_2, k_3$ and $k_4$ are nonzero integers. Then there is an integer $N = N(k)$ such that for each $n \geq N$ there is an $OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$.

In Corollary 3.21, it is shown that if $d$ is an integer square, then there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a skew-symmetric $W(2n, d)$.

In Corollary 3.25, it is shown that if $d$ is the sum of three integer squares, then there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a skew-symmetric $W(4n, d)$.

In Corollary 3.26, it is shown that if $d$ is any positive integer, then there exists an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a skew-symmetric $W(8n, d)$.

Corollaries 3.21, 3.25 and 3.26 are improvements to the results that Eades in [13] obtained.

Suppose that $A$ is an $OD(n; a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ on variables $x_1, \ldots, x_k$, and $B$ is an $OD(n; b_1, \ldots, b_l)$ on variables $y_1, \ldots, y_k$, where the two sets of variables are disjoint. Then $(A; B)$ are called an amicable orthogonal design, denoted

$$AOD(n; a_1, \ldots, a_k; b_1, \ldots, b_l).$$
if $AB^t = BA^t$.

Let $M_1$ be an $OD(n; a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ on variables $x_1, \ldots, x_r$, $M_2$ be an $OD(n; b_1, \ldots, b_s)$ on variables $y_1, \ldots, y_s$, and $N$ be an $OD(n; c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ on variables $z_1, \ldots, z_t$, where the three sets of variables are disjoint. Then $(M_1; M_2; N)$ is called a product design of type $(a_1, \ldots, a_r; b_1, \ldots, b_s; c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ and order $n$ if the following conditions hold:

(i) $M_1 * N = M_2 * N = 0$ (is the entrywise multiplication),

(ii) $M_1 + N$ and $M_2 + N$ are orthogonal designs, and

(iii) $M_1M_2^t = M_2M_1^t$.

We denote this product design by $PD(n; a_1, \ldots, a_r; b_1, \ldots, b_s; c_1, \ldots, c_t)$.

Product designs were first introduced by Robinson [43]. They are useful to construct full orthogonal designs with maximum number of variables for some orders and types.

In Theorem 4.5, we show that there does not exist any

$$PD(n; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; n - 3)$$

for all $n > 12$. However, Robinson in [43] showed that for each $n, n \in \{4, 8, 12\}$, there is a $PD(n; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; n - 3)$. Then we extend $PD(n; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; n - 3)$ for the cases $n = 8$ and $n = 12$ to construct new full amicable orthogonal designs with maximum number of variables in some small orders. The methods are slightly similar to [23]. Some of these amicable orthogonal designs are displayed in Appendix.

In Construction 4.14, we show that there exist

$$AOD(16; 2, 2, 2, 10; 2, 2, 2, 10) \quad \text{and} \quad AOD(24; 2, 2, 2, 18; 2, 2, 2, 18),$$
and consequently, we find an infinite class of full amicable orthogonal designs:

$$AOD\left(2^n, 2^{n-3}, 10, 10, 5 \cdot 2^2, \ldots, 5 \cdot 2^{n-4}, 2^{n-3}, 5 \cdot 2^{n-3}\right), \quad n > 4,$$

$$AOD\left(2^n \cdot 3, 2^{n-2}, 18, 18, 9 \cdot 2^2, \ldots, 9 \cdot 2^{n-3}, 2^{n-2}, 9 \cdot 2^{n-2}\right), \quad n > 3.$$  

**Conjecture 1.3** (see [29]). There is a full (non-zero entries) $OD\left(2^{2n+1-1}; a, \ldots, a\right)$ in $2^{n+2}$ variables for each $n \geq 1$.

Only case $n = 1$ of this conjecture is known, i.e, $OD\left(8; 1_8\right)$. In the last section of Chapter 4, using a slightly different method from [29], we show that there exist full amicable orthogonal designs in 16 variables of order $2^9$, and so an $OD\left(2^{10}, 2^{6}_{(10)}\right)$.

The following general construction method is shown in [13, 15].

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose that $r$ and $n$ are positive integers, $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_\ell$ are powers of 2, and there is an orthogonal design of type $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_\ell)$ and order $2^r n$. If $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_u$ are positive integers with sum $2^d(b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_\ell)$ for some $d \geq 0$, then there is an integer $N$ such that for each $a \geq N$, there is an

$$OD\left(2^{a+d+r}n; 2^a s_1, 2^a s_2, \ldots, 2^a s_u\right).$$

In Chapter 5, we prove the above theorem without requiring the existence of an orthogonal design of type $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_\ell)$ and order $2^r n$.

In Proposition 5.2, we show that for any given sequence of positive integers $(b, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$, there exists a full COD of type $\left(2^m \cdot 1_{(b)}; 2^m \cdot 2_{(a_1)}; \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2_{(a_k)}\right)$, where $m = 4k + b + 2$ if $b$ is even, and $m = 4k + b + 1$ if $b$ is odd.

In Theorem 5.7, we prove that for any $\ell$-tuple $(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$ of positive integers,
there is an integer $N = N(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is an
\[
OD\left(2^n(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^n s_1, \ldots, 2^n s_\ell\right).
\]

In Theorem 5.11, we also show that for any $s$-tuple $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s)$ and any $t$-tuple $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)$ of positive integers, there are integers $h, h_1, h_2$ and $N$ such that there exists an
\[
AOD\left(2^n h; 2^{n+h_1} u_1, \ldots, 2^{n+h_1} u_s; 2^{n+h_2} v_1, \ldots, 2^{n+h_2} v_t\right),
\]
for each $n \geq N$.

Robert Craigen introduced and studied signed group Hadamard matrices extensively in [5, 8]. Ivan Livinskyi [38], following Craigen’s lead, studied and provided a better estimate for the asymptotic existence of signed group Hadamard matrices and consequently improved the asymptotic existence of Hadamard matrices.

In Chapter 6, in order to improve these results, we introduce and study signed group orthogonal designs. The main results include a method for finding signed group orthogonal designs for any $k$-tuple of positive integers, and then an application to obtain orthogonal designs from signed group orthogonal designs. Therefore, we show that for any $k$-tuple $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ of positive integers, there is a circulant quasisymmetric signed group orthogonal design of order $4(u_1 + \cdots + u_k)$ and type $(4u_1, \ldots, 4u_k)$ for some signed group $S$ that admits a remrep of degree $2^n$, where
\[
n \leq \frac{3}{13} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(u_i) + 8k + 2 \text{ or } n \leq \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(u_i) + 10k + 2.
\]

Then we use Theorem 6.26 which describes a method to obtain orthogonal designs from signed group orthogonal designs to find some other bounds for the asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs, namely, for any $k$-tuple $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ of positive integers, there is an integer $N$ such that for each $n \geq N$, there is a full OD of type
\[(2^n u_1, \ldots, 2^n u_k), \text{ where } n \leq \frac{3}{13} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(u_i) + 8k + 4 \text{ or } n \leq \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log(u_i) + 10k + 4\]
Chapter 2

Preliminaries

The definitions, theorems and statements of this chapter can be all found in [18, 43, 53].

2.1 Weighing matrices

Definition 2.1. A Hadamard matrix of order $n$ is a square matrix $H$ of order $n$ with entries from $\{-1, 1\}$ such that $HH^t = nI_n$, where $H^t$ is the transpose of $H$, and $I_n$ is the identity matrix of order $n$.

A weighing matrix of weight $k$ and order $n$, denoted $W(n,k)$, is a square matrix $W$ of order $n$ with entries from $\{0, \pm 1\}$ such that $WW^t = kI_n$.

Remark 2.2. If $n = k$, then a $W(n,n)$ is literally a Hadamard matrix.

Proposition 2.3 ([18]). If there exists a $W(n,k)$ for some odd $n$, then $k$ must be an integer square.

Proof. Suppose that $n$ is an odd number and $W$ is a $W(n,k)$. We have $WW^t = kI_n$, therefore $\det(W)^2 = k^n$. Since $n$ is odd, we conclude that $k$ must be an integer square. \qed
The following two lemmas are well known from linear algebra [36].

**Lemma 2.4.** The eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix with real entries are real.

**Proof.** Let $A$ be a symmetric matrix and $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of $A$. Then there is a nonzero vector $x$ such that $Ax = \lambda x$. We have

\[
\bar{\lambda}x^* = (\lambda x)^* = (Ax)^* \\
= x^*A^* \\
= x^*A.
\]

The last equality holds because $A$ is symmetric and has real entries. Therefore, $\bar{\lambda}x^*x = x^*Ax = \lambda x^*x$. Hence $\bar{\lambda}\|x\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2$. Since $x \neq 0$, $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$. Thus, $\lambda$ is real. \qed

**Lemma 2.5.** The eigenvalues of a skew-symmetric matrix with real entries are of the form $\pm ib$, where $b$ is a real number.

**Proof.** Suppose that $B$ is a skew-symmetric matrix with eigenvalue $\lambda$. Then there is a nonzero vector $x$ such that $Bx = \lambda x$. We have

\[
\bar{\lambda}x^* = (\lambda x)^* = (Bx)^* \\
= x^*B^* \\
= -x^*B.
\]

The last equality follows from the fact that $B$ is skew-symmetric with real entries. So, $\bar{\lambda}x^*x = -x^*Bx = -\lambda x^*x$. Since $x \neq 0$, $\lambda + \bar{\lambda} = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda = ib$, for some real number $b$. \qed

**Lemma 2.6 ([18]).** The absolute values of the eigenvalues of a weighing matrix $W(n, k)$ are $\sqrt{k}$. 
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Proof. Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of $W = W(n, k)$. So, $Wx = \lambda x$ for some $x \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\bar{\lambda}x^* = (\lambda x)^* = (Wx)^* = x^* W^t.$$

Multiplying $W$ from the left to the above equality and using $W^t W = kI_n$, one concludes $\bar{\lambda}x^* W = kx^*$ and so $\bar{\lambda}x^* Wx = kx^* x$. Hence, $\lambda \lambda x^* x = kx^* x$. Since $x \neq 0$, $k = |\lambda|^2$. Therefore, $|\lambda| = \sqrt{k}$.

2.2 Orthogonal designs

Definition 2.7. A complex orthogonal design (COD) of order $n$ and type $(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$, denoted $COD(n; s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$, is a matrix $X$ with entries from $\{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_\ell x_\ell\}$, where the $x_j$’s are commuting variables and $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ for each $j$, that satisfies

$$XX^* = \left( \sum_{j=1}^\ell s_j x_j^2 \right) I_n,$$

where $X^*$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $X$ and $I_n$ is the identity matrix of order $n$. A complex orthogonal design in which $\epsilon_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ for all $j$ is called an orthogonal design (OD), denoted $OD(n; s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$. An orthogonal design in which there is no zero entry is called a full OD.

The domain of variables in this work are taken in $\mathbb{R}$, and they are assumed to be commuting.

Example 2.8. It can be seen that the following matrices are $OD(4; 1,1,1,1)$ and $COD(6; 1,5)$, respectively.
Remark 2.9. Equating all variables to 1 in any full OD results in a Hadamard matrix.

Equating all variables to 1 in any OD of order \( n \) results a weighing matrix \( W(n,k) \), where \( k \) is the number of nonzero entries in each row (column) of the weighing matrix.

Definition 2.10. The Hadamard product of two \( m \times n \) matrices \( A = [a_{ij}] \) and \( B = [b_{ij}] \), denoted \( A \ast B \), is an \( m \times n \) matrix computed via entrywise multiplication of \( A \) and \( B \), i.e., \( A \ast B = [a_{ij}b_{ij}] \). \( A \) and \( B \) are called disjoint if \( A \ast B = 0 \). Pairwise disjoint matrices such that their sum has no zero entries are called supplementary.

Proposition 2.11 ([18]). A necessary and sufficient condition that there exists an OD\( (n;\ u_1,\ldots,u_k) \) is that there exists a family \( \{A_1,\ldots,A_k\} \) of pairwise disjoint square matrices of order \( n \) with entries from \( \{0,\pm1\} \) satisfying

(i) \( A_i \) is a \( W(n,u_i) \), \quad \( 1 \leq i \leq k \),

(ii) \( A_iA_j^t = -A_jA_i^t \), \quad \( 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k \).

Definition 2.12 ([18]). A rational family of order \( n \) and type \( (s_1,\ldots,s_k) \), where the \( s_i \)'s are positive rational numbers, is a collection of \( k \) rational matrices of order \( n \), \( A_1,\ldots,A_k \), satisfying

(i) \( A_iA_i^t = s_iI_n \), \quad \( 1 \leq i \leq k \),
(ii) $A_i A_j^t = -A_j A_i^t$, \quad $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$.

**Theorem 2.13** ([18, 40]). The maximum number of variables in an orthogonal design of order $n = 2^a b$, $b$ odd, is $\rho(n) = 8c + 2^d$, where $a = 4c + d$, $0 \leq d < 4$. $\rho(n)$ is called Radon’s number.

**Example 2.14.** The maximum number of variables in orthogonal designs of order 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, and 128 are 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 12, and 16, respectively.

**Definition 2.15.** The Kronecker product of two matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B$ of orders $m \times n$ and $r \times s$, respectively, is denoted by $A \otimes B$, and it is the matrix of order $mr \times ns$ defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}.$$ $$

The direct sum of $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A \oplus B$, and it is the matrix of order $(m + r) \times (n + s)$ which is defined as follows

$$A \oplus B = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where 0 represents a zero matrix of appropriate size.

**Lemma 2.16** ([36]). Suppose that $A, B, C, D$ and $L$ are matrices of orders $m \times n$, $r \times s$, $n \times p$, $s \times t$ and $\alpha \times \beta$, respectively. Then

(i) $$(A + B) \otimes L = A \otimes L + B \otimes L$$ if $(m, n) = (r, s)$,

(ii) $$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$$ of order $mr \times pt$,

(iii) $$(A \otimes B)^t = A^t \otimes B^t,$$
(iv) \((A \oplus B)^t = A^t \oplus B^t\).

2.3 Type 1 and type 2 matrices

**Definition 2.17** ([18]). Suppose that \(G\) is an additive abelian group of order \(n\), with elements ordered \(g_1, \ldots, g_n\). Let \(\psi\) and \(\varphi\) be two functions from \(G\) to a commutative ring. The square matrices \(C = [c_{ij}]\) and \(B = [b_{ij}]\) of order \(n\) are called *type 1* and *type 2* matrices, respectively, if \(c_{ij} = \psi(g_j - g_i)\) and \(b_{ij} = \varphi(g_i + g_j)\).

**Example 2.18.** Consider \(G = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}\) with elements

\[
g_0 = 0, \ g_1 = 1, \ g_2 = 2, \ g_3 = 3, \ g_4 = 4, \ g_5 = 5, \ g_6 = 6.
\]

Let \(\psi\) and \(\varphi\) be the inclusion maps from \(G\) to the commutative ring \(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}\).

If \(c_{ij} = g_j - g_i\) and \(b_{ij} = g_j + g_i\) reduced modulus 7, \(0 \leq i, j \leq 6\), then the matrix \(C = [c_{ij}]\) is a type 1 matrix, and the matrix \(B = [b_{ij}]\) is a type 2 matrix displayed as follows:

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
2 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
4 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 \\
5 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 \\
6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 \\
4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
5 & 5 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
6 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)
\]

**Definition 2.19** ([1]). Suppose that \(q\) is an odd prime power. The *quadratic character*
of $GF(q)$ (the Galois field of order $q$) is defined by

$$
\chi(x) = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\
1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a quadratic residue}, \\
-1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$

It is well known that $\chi$ is a multiplicative function and $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}$ (see [49]). Consider $g_1, \ldots, g_q$ are the ordered elements of $GF(q)$. Then the square matrix $Q = [q_{ij}]$ of order $q$ defined by $q_{ij} = \chi(g_j - g_i)$ is a type 1 matrix, and it is called the Jacobsthal matrix [1].

**Theorem 2.20** ([18]). Suppose $Q$ is a Jacobsthal matrix as in Definition 2.19. Then

(i) $QJ = JQ = 0$,

(ii) $Q^t = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}Q$,

(iii) $QQ^t = qI - J$.

**Proof.** It is well known (see [49]) that half of the non-zero elements of $GF(q)$ are quadratic residues or squares and half of them are quadratic non-residues or non-squares, thus (i) follows. Since

$$q_{ji} = \chi(g_i - g_j) = \chi(-1)\chi(g_j - g_i) = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}q_{ij},$$

one has $Q^t = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}Q$. To see the last part, one observes that, for each $b \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{a \in GF(q)} \chi(a)\chi(a + b) = \sum_{a \in GF(q), a \neq 0} \chi(1 + ba^{-1})$$

$$= \sum_{a \in GF(q), a \neq 1} \chi(a) = \sum_{a \in GF(q)} \chi(a) - \chi(1) = -1.$$
Corollary 2.21 (Paley [18]). Suppose that $e$ is the $1 \times q$ vector of all ones and let

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e \\ (-1)^{(q-1)/2} e^t & Q \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

If $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$, then $W + I_{q+1}$ forms a Hadamard matrix of order $q + 1$, and if $q \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} W + I_{q+1} & W - I_{q+1} \\ W - I_{q+1} & -W - I_{q+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

forms a Hadamard matrix of order $2(q + 1)$.

Example 2.22. Consider $GF(7)$. It can be seen that 1, 2 and 4 are square in $GF(7)$. Replacing these numbers by 1 and the numbers 3, 5, 6 by $-1$ (denoted by $-$) in the matrix $C$, (2.1), one gets the following matrix.

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

From Corollary 2.21, since $7 \equiv 3 \mod 4$, $W + I_8$ gives the following Hadamard matrix of order 8.
Lemma 2.23 ([18]). Suppose that $G$ is an additive abelian group of order $n$ with elements ordered $g_1, \ldots, g_n$. Let $\phi, \psi$ and $\varphi$ be functions from $G$ to some commutative ring $R$. Define $n \times n$ matrices $A = [a_{ij}], C = [c_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ by $a_{ij} = \phi(g_j - g_i)$, $c_{ij} = \psi(g_j - g_i)$ and $b_{ij} = \varphi(g_j + g_i)$, respectively. Then

(i) $B^t = B,$

(ii) $AC = CA,$

(iii) $CB^t = BC^t.$

Proof. Part (i) is trivial because $b_{ij} = \varphi(g_j + g_i) = \varphi(g_i + g_j) = b_{ji}$. To see part (ii) one observes that

$$(AC)_{ij} = \sum_{t \in G} \phi(t - g_i)\psi(g_j - t)$$

$$= \sum_{h \in G} \phi(g_j - h)\psi(h - g_i)$$ (putting $h = g_i + g_j - t$)

$$= \sum_{h \in G} \psi(h - g_i)\phi(g_j - h)$$ (because $R$ is commutative)

$$= (CA)_{ij}.$$
Finally, for part \((iii)\),

\[
(CB^t)_{ij} = \sum_{t \in G} \psi(t - g_i)\varphi(g_j + t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{h \in G} \psi(h - g_j)\varphi(g_i + h)
\]

(putting \(h = g_j + t - g_i\))

\[
= \sum_{h \in G} \varphi(g_i + h)\psi(h - g_j)
\]

(because R is commutative)

\[
= (BC^t)_{ij}.
\]

\[
\text{Definition 2.24.} \text{ Let } A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n). \text{ The square matrix } C = [c_{ij}] \text{ of order } n \text{ is called circulant if } c_{ij} = a_{j-i+1}, \text{ denoted circ}(a_1, \ldots, a_n), \text{ where } j - i \text{ is reduced modulo } n.
\]

The square matrix \(B = [b_{ij}]\) of order \(n\) is called back-circulant if \(b_{ij} = a_{i+j-1}\), denoted backcirc\((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\), where \(i + j - 2\) is reduced modulo \(n\).

\[
\text{Remark 2.25.} \ (i) \text{ Any type } 1 \text{ matrix defined on } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ (with its standard ordering) is circulant because}
\]

\[
c_{ij} = \psi(j - i) = \psi(j - i + 1 - 1) = c_{1,j - i + 1}.
\]

\[
(ii) \text{ Any type } 2 \text{ matrix defined on } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ (with its standard ordering) is back-circulant because}
\]

\[
b_{ij} = \varphi(i + j) = \varphi(i + j - 1 + 1) = b_{1,i + j - 1}.
\]

Using the properties of type 1 and type 2 matrices in Lemma 2.23 combined with Remark 2.25, one has the following.

\[
\text{Corollary 2.26 ([18]). Suppose that } A \text{ and } C \text{ are circulant matrices of order } n \text{ and } B \text{ is a back-circulant matrix of order } n. \text{ Then}
\]
(i) \( B = B^t \),
(ii) \( AC = CA \),
(iii) \( BC^t = CB^t \).

**Definition 2.27.** A set \( \Omega \) of commuting matrices with \( AB^t = B^t A \) for all \( A, B \in \Omega \) is called a set of *near type 1* matrices [23].

**Example 2.28.** The set of order one matrices in a single variable, and the set of order two circulant or negacirculant matrices in two variables, \[
\begin{bmatrix}
x & y \\
-\overline{y} & \overline{x}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
are sets of near type 1 matrices.

## 2.4 Autocorrelation functions

**Definition 2.29** ([18]). The *non-periodic autocorrelation function* of a \((0, \pm 1, \pm i)\)-sequence \( A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) is defined by

\[
N_A(j) := \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} a_{i+j} \overline{a_i} & \text{if } j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \\
0 & j \geq n
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \overline{a_i} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( a_i \).

A set \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_\ell\} \) of \((0, \pm 1, \pm i)\)-sequences (not necessarily of the same length) is said to have *zero autocorrelation* with weight \( w \) if

\[
N_{A_1}(j) + N_{A_2}(j) + \cdots + N_{A_\ell}(j)
\]

is zero for all \( j > 0 \), and is \( w \) for \( j = 0 \). Sequences having zero autocorrelation are called *complementary*. 
Example 2.30. Let \( A = (1, 1, -) \) and \( B = (1, i, 1) \). Then \( N_A(0) = N_B(0) = 3 \), \( N_A(1) = N_B(1) = 0 \), \( N_A(2) = -1 \) and \( N_B(2) = 1 \). Thus, \( A \) and \( B \) are complementary with weight 6.

Definition 2.31 ([18]). For \( j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \), the periodic autocorrelation function of a \((0, \pm 1, \pm i)\)-sequence \( A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) is defined by

\[
P_A(j) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i+j} \overline{a}_i,
\]

where \( i+j-1 \) is reduced modulo \( n \).

Lemma 2.32 ([18]). Let \( A = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \) be a \((0, \pm 1, \pm i)\)-sequence. Then for all \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \),

\[
P_A(j) = N_A(j) + N_{A_R}(n-j),
\]

where \( A_R = (a_n, \ldots, a_2, a_1) \), the reverse of sequence \( A \).

Remark 2.33. Lemma 2.32 implies that \((0, \pm 1, \pm i)\)-sequences of the same length with zero autocorrelation have also zero periodic autocorrelation. But the reverse is not true. As an example, the sequences \( A = (1, i) \) and \( B = (1, i) \) have zero periodic autocorrelation; however, they do not have zero autocorrelation as

\[
N_A(1) + N_B(1) = i + i = 2i \neq 0.
\]

Definition 2.34 ([18]). Let \( A = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) be a sequence of commuting variables. Then the non-periodic autocorrelation function of \( A \) is defined by

\[
N_A(j) := \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{n-j} x_{i+j} x_i & \text{if } j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \\
0 & \text{if } j \geq n
\end{cases}
\]
A set \( \{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_\ell\} \) of sequences involving commuting variables (not necessarily of the same length) is said to have \textit{zero autocorrelation} with weight \( w \) if

\[
N_{A_1}(j) + N_{A_2}(j) + \cdots + N_{A_\ell}(j)
\]

is zero for all \( j > 0 \), and is \( w \) for \( j = 0 \). Sequences involving commuting variables that have zero autocorrelation are also called \textit{complementary}.

\textbf{Definition 2.35.} Two complementary \((\pm 1)\)-sequences are called \textit{Golay sequences}. Two complementary \((\pm x, \pm y)\)-sequences of length \( \ell \) are called a \textit{Golay pair} of length \( \ell \) in two variables \( x \) and \( y \).

\textbf{Example 2.36.} The sequences \( A_1 = (x, y) \) and \( B_1 = (x, -y) \) form a Golay pair of length 2 in two variables \( x \) and \( y \). We will use the Golay pair \((A_1; B_1)\) in Chapter 5, Lemma 5.1.

The sequences \( A_2 = (x, y) \) and \( B_2 = (y, -x) \) form a Golay pair of length 2 in two variables \( x \) and \( y \). We will use the Golay pair \((A_2; B_2)\) in Chapter 6, Lemma 6.6.

\textbf{Definition 2.37.} A square matrix \( C \) is called \textit{Hermitian} if \( C = C^* \), where \( C^* \) is the conjugate transpose of \( C \).

\section{2.5 Amicable and anti-amicable matrices}

\textbf{Definition 2.38 ([18])}. Two real matrices \( A \) and \( B \) are called \textit{amicable} if \( AB^t = BA^t \). They are called \textit{anti-amicable} if \( AB^t = -BA^t \).

Two complex matrices \( C \) and \( D \) are called \textit{complex amicable} if \( CD^* = DC^* \). They are called \textit{complex anti-amicable} if \( CD^* = -DC^* \).

Let \( M \) be an \( OD(n; c_1, \ldots, c_k) \) on variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \), and \( N \) be an \( OD(n; d_1, \ldots, d_m) \) on variables \( y_1, \ldots, y_m \), where the two sets of variables are disjoint. Then \((M; N)\) is
called an amicable orthogonal design, denoted

\[ AOD(n; c_1, \ldots, c_k; d_1, \ldots, d_m), \]

if \( MN^t = NM^t \). \((M; N)\) is called anti-amicable if \( MN^t = -NM^t \).

Let \( X \) be a \( COD(n; c_1, \ldots, c_k) \) on variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \), and \( Y \) be a \( COD(n; d_1, \ldots, d_m) \) on variables \( y_1, \ldots, y_m \), where the two sets of variables are disjoint. Then \((X; Y)\) is called a complex amicable orthogonal design, denoted

\[ ACOD(n; c_1, \ldots, c_k; d_1, \ldots, d_m), \]

if \( XY^* = YX^* \).

**Example 2.39.** Let \( P := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Q := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, R := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \) and \( I \) be the identity matrix of order 2. Then

\[ PQ^t = -QP^t, \quad RI^t = -IR^t, \quad PR^t = RP^t, \quad PI^t = IP^t, \quad QI^t = IQ^t, \quad RQ^t = QR^t. \]

Throughout this work \( P, Q, R \) and \( I \) are these 2 by 2 matrices.

**Example 2.40.** It can be seen that the matrices \( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{bmatrix} \) form an \( AOD(2; 1,1; 1,1) \), and the following matrices form an \( AOD(4; 1,1,2; 1,1,2) \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  a & b & c & c \\
  -b & a & c & -c \\
  c & c & -a & -b \\
  c & -c & b & -a \\
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
  e & f & g & g \\
  f & -e & g & -g \\
  -g & -g & f & e \\
  -g & g & e & -f \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Theorem 2.41 (W. Wolfe [53]). If \((A; B)\) is an amicable orthogonal design in order \(n = 2^a b\), \(b\) odd, then the total number of variables in \(A\) and \(B\) is less than or equal to \(2a + 2\), and this bound is achieved for all values of \(n\).

Theorem 2.42 (see [18]). For each positive integer \(n\), there is a set

\[
A = \left\{ I_n, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{\rho(n)-1} \right\}
\]

of pairwise disjoint anti-amicable signed permutation matrices of order \(n\), and equivalently there is an \(OD(n; 1(\rho(n)))\), where \(\rho(n)\) is Radon’s number.

Theorem 2.43 (W. Wolfe [53]). Given an integer \(n = 2^s d\), where \(d\) is odd and \(s \geq 1\), there exist sets \(A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_{s+1}\}\) and \(B = \{B_1, \ldots, B_{s+1}\}\) of signed permutation matrices of order \(n\) such that

(i) \(A\) consists of pairwise anti-amicable, mutually disjoint matrices,

(ii) \(B\) consists of pairwise anti-amicable, mutually disjoint matrices,

(iii) for each \(i\) and \(j\), \(A_i\) and \(B_j\) are amicable.

Proof. For each \(k\), \(2 \leq k \leq s + 1\), let

\[
A_1 = \left( \otimes_{i=1}^s I \right) \otimes I_d, \quad A_k = \left( \otimes_{i=1}^{k-2} I \right) \otimes R \otimes \left( \otimes_{i=k}^s P \right) \otimes I_d,
\]

and

\[
B_1 = \left( \otimes_{i=1}^s P \right) \otimes I_d, \quad B_k = \left( \otimes_{i=1}^{k-2} I \right) \otimes Q \otimes \left( \otimes_{i=k}^s P \right) \otimes I_d,
\]

where \(P, Q, R, I\) are the same 2 by 2 matrices as in Example 2.39, and \(I_d\) is the identity matrix of order \(d\). Then the matrices \(A_i\) and \(B_i\) \((1 \leq i \leq s + 1)\) satisfy the three properties \((i)\), \((ii)\) and \((iii)\). \(\square\)
2.6 Product designs

**Definition 2.44** (P. Robinson [43]). Let $M_1$ be an $OD(n; a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ on variables $x_1, \ldots, x_r$, $M_2$ be an $OD(n; b_1, \ldots, b_s)$ on variables $y_1, \ldots, y_s$, and $N$ be an $OD(n; c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ on variables $z_1, \ldots, z_t$, where the three sets of variables are disjoint. Then $(M_1; M_2; N)$ is called a product design of type $(a_1, \ldots, a_r; b_1, \ldots, b_s; c_1, \ldots, c_t)$ and order $n$ if the following conditions hold:

(i) $M_1 \ast N = M_2 \ast N = 0$,

(ii) $M_1 + N$ and $M_2 + N$ are orthogonal designs, and

(iii) $M_1 M_2^t = M_2 M_1^t$.

We denote this product design by $PD(n; a_1, \ldots, a_r; b_1, \ldots, b_s; c_1, \ldots, c_t)$.

**Example 2.45.** It can be verified that $(M_1; M_2; N)$ is a $PD(4; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1)$, where

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & c & d \\ -b & 0 & d & -c \\ -c & -d & 0 & b \\ -d & c & -b & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e & f & g \\ -e & 0 & -g & f \\ -f & g & 0 & -e \\ -g & -f & e & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix}.$$
(i) $OD(mn; \, va_1, \ldots, va_r, wb_1, \ldots, wb_s, uc_1, \ldots, uc_t),$

(ii) $OD(mn; \, va_1, \ldots, va_r, wb_1, \ldots, wb_s, u_1 c, \ldots, u_j c),$

(iii) $OD(mn; \, va_1, \ldots, va_r, w_1 b, \ldots, w_k b, uc_1, \ldots, uc_t),$

(iv) $OD(mn; \, va_1, \ldots, va_r, w_1 b, \ldots, w_k b, u_1 c, \ldots, u_j c).$
Chapter 3

Asymptotic existence of weighing matrices

Peter Eades in his Ph.D. thesis showed some existence results for weighing matrices. He showed that when the order of orthogonal designs and weighing matrices are much larger than the number of nonzero entries in each row, the necessary conditions for existence of orthogonal designs and weighing matrices are also sufficient. In this chapter, we show some non-existence results on weighing matrices and some asymptotic results for existence of weighing matrices. Our main references in this chapter are [13] and [18].

3.1 Non-existence results for weighing matrices

Theorem 3.1 ([5]). There does not exist any symmetric weighing matrix with zero diagonal of odd order.

Proof. Suppose that \( W = W(n, k) \), \( n \) odd, is a symmetric weighing matrix with zero diagonal. From Linear Algebra, \( \text{tr}(W) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \lambda_t \), where \( \lambda_t \)'s are eigenvalues of \( W \). By
Lemma 2.4 and 2.6, since $\lambda_t = \pm \sqrt{k}$,

$$\text{tr}(W) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \lambda_t = c\sqrt{k}.$$ 

Since $n$ is odd, $c$ must be odd and therefore nonzero, but, by assumption, $\text{tr}(W) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2 ([5]). There is no skew-symmetric weighing matrix of odd order.

Proof. Assume that $W = W(n, k)$ is a skew-symmetric weighing matrix of odd order. From Lemma 2.5 and 2.6, eigenvalues of $W$ are in form $\pm i\sqrt{k}$. Therefore,

$$\text{tr}(W) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \lambda_t = ci\sqrt{k}.$$ 

Since $n$ is odd, $c$ must be odd and so nonzero, but since $W$ is skew-symmetric, $\text{tr}(W) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we show that if $n$ is any odd number and $k$ cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares, then there is no skew-symmetric weighing matrix $W(4n, k)$. The following well known results, due to Gauss, are taken from [44].

Theorem 3.3 ([44]). A positive integer can be written as the sum of three integer squares if and only if it is not of the form $4^\ell(8k + 7)$, where $\ell, k \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.4 ([44]). A positive integer is the sum of three rational squares if and only if it is the sum of three integer squares.

Proof. Suppose that a positive integer $n$ is the sum of three rational squares. Reducing the three rational numbers to the same denominator, one may write

$$m^2 n = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2,$$
where $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are integers. Suppose that $n$ cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares. From Theorem 3.3, there exist nonnegative integers $k, \ell$ such that $n = 4^\ell(8k + 7)$. One may write $m$ as $2^r(2s + 1)$, for some nonnegative integers $r, s$. Thus, $m^2 = 4^r(4(s^2 + s) + 1) = 4^r(8b + 1)$, where $b = \frac{s^2 + s}{2}$ is a nonnegative integer, and so

$$m^2 n = 4^{r+\ell}(8k + 7)(8b + 1) = 4^{r+\ell}(8c + 7),$$

where $c = 8kb + k + 7b$. This is a contradiction because by Theorem 3.3, $m^2 n$ cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares, whereas by assumption $m^2 n = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2$. Therefore, the result follows.

\textbf{Theorem 3.5} (D. Shapiro [45]). \textit{There is a rational family in order $n = 2^mt$, $t$ odd, of type $(s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ if and only if there is a rational family of the same type in order $2^m$.}

\textbf{Lemma 3.6} (Geramita-Wallis [18]). A necessary and sufficient condition that there be a rational family of type $[1, k]$ in order 4 is that $k$ be a sum of three rational squares.

\textit{Proof.} Suppose that $\{A, B\}$ is a rational family of type $[1, k]$ in order 4. Then $\{I = A^t A, \ D = A^t B\}$ is also a rational family of the same type and order. Thus $D = -D^t$ and $DD^t = kI$. Since $D$ is skew-symmetric, the diagonal of $D$ is zero, so $k$ is a sum of three rational squares.

Now let $k = a^2 + b^2 + c^2$, where $a, b$ and $c$ are rational numbers. If we let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & -c & b \\ -b & c & 0 & -a \\ -c & -b & a & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\{I, D\}$ is a rational family of type $[1, k]$ and order 4.\qed
We use Lemmas 3.6 and 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 to prove the following nonexistence result.

**Theorem 3.7.** Suppose that positive integer \( k \) cannot be written as the sum of three integer squares. Then there does not exist a skew-symmetric \( W(4n,k) \), for any odd number \( n \).

*Proof.* If there is a skew-symmetric \( W = W(4n,k) \) for some odd number \( n \), then \( \{I_{4n}, W\} \) is a rational family of type \([1,k]\) and order \( 4n \). Thus, by Theorem 3.5, there is a rational family of type \([1,k]\) and order 4. Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 imply that \( k \) must be the sum of three integer squares. \( \square \)

### 3.2 Asymptotic existence of weighing matrices

The following lemma, due to Sylvester, is known [46].

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( x \) and \( y \) be two relatively prime positive integers. Then every integer \( N \geq xy \) can be written in the form \( ax + by \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are nonnegative integers.

*Proof.* Let \( N \) be an integer greater than or equal to \( xy \). Since \( x \) and \( y \) are relatively prime, there are integers \( c \) and \( d \) such that \( cx + dy = N \) (see [44]). So,

\[
(c + jy)x + (d - jx)y = N,
\]

where \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \). One can choose \( j \) such that \( 0 \leq c + jy \leq y - 1 \). For such \( j \), we let \( a = c + jy \) and \( b = d - jx \). The condition \( N \geq xy \) implies that \( b \) must be positive. \( \square \)

The following lemma shows how to construct orthogonal designs of higher orders by using two orthogonal designs of the same types but different orders.
Lemma 3.9 ([18]). Suppose that there are OD\((n_1; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\) and OD\((n_2; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\). Let \(h = \gcd(n_1, n_2)\). Then there is an integer \(N\) such that for each \(t \geq N\), there is an OD\((ht; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\).

Proof. Let \(x = \frac{n_1}{h}\) and \(y = \frac{n_2}{h}\). Then \(x\) and \(y\) are relatively prime. Let \(N = xy\), and \(t\) be a positive integer \(\geq N\). By Lemma 3.8, there are nonnegative integers \(a\) and \(b\) such that \(t = ax + by\). Since there exist OD\((n_1; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\) and OD\((n_2; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\), there are families \(\{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}\) of order \(n_1\) and \(\{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}\) of order \(n_2\) satisfying the conditions in Proposition 2.11. We define the family

\[
\{(I_a \otimes A_1 \oplus I_b \otimes B_1), \ldots, (I_a \otimes A_m \oplus I_b \otimes B_m)\}
\]

of order \(an_1 + bn_2 = ht\). It can be seen that this family satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.11, therefore it makes an OD\((ht; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\).

\[\square\]

Corollary 3.10. If the first two OD’s in Lemma 3.9 are symmetric, then there is an integer \(N\) such that for all \(t \geq N\), there is a symmetric OD\((ht; u_1, \ldots, u_m)\).

Proof. Same argument as proof of Lemma 3.9. Note that

\[
((A \otimes B) \oplus (C \otimes D))^t = (A^t \otimes B^t) \oplus (C^t \otimes D^t).
\]

\[\square\]

Theorem 3.11 (Seberry-Whiteman [51]). Let \(q\) be a prime power. Then there is a circulant \(W(q^2 + q + 1, q^2)\).

Corollary 3.12 ([13, 18]). Suppose that \(q\) is a prime power and \(c\) is any positive integer. Then there is a circulant \(W(c(q^2 + q + 1), q^2)\).
Proof. Let \( c \) be a fixed positive integer. From Theorem 3.11, we know that there exists a circulant \( W( q^2 + q + 1, q^2 ) \). Suppose that the first row of this matrix is \( (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{q^2+q+1}) \). Let

\[
\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{q^2+q+1} a_i x^i.
\]

Thus, \( \phi(\xi)\phi(\xi^{-1}) = q^2 \), where \( \xi \) is a primitive root of unity and \( \xi^{q^2+q+1} = 1 \). For \( 1 \leq j \leq c(q^2 + q + 1) \) define

\[
b_j := \begin{cases} 
    a_{\lfloor \frac{j}{c} \rfloor} & j \equiv 1 \pmod{c} \\
    0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases},
\]

where \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) is the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( x \).

We show that if \( W = \text{circ}(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{c(q^2+q+1)}) \), then \( W \) is a \( W(c(q^2 + q + 1), q^2) \).

To see this, let

\[
\psi(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_j y^j.
\]

So,

\[
\psi(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{q^2+q+1} a_i y^{c(i-1)+1} = y^{1-c} \sum_{i=1}^{q^2+q+1} a_i y^{ci}.
\]

Since \( \phi(\xi)\phi(\xi^{-1}) = q^2 \), for all \( \xi \) such that \( \xi^{q^2+q+1} = 1 \), \( \psi(\xi)\psi(\xi^{-1}) = q^2 \), for all \( \xi \) such that \( \xi^{q^2+q+1} = 1 \). Applying the finite Parseval relation

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_i b_{i+r} = \frac{1}{c(q^2 + q + 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} |\psi(\xi^j)|^2 \xi^{jr},
\]

where \( i + r - 1 \) is reduced modulo \( c(q^2 + q + 1) \), for \( r = 0 \) gives

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_i^2 = \frac{1}{c(q^2 + q + 1)} \left( c(q^2 + q + 1)q^2 \right) = q^2,
\]
and for $1 \leq r \leq c(q^2 + q + 1) - 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{c(q^2+q+1)} b_i b_{i+r} = 0$. Therefore, $W$ is a circulant $W(c(q^2 + q + 1), q^2)$.

The next lemma shows how to make a symmetric orthogonal design to be used for Theorem 3.18.

**Lemma 3.13.** Let $k$ be a positive integer. Then there exists a symmetric OD$(2^k; 1(k))$.

**Proof.** Define $A_1 = \otimes_{m=1}^{k} P$ and for $2 \leq n \leq k$, $A_n = \otimes_{m=1}^{n-2} I \otimes Q \otimes_{m=n}^{k} P$, where $P$ and $Q$ are the same matrices as in Example 2.39. It can be directly verified that the family $\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}$ of order $2^k$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.11, and therefore it makes a symmetric OD$(2^k; 1(k))$. Note that $P, Q$ and $I$ are symmetric.

**Theorem 3.14** (P. J. Robinson [43]). All OD$(2^t; 1, 1, a, b, c)$ exist, where $a + b + c = 2^t - 2$ and $t \geq 3$.

We prove the following well known lemma by giving a proof which is different from the proof in [18].

**Lemma 3.15.** For any sequence $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$ of positive integers, there is a positive integer $d$ such that there is a skew-symmetric OD$(2^d; k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$.

**Proof.** Let $t_1$ and $t_2$ be the smallest positive integers such that $1 + k_1 + k_2 \leq 2^{t_1}$ and $1 + k_3 + k_4 \leq 2^{t_2}$. By Theorem 3.14, there are $A = OD(2^{t_1}; 1, k_1, k_2)$ and $B = OD(2^{t_2}; 1, k_3, k_4)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\{I_{2^1}, A_1, A_2\}$ and $\{I_{2^2}, B_1, B_2\}$ are two families corresponding to $A$ and $B$ satisfying the conditions of Proposition 2.11. It can be directly verified that the family

$$\{I_{2^{t_2}} \otimes A_1 \otimes P, I_{2^{t_2}} \otimes A_2 \otimes P, B_1 \otimes I_{2^{t_1}} \otimes Q, B_2 \otimes I_{2^{t_1}} \otimes Q\}$$

of four skew-symmetric matrices satisfies all conditions of Proposition 2.11, and so it makes a skew-symmetric OD$(2^{t_1+t_2+1}; k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$.
Corollary 3.16 ([18]). Given any sequence \((k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)\) of positive integers, there exists a positive integer \(d\) such that there is an \(OD(2^d, 1, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)\).

The following theorem was first proved by Geramita and Wallis [18].

Theorem 3.17 (Geramita and Wallis [18]). Suppose that \(k\) is a square. Then there is an integer \(N = N(k)\) such that for each \(n \geq N\), there is a \(W(n, k)\).

We use a slightly different method to the proof of Theorem 3.17 to give a proof of the following improved result.

Theorem 3.18. Suppose that \(k\) is a square. Then there is an integer \(N = N(k)\) such that for each \(n \geq N\), there is a symmetric \(W(n, k)\).

Proof. Assume that \(k = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i^2\), where \(q_i\) is either 1 or a prime power. By Theorem 3.11, for each \(i\) there exists a circulant \(W_i = W(q_i^2 + q_i + 1, q_i^2)\). Let

\[
W = \otimes_{i=1}^{m} W_i R.
\]

It can be seen that \(W\) is a symmetric \(W\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (q_i^2 + q_i + 1), \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i^2\right)\).

Thus, there is an odd number \(t = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (q_i^2 + q_i + 1)\) such that there is a symmetric \(W(t, k)\). Moreover, from Lemma 3.13, there exists a symmetric \(OD(2^k, 1(k))\) and so a symmetric \(W(2^k, k)\). Now since \(t\) is odd, \(gcd(2^k, t) = 1\). Corollary 3.10 implies that there is a positive integer \(N = N(k)\) such that for each \(n \geq N\), there exists a symmetric \(W(n, k)\).

We prove the following theorem by a slightly different method to the proof that first was given by Eades [13].

Theorem 3.19. Suppose that \(k = k_1^2 + k_2^2\), where \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) are two nonzero integers. Then there is an integer \(N = N(k)\) such that for each \(n \geq N\), there is an \(OD(2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)\).
Proof. For \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( k_j^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{ij}^2 \), where \( q_{ij} \) is either 1 or a prime power. For each \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \), let \( b_i = \text{lcm}\{q_{i1}^2 + q_{i1} + 1, q_{i2}^2 + q_{i2} + 1\} \). From Corollary 3.12, for each \( j, j = 1, 2, \) and each \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \), there exists a circulant \( W_{ij} = W(b_i, q_{ij}^2) \). It can be seen that the following \( 2q \times 2q \) matrix is an OD\((2q; k_1^2, k_2^2)\),

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x \otimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i1} R_i & y \otimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i2} \\
y \otimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i2} & -x \otimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i1} R_i
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( R_i \) is the back diagonal matrix of order \( b_i \), and \( q = \prod_{i=1}^{m} b_i \) is an odd number.

From Theorem 3.14, one can choose the smallest positive integer \( k \) such that there is an OD\((2^k; k_1^2, k_2^2)\). Since \( \gcd(2q, 2^k) = 2 \), Lemma 3.9 implies that there is an integer \( N = N(k) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is an OD\((2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)\).

Using Theorem 3.19, we prove the following two corollaries given by Eades [13].

**Corollary 3.20.** Suppose that \( k \) is the sum of two nonzero integer squares. Then there is an integer \( N = N(k) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is a \( W(2n, k) \).

*Proof.* Let \( k = k_1^2 + k_2^2 \), where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are integers. From Theorem 3.19, there is an integer \( N = N(k) \) such that for any \( n \geq N \), there is an OD\((2n; k_1^2, k_2^2)\), and so a \( W(2n, k) \).

**Corollary 3.21.** Suppose that \( d \) is an integer square. Then there exists an integer \( N = N(d) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is a skew-symmetric \( W(2n, d) \).

*Proof.* Suppose that \( d = a^2 \). Let \( k_1 = 1 \) and \( k_2 = a \). By Theorem 3.19, there exists an integer \( N = N(d) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is an OD\((2n; 1, d)\), and so a skew-symmetric \( W(2n, d) \).

We use a different method to show Theorem 3.22 and consequently Corollaries 3.24, 3.25 and 3.26 first proven by Eades [13].
**Theorem 3.22.** Suppose that \( k = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 \), where \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \) and \( k_4 \) are nonzero integers. Then there is an integer \( N = N(k) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is an \( OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( k = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 \) and \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \) and \( k_4 \) are nonzero integers. Let \( k_j^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_{ij}^2 \), where \( q_{ij} \) is either 1 or a prime power. For each \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \), let \( b_i = \text{lcm}\{q_{ij}^2 + q_{ij} + 1; \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \). From Corollary 3.12, for each \( j, 1 \leq j \leq 4 \), and each \( i, 1 \leq i \leq m \), there exists a circulant \( W_{ij} = W(b_i, q_{ij}^2) \). Putting

\[
A = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i1} R_i, \quad B = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i2}, \quad C = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i3}, \quad D = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} W_{i4},
\]

in the following array (Goethals-Seidel [19]) gives an \( OD(4q; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  xA & yB & zC & uD \\
  -yB & xA & uD^t & -zC^t \\
  -zC & -uD^t & xA & yB^t \\
  -uD & zC^t & -yB^t & xA
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( q = \prod_{i=1}^{m} b_i \) which is an odd number.

By Lemma 3.15, there is an \( OD(2d; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \) for some suitable integer \( d \geq 2 \). Since for \( d \geq 2 \), \( \gcd(4q, 2d) = 4 \), Lemma 3.9 implies that there is an integer \( N = N(k) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is an \( OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \).

**Remark 3.23.** If some of the \( k_i \)'s are zero in Theorem 3.22, then consider the circulant zero matrices.

**Corollary 3.24.** Suppose that \( d \) is any positive integer. Then there is an integer \( N = N(d) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is a \( W(4n, d) \).
Proof. It is a well known theorem of Lagrange [25] that every positive integer can be written in the sum of four integer squares. Let \( d = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 \). From Theorem 3.22, there is an integer \( N = N(k) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is an \( OD(4n; k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \), and therefore a \( W(4n, d) \). 

Corollary 3.25. Suppose that \( d \) is the sum of three integer squares. Then there exists an integer \( N = N(d) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is a skew-symmetric \( W(4n, d) \).

Proof. Consider \( d = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \), for some integers \( a, b \) and \( c \). Substituting \( k_1 = a, k_2 = b, k_3 = c \) and \( k_4 = 1 \) in Theorem 3.22 gives the result. Note that the existence of an \( OD(n; 1, h) \) is equivalent to existence of a skew-symmetric \( W(n, h) \).

Corollary 3.26. Suppose that \( d \) is any positive integer. Then there exists an integer \( N = N(d) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \), there is a skew-symmetric \( W(8n, d) \).

Proof. By Lagrange’s theorem [49], one can write \( d = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 \), where \( k_i \)'s are nonnegative integers. Let \( A, B, C \) and \( D \) be the same matrices as in Theorem 3.22. It can be seen that the following matrix gives an \( OD(8q; 1, k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \), where \( q \) is obtained as in Theorem 3.22, and is an odd number:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  xA & yB & zC & uD & wI_q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -yB & xA & uD^t & -zC^t & 0 & wI_q & 0 & 0 \\
  -zC & -uD^t & xA & yB^t & 0 & 0 & wI_q & 0 \\
  -uD & zC^t & -yB^t & xA & 0 & 0 & 0 & wI_q \\
  wI_q & 0 & 0 & 0 & -xA & yB^t & zC^t & uD^t \\
  0 & wI_q & 0 & 0 & -yB^t & -xA & uD & -zC \\
  0 & 0 & wI_q & 0 & -zC^t & -uD & -xA & yB \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & wI_q & -uD^t & zC & -yB & -xA
\end{bmatrix}
\]

From Corollary 3.16, there is an \( OD(2^4; 1, k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2) \) for some suitable integer
$d \geq 3$. Since for $d \geq 3$, $\gcd(8q, 2^d) = 8$, Lemma 3.9 implies that there is an integer $N = N(d)$ such that for any $n \geq N$, there is an $OD(8n; 1, k_1^2, k_2^2, k_3^2, k_4^2)$, and so a skew-symmetric $W(8n, d)$.

Example 3.27. Suppose that $k = 92$. Let $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 4$, $k_3 = 6$ and $k_4 = 6$ in Theorem 3.22. Also, let $q_{11} = 2$, $q_{21} = 1$, $q_{12} = 4$, $q_{22} = 1$, $q_{13} = 2$, $q_{23} = 3$, $q_{14} = 2$ and $q_{24} = 3$. Then $b_1 = \text{LCM}\{7, 21, 7, 7\} = 21$, and $b_2 = \text{LCM}\{3, 3, 13, 13\} = 39$.

By Theorem 3.22, there is an $OD(4 \cdot 21 \cdot 39; 2^2, 4^2, 6^2, 6^2)$. From Lemma 3.15, there is an $OD(2^{13}; 2^2, 4^2, 6^2, 6^2)$. Thus, $N(92) \leq 2^{13} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$, and so for each $n \geq N(92)$, there are a $W(4n, 92)$ and a skew-symmetric $W(8n, 92)$. 
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Some notes on amicable orthogonal designs

4.1 A non-existence result for product designs

Peter J. Robinson [43] showed that there exist product designs $PD(4; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1)$, $PD(8; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; 5)$ and $PD(12; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; 9)$. In this section, we will show that there does not exist any $PD(n; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; n - 3)$ for all $n > 12$. In doing so, we first mention the following well known theorems.

Theorem 4.1 (see [49]). Suppose that $a$, $a'$, $b$ and $c$ are nonzero $p$-adic numbers and $p$ is a prime number. Define $(a, b)_p$, the $p$-adic Hilbert symbol, to be $1$ if there are $p$-adic numbers $x$ and $y$ such that $ax^2 + by^2 = 1$, and $-1$ otherwise. Then

(i) $(a, b)_p = (b, a)_p$, $(a, c^2)_p = 1,$

(ii) $(a, -a)_p = 1$, $(a, 1 - a)_p = 1,$

(iii) $(aa', b)_p = (a, b)_p(a', b)_p,$

and if $p \neq 2$, then

(iv) $(r, s)_p = 1$ if $r$ and $s$ are relatively prime to $p,$

and
(v) \((r,p)_p = (r/p)\), the Legendre symbol, if \(r\) and \(p\) are relatively prime,

(vi) \((p,p)_p = (-1/p)\),

where \(r\) and \(s\) are positive integers.

**Theorem 4.2** (Shapiro [18, 45]). There is a rational family of type \((s_1, \ldots, s_9)\) in order 16 if and only if \(S_p(s_1, \ldots, s_9) := \prod_{i<j}(s_i, s_j)_p = 1\) for every prime \(p\).

**Theorem 4.3** (Robinson [42]). There does not exist any \(OD(n; 1(5), n - 5)\) for \(n > 40\).

**Theorem 4.4** (Kharaghani and Tayfeh-Rezaie [30]). There is a full \(OD(32; 1(5), u_1, \ldots, u_k)\) if and only if \((u_1, \ldots, u_k) = (9,9,9)\) or \((9,18)\) or \((12,15)\) or \((27)\).

**Theorem 4.5.** There does not exist any \(PD(n; 1,1,1; 1,1,1; n-3)\) for all \(n > 12\).

**Proof.** If there exists a \(PD(n; 1,1,1; 1,1,1; n-3)\) for some \(n > 20\), then by Theorem 2.46 and \(AOD(2; 1, 1, 1, 1)\), there is an \(OD(2n; 1(6), 2n-6)\) which contradicts Theorem 4.3. Clearly, there are no \(PD(n; 1,1,1; 1,1,1; n-3)\) for \(n = 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19\).

If \(n = 16\), then there is an \(OD(32; 1(6), 26)\) which is impossible by Theorem 4.4. Now suppose that there is a \(PD(20; 1,1,1; 1,1,1; 17)\). Using Theorem 2.46 and \(AOD(4; 1,1,2; 1,1,2)\), there is an \(OD(80; 1,1,1,3,3,3,17,17,34)\). From Theorem 3.5, there is a rational family in order 16 and type \((1,1,1,3,3,3,17,17,34)\). By Theorem 4.1, \(S_{17}(1,1,1,3,3,3,17,17,34) = -1\). This contradicts Theorem 4.2. \(\square\)

### 4.2 Some full amicable orthogonal designs

We apply techniques similar to those used in [18, 23] to obtain some classes of full amicable orthogonal designs.
Construction 4.6. Suppose that $A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E, F, G$ are square matrices of order $n$. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
M_1 &= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & D & B & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D & 0 & -C & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
B & -C & 0 & D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C & B & -D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D & B & C \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & 0 & -C & B \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & -C & 0 & -D \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C & B & D & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \\
M_2 &= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & G & E & F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-G & 0 & F & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
E & F & 0 & -G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
F & -E & G & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & F & G & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & E & 0 & G & -F \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & F & G & 0 & E \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G & -F & -E & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]
\[ N_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_i & -A_i & A_i & A_i \\ 0 & A_i & 0 & 0 & A_i & A_i & -A_i & -A_i \\ 0 & 0 & -A_i & 0 & -A_i & -A_i & A_i & A_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -A_i & -A_i & A_i & A_i & A_i \\ -A_i & -A_i & -A_i & A_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_i & -A_i & -A_i & A_i & 0 & A_i & 0 & 0 \\ A_i & A_i & -A_i & -A_i & 0 & 0 & -A_i & 0 \\ A_i & -A_i & A_i & -A_i & 0 & 0 & 0 & -A_i \end{bmatrix}, \]

for \( i = 1, 2 \). Now suppose that matrices \( A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E, F \) and \( G \) which are pairwise amicable (not necessary orthogonal designs), and satisfy the following properties

(i) \( 5A_1A_1^t + BB^t + CC^t + DD^t = kI_n \),

(ii) \( 5A_2A_2^t + EE^t + FF^t + GG^t = sI_n \).

Then the following matrices may be used to construct a disjoint amicable orthogonal design of order \( 16n \):

\[ U = N_1 \otimes I + M_1 \otimes Q, \quad V = N_2 \otimes P + M_2 \otimes R^t, \quad (4.1) \]

where \( P, Q, R \) and \( I \) are \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices described in Example 2.39.

To see this, one observes that \( N_1N_2^t = N_2N_1^t, \) \( M_1M_2^t = M_2M_1^t \) and for \( i, j \in \{1, 2\} \), \( M_jN_i^t = -N_iM_j^t \). Also, matrices \( I \) and \( Q \) are disjoint with matrices \( P \) and \( R \), while matrices \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are disjoint with matrices \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \).

Assume that the matrices \( A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E, F \) and \( G \) are full (no zero entries) pairwise amicable, and \( H \) is a Hadamard matrix of order 2. Then the following matrices may be used to construct a full amicable orthogonal design of order \( 16n \):

\[ U_H = N_1 \otimes H + M_1 \otimes QH, \quad V_H = N_2 \otimes PH + M_2 \otimes R^tH. \quad (4.2) \]
**Example 4.7.** Consider $A_1 = \text{backcirc}(x, -b, b)$, $A_2 = \text{circ}(-d, d, d)$, $B = \text{circ}(b, b, b)$, $C = \text{circ}(-a, b, b)$, $D = \text{circ}(a, b, b)$, $E = \text{circ}(d, d, d)$, $F = \text{circ}(-c, d, d)$ and $G = \text{circ}(c, d, d)$. It can be seen that the conditions of Construction 4.6 hold, and therefore matrices in (4.2) are $AOD(48; 4, 10, 34; 4, 44)$. See Appendix, page 106.

**Construction 4.8.** Suppose that $A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E, F, G$ are square matrices of order $n$. Let

$$
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
B & C & D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-C & B & 0 & -D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-D & 0 & B & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D & -C & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & C & D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C & B & 0 & -D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D & 0 & B & C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & -C & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & C & D & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C & B & 0 & -D \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D & 0 & B & C \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & -C & B & 0
\end{bmatrix},
$$
Suppose matrices $A_0, A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E, F$ and $G$ are pairwise amicable (not pairwise amicable).
necessarily orthogonal designs) and satisfy the following properties:

(i) \(9A_1A_1^t + BB^t + CC^t + DD^t = uI_n,\)

(ii) \(9A_2A_2^t + EE^t + FF^t + GG^t = vI_n.\)

Then, as in Construction 4.6, the matrices \(U \) and \(V \) in (4.1) along with these new matrices, \(M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2, \) form a disjoint amicable orthogonal design of order \(24n.\) Also, matrices \(U_H \) and \(V_H \) in (4.2) along with these new matrices, \(M_1, M_2, N_1, N_2, \) form a full amicable orthogonal design of order \(24n,\) provided the matrices \(A_1, A_2, B, C, D, E, F \) and \(G \) in this construction are full and pairwise amicable.

**Example 4.9.** Suppose that \(A_1 = \text{backcirc}(x, -b, b), \) \(A_2 = \text{circ}(-d, d, d), \)

\(B = \text{circ}(b, b, b), \) \(C = \text{circ}(b, b, b), \) \(D = \text{circ}(b, b, b), \) \(E = \text{circ}(d, d, d), \) \(F = \text{circ}(d, d, d), \)

and \(G = \text{circ}(d, d, d).\) Then they satisfy all conditions in Construction 4.8, and therefore matrices \(U_H \) and \(V_H \) in (4.2) form an \(AOD(72; 18, 54; 72).\)

**Example 4.10.** Suppose that \(A_1 = \text{backcirc}(a, -a, -a, a, -a, a, a, a), \)

\(A_2 = \text{circ}(c, -c, -c, c, -c, c, c, c), \) \(B = \text{circ}(b, a, a, a, a, a, a, a,a), \) \(C = \text{circ}(-b, a, a, a, a, a, a, a, a, a), \)

\(D = \text{circ}(a, -a, -a, a, -a, a, a, a, a), \) \(E = \text{circ}(d, c, c, c, c, c, c, c, c, c), \) \(F = \text{circ}(-d, c, c, c, c, c, c, c, c, c), \)

and \(G = \text{circ}(c, -c, -c, c, -c, c, c, c, c, c).\) It can be directly verified that Construction 4.8 forms an \(AOD(168; 4, 164; 4, 164).\)

**Remark 4.11.** If we replace matrices \(A_1, B, C, D, E, F \) and \(G \) by variables in Constructions 4.6 and 4.8, then matrices \(M_1, M_2 \) and \(N_1 \) will construct product designs \(PD(8; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; 5) \) and \(PD(12; 1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1; 9),\) respectively.
4.3 An infinite class of full amicable orthogonal designs

The following theorem is an application to an algebraic result that Kawada and Iwahori obtained in [28].

**Theorem 4.12** (see [18]). Suppose that \((A; B)\) is an amicable orthogonal design of order \(n\). Let \(t\) be the number of variables in \(B\) and \(\rho_t(n)\) be the number of variables in \(A\). Also, \(n = 2^{4a+b}d\), where \(0 \leq b < 4\) and \(d\) is an odd number. Then

\[
\rho_t(n) \leq 8a - t + \delta + 1,
\]

where the values of \(\delta\) are given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(t \equiv 0 \pmod{4})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t \equiv 1 \pmod{4})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t \equiv 2 \pmod{4})</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t \equiv 3 \pmod{4})</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Theorem 4.13** (see [53]). Suppose there is an \(AOD(n; u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r; v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s)\). Then for each \(t \geq 1\), there is an

\[
AOD\left(2^t n; \ u_1, u_1, 2u_1, \ldots, 2^{t-1}u_1, 2^t u_2, \ldots, 2^t u_r; \ 2^t v_1, 2^t v_2, \ldots, 2^t v_s\right).
\]

**Construction 4.14.** Replacing \(A_1, B, C, D, A_2, E, F\) and \(G\) by variables in Constructions 4.6 and 4.8, respectively, one obtains

\[
AOD(16; 2, 2, 2, 10; 2, 2, 2, 10) \quad \text{and} \quad AOD(24; 2, 2, 2, 18; 2, 2, 2, 18).
\]
Applying Theorem 4.13 for these amicable orthogonal designs, one obtains an infinite class of full amicable orthogonal designs:

\[ AOD\left(2^n; \frac{2^{n-3}}{(3)}, 10, 10, 5 \cdot 2^2, \ldots, 5 \cdot 2^{n-4}, \frac{2^{n-3}}{(3)}, 5 \cdot 2^{n-3}\right), \quad n > 4, \]

\[ AOD\left(2^n \cdot 3; \frac{2^{n-2}}{(3)}, 18, 18, 9 \cdot 2^2, \ldots, 9 \cdot 2^{n-3}, \frac{2^{n-2}}{(3)}, 9 \cdot 2^{n-2}\right), \quad n > 3. \]

**Example 4.15.** From Construction 4.14, we obtain

(i) \( AOD(24; 2, 2, 2, 18; 2, 2, 2, 18) \),

(ii) \( AOD(48; 4, 4, 4, 18, 18; 4, 4, 4, 36) \),

(iii) \( AOD(96; 8, 8, 8, 18, 18, 36; 8, 8, 8, 72) \).

See Appendix, pages 104, 107 and 108. According to Theorem 4.12, these amicable orthogonal designs have taken the maximum number of variables.

We also display the following amicable orthogonal designs in Appendix, pages 103 and 105:

\[ AOD(16; 2, 2, 2, 10; 2, 2, 2, 10) \quad \text{and} \quad AOD(32; 4, 4, 4, 10, 10; 4, 4, 4, 20). \]

### 4.4 Amicable full orthogonal designs in 16 variables

**Lemma 4.16.** There exists an \( AOD\left(2^9; \frac{2^6}{(8)}; \frac{2^6}{(8)}\right) \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_8\} \) and \( B = \{B_1, \ldots, B_8\} \) are two sets of signed permutation matrices of order \( 2^7 \) satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem
2.43. Let $H$ be a Hadamard matrix of order $2^7$. For each $1 \leq j \leq 4$, let

$$X_j = \frac{1}{2} x_{2j-1} (A_{2j-1} - A_{2j}) H + \frac{1}{2} x_{2j} (A_{2j-1} + A_{2j}) H$$

and

$$Y_j = \frac{1}{2} y_{2j-1} (B_{2j-1} - B_{2j}) H + \frac{1}{2} y_{2j} (B_{2j-1} + B_{2j}) H.$$ 

Note that for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4$, $X_i X_j^t = -X_j X_i^t$ and $Y_i Y_j^t = -Y_j Y_i^t$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$, $X_i Y_j^t = Y_j X_i^t$. Also, for each $1 \leq j \leq 4$,

$$X_j X_j^t = 2^6 (x_{2j-1}^2 + x_{2j}^2) I_{2^7} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j Y_j^t = 2^6 (y_{2j-1}^2 + y_{2j}^2) I_{2^7}.$$

Let

$$C = I \otimes I \otimes X_1 + I \otimes P \otimes X_2 + P \otimes I \otimes X_3 + P \otimes P \otimes X_4,$$

$$D = I \otimes I \otimes Y_1 + I \otimes P \otimes Y_2 + P \otimes I \otimes Y_3 + P \otimes P \otimes Y_4.$$

It can be directly verified that $CC^t = \left(2^6 \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i^2 \right) I_{2^{26}}$, $DD^t = \left(2^6 \sum_{i=1}^{8} y_i^2 \right) I_{2^{26}}$ and $CD^t = DC^t$. Therefore, $C$ and $D$ are an $AOD(2^{10}; 2^{6}_{(8)}; 2^{6}_{(8)})$.

**Theorem 4.17.** There is an $OD\left(2^{10}; 2^{6}_{(16)}\right)$.

**Proof.** Let $C$ and $D$ be the matrices constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.16. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ D & -C \end{bmatrix}$$

is an $OD\left(2^{10}; 2^{6}_{(16)}\right)$. 

According to Theorems 2.41 and 4.12, there does not exist any $AOD\left(2^{6}; 2^{3}_{(8)}; 2^{3}_{(8)}\right)$; however, it is not known whether or not there exists an $AOD\left(2^{7}; 2^{4}_{(8)}; 2^{4}_{(8)}\right)$. 
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Chapter 5

Asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs

We need the following well known lemma from [23] for our main construction.

Lemma 5.1. For any positive integer $n$, there is a Golay pair of length $2^n$ in two variables each appearing $2^{n-1}$ times in each of sequences.

Proof. Consider $n = 1$. The sequences $A_1 = (x, y)$ and $B_1 = (x, -y)$ of commuting variables $x$ and $y$ is a Golay pair of length 2 in two variables in which each variable appears one time in $A_1$ and $B_1$. Let $A_{n-1}$ and $B_{n-1}$ be a Golay pair of length $2^{n-1}$ in two variables each appearing $2^{n-2}$ times in both $A_{n-1}$ and $B_{n-1}$. Then

$$A_n = (A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = (A_{n-1}, -B_{n-1})$$

form a Golay pair of length $2^n$ in two variables as desired, where $(A, B)$ means the sequence $A$ followed by the sequence $B$. 

\qed
5.1 Asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs

Following similar techniques in [23], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. For any given sequence of positive integers \((b, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)\), there exists a full COD of type \((2^m \cdot 1_b, 2^m \cdot 2^{a_1}_4, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{a_k}_4)\), where \(m = 4k + b + 2\) if \(b\) is even, and \(m = 4k + b + 1\) if \(b\) is odd.

Proof. Let \((b, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)\) be a sequence of positive integers. We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. \(b\) is even. Suppose that \(x_i, 0 \leq i \leq \frac{b}{2}\), \(y_j\) and \(z_j, 1 \leq j \leq k\) are commuting variables. In the end of proof, we will replace these variables by the near type 1 matrices of order 2. For each \(j, 1 \leq j \leq k\), let \(G_{j1}\) and \(G_{j2}\) be a Golay pair in \(y_j\) and \(z_j\) of lengths \(2^{a_j}\). Let

\[
s_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_j = 2 \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} 2^{a_r}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k + 1. \tag{5.1}
\]

Let \(d = \frac{b}{2} + s_{k+1}\) and consider

\[
M_0 = \text{circ}(0_{(d)}, x_0, 0_{(d-1)}), \quad M_1 = \text{circ}(x_1, 0_{(2d-1)}), \quad M_h = \text{circ}(0_{(h-1)}, x_h, 0_{(2d-h)}), \quad 2 \leq h \leq \frac{b}{2}. \tag{5.2}
\]

For each \(j, 1 \leq j \leq k\), define

\[
N_{2j-1} := \text{circ}\left(0_{\left(\frac{b}{2}+s_j\right)}, G_{j1}, 0_{(2d-\frac{b}{2}-s_j-2^{a_j})}\right), \quad N_{2j} := \text{circ}\left(0_{\left(\frac{b}{2}+s_j+2^{a_j}\right)}, G_{j2}, 0_{(2d-\frac{b}{2}+s_{j+1})}\right).
\]

Let \(m = 4k + b + 2\) and let \(A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}\) be the collection of mutually disjoint anti-amicable signed permutation matrices of order \(2^{m-1}\) constructed in Theorem
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2.43, and suppose $H$ is a Hadamard matrix of order $2^{m-1}$. Let

$$C = \frac{1}{2}(M_0 + M_0^t) \otimes A_1 H + \frac{i}{2}(M_0 - M_0^t) \otimes A_2 H$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_1^t) \otimes A_3 H + \frac{i}{2}(M_1 - M_1^t) \otimes A_4 H$$

$$+ \sum_{h=2}^{b} \left( (M_h + M_h^t) \otimes \frac{1}{2}(A_{2h+1} + A_{2h+2}) H + i(M_h - M_h^t) \otimes \frac{1}{2}(A_{2h+1} - A_{2h+2}) H \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \left( (N_j + N_j^t) \otimes \frac{1}{2}(A_{2j+b+1} + A_{2j+b+2}) H + i(N_j - N_j^t) \otimes \frac{1}{2}(A_{2j+b+1} - A_{2j+b+2}) H \right),$$

(5.3)

We show that

$$CC^* = 2^m \omega I_{2^m d}. \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} x_0 x_0^t + \frac{1}{2} x_1 x_1^t + x_2 x_2^t + \cdots + x_{\frac{b}{2}} x_{\frac{b}{2}}^t + 2^{a_1} y_1 y_1^t + 2^{a_1} z_1 z_1^t + \cdots + 2^{a_k} y_k y_k^t + 2^{a_k} z_k z_k^t.$$ 

To this end, we first note that each of the sets

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2}(M_0 + M_0^t), \frac{i}{2}(M_0 - M_0^t), \frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_1^t), \frac{i}{2}(M_1 - M_1^t) \right\},$$

$$\left\{ (M_h + M_h^t), (N_j + N_j^t); \ 2 \leq h \leq \frac{b}{2}, \ 1 \leq j \leq 2k \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ i(M_h - M_h^t), i(N_j - N_j^t); \ 2 \leq h \leq \frac{b}{2}, \ 1 \leq j \leq 2k \right\}$$

consist of mutually disjoint Hermitian circulant matrices. Moreover, for $u = 0, 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}(M_u + M_u^t)(M_u + M_u^t)^t + \frac{1}{4}(M_u - M_u^t)(M_u - M_u^t)^t = x_u x_u^t I_{2d}$$
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and for each $h$, $2 \leq h \leq \frac{b}{2}$,

$$(M_h + M_h^t)(M_h + M_h^t)^t + (M_h - M_h^t)(M_h - M_h^t)^t = 4x_hx_h^tI_{2d}. $$

Also, for each $j$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, we have

$$
\sum_{r=2j-1}^{2j} \left((N_r + N_r^t)(N_r + N_r^t)^t + (N_r - N_r^t)(N_r - N_r^t)^t\right) = 2 \sum_{r=2j-1}^{2j} (N_rN_r^t + N_r^tN_r) \\
= 2^a_j + 2(\sum_{j=2j-1}^{2j} (y_jy_j^t + z_jz_j^t))I_{2d}.
$$

Note that for each $j$, $3 \leq j \leq \frac{b}{2} + 2k + 1$, the matrices $\frac{1}{2}(A_{2j-1} + A_{2j})H$ and $\frac{1}{2}(A_{2j-1} - A_{2j})H$ are disjoint with $0, \pm 1$ entries. Furthermore, since the set $A$ consists of mutually anti-amicable matrices, the set

$$\{A_1H, A_2H, A_3H, A_4H, \frac{1}{2}(A_{2j-1} \pm A_{2j})H \ (3 \leq j \leq \frac{b}{2} + 2k + 1)\}$$

consists of mutually anti-amicable matrices. Since for each $j$, $3 \leq j \leq \frac{b}{2} + 2k + 1$,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}(A_{2j-1} \pm A_{2j})H\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}(A_{2j-1} \pm A_{2j})H\right)^t = \frac{2^{m-1}}{4}(A_{2j-1} \pm A_{2j})(A_{2j-1} \pm A_{2j})^tI_{2^{m-1}} \\
= 2^{m-2}I_{2^{m-1}},
$$

the validity of equation (5.4) follows.

In the equation (5.4), if we replace the $x_0$ by $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \alpha \\ -\alpha & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$, the $x_1$ by $\begin{bmatrix} \beta & \beta \\ -\beta & \beta \end{bmatrix}$, the $x_h$ by $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_h & \beta_h \\ -\beta_h & \alpha_h \end{bmatrix}$, $2 \leq h \leq \frac{b}{2}$, the $y_j$ by $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_j' & \beta_j' \\ -\beta_j' & \alpha_j' \end{bmatrix}$, and the $z_j$ by $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_j'' & \beta_j'' \\ -\beta_j'' & \alpha_j'' \end{bmatrix}$,
1 \leq j \leq k$, then the matrix $C$ will be a full COD of type
\[
\left( 2^m \cdot 1(b), 2^m \cdot 2^{a_1}(4), \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{a_k}(4) \right),
\]
where the $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_h$’s, $\beta_h$’s, $\alpha_j'$’s, $\beta_j'$’s, $\alpha_j''$’s and $\beta_j''$’s are variables.

**Case 2.** $b$ is odd. Consider the following circulant matrices of order $2d+1$, where
\[
d = \frac{b - 1}{2} + s_{k+1}
\]
with the same $s_j$’s as in equation (5.1),
\[
M_1 = \text{circ}(x_1, 0_{(2d)}),
M_h = \text{circ}(0_{(h-1)}, x_h, 0_{(2d-h+1)}), \quad 2 \leq h \leq \frac{b + 1}{2}.
\]
For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, assume
\[
N_{2j-1} = \text{circ}\left( 0_{ \left( \frac{b+1}{2} + s_j \right) }, G_{j1}, 0_{(2d-\frac{b+1}{2} - s_j)} \right),
N_{2j} = \text{circ}\left( 0_{ \left( \frac{b+1}{2} + s_j + 2s_j \right) }, G_{j2}, 0_{(2d-\frac{b+1}{2} - s_j+1)} \right).
\]
The rest of proof is similar to Case 1, and so $m = 4k + b + 1$. \(\square\)

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \((b, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)\) be a sequence of positive integers and let
\[
\ell' = b + 4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} 2^{a_i}
\]
Then for every $\ell \geq \ell'$, there is a
\[
\text{COD}\left( 2^m \ell, 2^m \cdot 1(b), 2^m \cdot 2^{a_1}(4), \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{a_k}(4) \right),
\]
where $m \leq 4k + b + 2$.

**Proof.** In the proof of Proposition 5.2, depending on $\ell$, we may add an appropriate amount of zeros to the circulant matrices in Case 1 or Case 2 as the same way as we add the $x_h$’s in (5.2) to obtain circulant matrices of order $\ell$. The rest of proof is
similar. It can be seen that \( m \leq 4k + b + 2 \).

Let \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\) be an \( \ell \)-tuple of positive integers and suppose \( 2^t \) is the largest power of 2 appearing in the binary expansions of \( u_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \). Using the binary expansion of each \( u_i \), one can write

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u_1 \\
  u_2 \\
  \vdots \\
  u_\ell \\
\end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix}
  1 \\
  2 \\
  \vdots \\
  2^t \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( E = [e_{ij}] \) is the unique \( \ell \times (t + 1) \) matrix with 0 and 1 entries. We call \( E \) the binary matrix corresponding to the \( \ell \)-tuple \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\).

For simplicity and in order to make the first column of the binary matrix \( E \) nonzero, in Lemma 5.4 and Corollary 5.5, we assume that the \( \ell \)-tuples of positive integers have at least one odd element. Then we show Lemma 5.6.

**Lemma 5.4.** Suppose that \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\) is an \( \ell \)-tuple of positive integers such that at least one of the \( u_i \)'s is odd. Then there is an integer \( m = m(u_1, \ldots, u_\ell) \) such that there is a

\[
\text{COD}\left(2^m(u_1 + \cdots + u_\ell); 2^m u_1, \ldots, 2^m u_\ell\right).
\]

**Proof.** Let \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\) be an \( \ell \)-tuple of positive integers such that at least one of \( u_i \)'s is odd, and let \( d = u_1 + \cdots + u_\ell \). We form the \( \ell \times (t + 1) \) binary matrix \( E = [e_{ij}] \) corresponding to the \( \ell \)-tuple \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\), where \( t \) is the largest exponent appearing in the binary expansions of \( u_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \). Let

\[
\gamma_{j-1} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} e_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t + 1.
\]
We may use the following algorithm:

\[ k := t; \gamma'_0 := \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma t}{4} \right\rfloor \quad (\lfloor x \rfloor \text{ is the floor of } x) \quad (5.7) \]

while \( k > 0 \) do

\[ \{ \beta_k := \gamma_k \pmod{4}; \]
\[ k := k - 1; \]
\[ \gamma_k := \gamma_k + 2\beta_{k+1}; \]

if \( k \neq 0 \) then

\[ \gamma'_k := \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_k}{4} \right\rfloor; \]

else

\[ \gamma'_k := \gamma_k; \}

Now we apply Proposition 5.2 to the sequence \( (\gamma'_0, 1_{(\gamma'_1)}, 2_{(\gamma'_2)}, \ldots, t_{(\gamma'_t)}) \). Thus, there is an integer \( m \), such that there is a

\[ \text{COD}\left(2^m d; 2^m \cdot 1_{(\gamma'_0)}, 2^m \cdot 2_{(\gamma'_1)}, 2^m \cdot 2^2_{(\gamma'_2)}, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^t_{(\gamma'_t)}\right). \quad (5.8) \]

Indeed, if \( \gamma'_0 \) is even or odd, then we take \( m = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{t} \gamma'_j + \gamma'_0 + 2 \) or \( m = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{t} \gamma'_j + \gamma'_0 + 1 \), respectively. Note that the algorithm (5.7) breaks the binary expansion of the \( \ell \)-tuple \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\) such that we can use Proposition 5.2. Therefore, the elements of the \( \ell \)-tuple \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\) can be obtained by adding the elements of the sequence \((1_{(\gamma'_0)}, 2_{(\gamma'_1)}, 2^2_{(\gamma'_2)}, \ldots, 2^t_{(\gamma'_t)})\) in a suitable way. Thus, equating variables in the COD (5.8) in an appropriate way, we obtain a \( \text{COD}\left(2^m d; 2^m u_1, \ldots, 2^m u_\ell\right) \).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 5.5.** Let \((u_1, \ldots, u_\ell)\) be an \( \ell \)-tuple of positive integers such that at least one of \( u_i \)'s is odd, and let \( d = u_1 + \cdots + u_\ell \). Then for \( d' \geq d \), there is an integer
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\( m = m(u_1, \ldots, u_\ell) \) such that there is a

\[
\text{COD}\left( 2^m d'; 2^m u_1, \ldots, 2^m u_\ell \right).
\]

**Proof.** We use Corollary 5.3 instead of Proposition 5.2 in the proof of Lemma 5.4. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.6.** For any \( \ell \)-tuple \((s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)\) of positive integers, there is an integer \( r = r(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell) \) such that there is a

\[
\text{COD}\left( 2^r(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^r s_1, \ldots, 2^r s_\ell \right).
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \((s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)\) is an \( \ell \)-tuple of positive integers and let

\[
(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell) = 2^q(u_1, \ldots, u_\ell), \tag{5.9}
\]

where \( q \) is a unique nonnegative integer such that one of \( u_i \)'s is odd. By Lemma 5.4, there is an integer \( m = m(u_1, \ldots, u_\ell) \) such that there is a

\[
\text{COD}\left( 2^m(u_1 + \cdots + u_\ell); 2^m u_1, \ldots, 2^m u_\ell \right).
\]

Now if \( m \geq q \), then we choose \( r = m - q \), and if \( m < q \), then \( A \otimes H \) is a

\[
\text{COD}\left( 2^q(u_1 + \cdots + u_\ell); 2^q u_1, \ldots, 2^q u_\ell \right) = \text{COD}\left( s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell; s_1, \ldots, s_\ell \right),
\]

where \( H \) is a Hadamard matrix of order \( 2^{q-m} \), and therefore we may choose \( r = 0 \). \( \square \)

We now show an asymptotic existence result for ODs. In Chapter 6, we also give some other bounds for \( N \) in the following theorem by a different method.
Theorem 5.7. For any \( \ell \)-tuple \((s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)\) of positive integers, there is an integer \( N = N(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell) \) such that for each \( n \geq N \) there is an

\[
OD\left(2^n(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^n s_1, \ldots, 2^n s_\ell\right).
\]

Proof. Let \((s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)\) be a \( \ell \)-tuple of positive integers. From Lemma 5.6, there is an integer \( r = r(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell) \) such that there is a

\[
COD\left(2^r(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^r s_1, \ldots, 2^r s_\ell\right).
\]

Let \( A \) be the above COD. We may write \( A \) as \( X + iY \), where \( X \) and \( Y \) are disjoint and amicable matrices such that \( XX^t + YY^t = AA^* \). It can be seen that

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes X + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes Y
\]

is an

\[
OD\left(2^{r+1}(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^{r+1} s_1, 2^{r+1} s_2, \ldots, 2^{r+1} s_\ell\right). \tag{5.10}
\]

We choose \( N = r + 1 \), and so for each \( n \geq N \), the Kronecker product of a Hadamard matrix of order \( 2^{n-N} \) with the OD (5.10) gives us an

\[
OD\left(2^n(s_1 + \cdots + s_\ell); 2^n s_1, \ldots, 2^n s_\ell\right).
\]

Example 5.8. Consider the 5-tuple \((8, 12, 20, 68, 136)\). Write this as \(2^2(2, 3, 5, 17, 34)\).
Apply the equation (5.5) to \((2, 3, 5, 17, 34)\) as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2 \\
3 \\
5 \\
17 \\
34
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
2 \\
2^2 \\
2^3 \\
2^4 \\
2^5
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

From equation (5.6), we have 
\(\gamma_0 = 3, \gamma_1 = 3, \gamma_2 = 1, \gamma_3 = 0, \gamma_4 = 1\) and \(\gamma_5 = 1\). If we apply the algorithm (5.7), then we find \(\gamma'_0 = 5, \gamma'_1 = 1, \gamma'_2 = 1, \gamma'_3 = 1, \gamma'_4 = 0\) and \(\gamma'_5 = 0\). Apply Proposition 5.2 to the sequence \((b, a_1, a_2, a_3) = (5, 1, 2, 3)\). Since \(b\) is odd, we use Case 2 of Proposition 5.2, and so \(m = 4 \times 3 + 5 + 1 = 18\). Therefore, there is a

\[
COD\left(2^{18} \cdot 61; 2^{18} \cdot 1(5), 2^{18} \cdot 2^{(4)}, 2^{18} \cdot 2^{2}, 2^{18} \cdot 2^{3}\right).
\]

By equating variables, we obtain a

\[
COD\left(2^{18} \cdot 61; 2^{16} \cdot 8, 2^{16} \cdot 12, 2^{16} \cdot 20, 2^{16} \cdot 68, 2^{16} \cdot 136\right).
\]

**Example 5.9.** We apply the equation (5.5) to the 4-tuple \((1, 5, 7, 17)\). Thus,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
5 \\
7 \\
17
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
2 \\
2^2 \\
2^3 \\
2^4
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
From equation (5.6), we have $\gamma_0 = 4, \gamma_1 = 1, \gamma_2 = 2, \gamma_3 = 0, \gamma_4 = 1$. Now if we apply the algorithm (5.7), then we find $\gamma'_0 = 6, \gamma'_1 = 1, \gamma'_2 = 1, \gamma'_3 = 0, \gamma'_4 = 0$. So, we apply Proposition 5.2 to the sequence $(b, a_1, a_2) = (6, 1, 2)$. Since $b$ is even, we use Case 1 of Proposition 5.2, and so $m = 4 \times 2 + 6 + 2 = 16$. Thus, there is a

$$\text{COD}(2^{16} \cdot 30; 2^{16} \cdot 1_{(6)}, 2^{16} \cdot 2_{(4)}, 2^{16} \cdot 2_{(4)}).$$

By equating variables we obtain a

$$\text{COD}(2^{16} \cdot 30; 2^{16} \cdot 1, 2^{16} \cdot 5, 2^{16} \cdot 7, 2^{16} \cdot 17).$$

### 5.2 Asymptotic existence of amicable orthogonal designs

We now include an asymptotic existence result for amicable ODs by following similar techniques in [23].

**Lemma 5.10.** If there is an ACOD$(n; \ u_1, \ldots, u_s; \ v_1, \ldots, v_t)$, then there is an

$$\text{AOD}(2n; 2u_1, \ldots, 2u_s; 2v_1, \ldots, 2v_t).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that $(X; Y)$ is a complex amicable OD. We may write $X = A + iB$ and $Y = C + iD$, where $A$ and $B$ ($C$ and $D$) are disjoint and amicable matrices such that $AA^t + BB^t = XX^*$ and $CC^t + DD^t = YY^*$. Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and
Since \((X; Y)\) is a complex amicable OD,

\[
AC^t + BD^t = CA^t + DB^t, \quad AD^t - BC^t = CB^t - DA^t.
\]

Let \(X' = A \otimes RH + B \otimes H\) and \(Y' = C \otimes RH + D \otimes H\). Then

\[
X'Y' = 2(AC^t + BD^t) \otimes I + 2(AD^t - BC^t) \otimes R
\]

\[
Y'X' = 2(CA^t + DB^t) \otimes I + 2(CB^t - DA^t) \otimes R.
\]

Therefore \((X'; Y')\) is an amicable OD as desired. \(\square\)

**Theorem 5.11.** For any two sequences \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s)\) and \((v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)\) of positive integers, there are integers \(h, h_1, h_2\) and \(N\) such that there exists an

\[
AOD\left(2^n h; 2^{n+h_1} u_1, \ldots, 2^{n+h_1} u_s, 2^{n+h_2} v_1, \ldots, 2^{n+h_2} v_t\right),
\]

for each \(n \geq N\).

**Proof.** Suppose that \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s)\) and \((v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t)\) are two sequences of positive integers. Let \((u_1, \ldots, u_s) = 2^{q_1}(u'_1, \ldots, u'_s)\) and \((v_1, \ldots, v_t) = 2^{q_2}(v'_1, \ldots, v'_t)\), where \(q_1\) and \(q_2\) are the unique integers such that at least one of \(u_i\)'s and one of \(v_j\)'s is odd.

Let \(u'_1 + \cdots + u'_s = c_1\) and \(v'_1 + \cdots + v'_t = c_2\). We may use the algorithm (5.7) in the proof of Lemma 5.4 for sequences \((u'_1, \ldots, u'_s)\) and \((v'_1, \ldots, v'_t)\) to get sequences \((b, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)\) and \((\beta, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)\) of positive integers, respectively.

We have \(c_1 = b + 4 \sum_{i=1}^k 2^{a_i}\) and \(c_2 = \beta + 4 \sum_{i=1}^\ell 2^{a_i}\). Without loss of generality assume that \(c_1 \geq c_2\), and \(b\) and \(\beta\) are even. Let \(m = \max\{4k + b + 2, 4\ell + \beta + 2\}\).

Suppose that \(A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_m\}\) and \(B = \{B_1, \ldots, B_m\}\) are the same set of
matrices of order $2^{m-1}$ as in Theorem 2.43.

Apply Proposition 5.2 to the sequence $(b, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$ by using the set $A$. Thus there is a COD, $C$, of order $2^m c_1$ and type $\left(2^m \cdot 1(b), 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_k}\right)$.

Apply Corollary 5.3 to the sequence $(\beta, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$ by using the set $B$. It can be seen that there is a COD, $D$, of order $2^m c_1$ and type $\left(2^m \cdot 1(\beta), 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_\ell}\right)$.

Note that $c_1 \geq c_2$.

Since the circulant matrices used to construct $C$ and $D$ in (5.3) are Hermitian of order $c_1$ and $A_i B_j^t = B_j A_i^t$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $(C; D)$ is an ACOD

$$ACOD\left(2^m c_1; 2^m \cdot 1(b), 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_k}, 2^m \cdot 1(\beta), 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{\alpha_\ell}\right).$$

Equating variables in $C$ and $D$ in an appropriate way, we obtain an

$$ACOD\left(2^m c_1; 2^m u_1', \ldots, 2^m u_s', 2^m v_1', \ldots, 2^m v_t'\right),$$

and so by Lemma 5.10, there exists an

$$AOD\left(2^m c_1; 2^m u_1', \ldots, 2^m u_s', 2^m v_1', \ldots, 2^m v_t'\right), \quad (5.11)$$

where $m' = m + 1$.

Now if $q_1 = q_2 = 0$, then we choose $h = c_1$, $h_1 = h_2 = 0$ and $N = m'$. If $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq m'$, then we choose $h = c_1$, $h_1 = -q_1$, $h_2 = -q_2$ and $N = m'$. For cases $q_1 \leq m' \leq q_2$ and $m' \leq q_1 \leq q_2$, the Kronecker product of a Hadamard matrix of order $2^{q_2 - m'}$ with amicable orthogonal designs (5.11) implies $h = 2^{q_2} c_1$, $h_1 = q_2 - q_1$ and $h_2 = N = 0$. Therefore, there exists an

$$AOD\left(2^n h; 2^{n + h_1} u_1, \ldots, 2^{n + h_1} u_s, 2^{n + h_2} v_1, \ldots, 2^{n + h_2} v_t\right),$$
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for each \( n \geq N \).

If \( \beta \) and \( b \) are not both even, then we may use Case 2 in Proposition 5.2 with the same argument.

**Example 5.12.** Let \((u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5) = (8, 12, 20, 68, 136)\) and \((v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (1, 5, 7, 17)\) be the sequences in Examples 5.8 and 5.9. We have \((u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, u'_4, u'_5) = (2, 3, 5, 17, 34), (v'_1, v'_2, v'_3, v'_4) = (1, 5, 7, 17), q_1 = 2, q_2 = 0, c_1 = 61 \text{ and } c_2 = 30.\)

Apply Proposition 5.2 to the sequences

\((b, a_1, a_2, a_3) = (5, 1, 2, 3)\) and \((\beta + c_1 - c_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (6 + 31, 1, 2).\)

We may choose \(m = \max\{4 \cdot 3 + b + 1, 4 \cdot 2 + \beta + 2\} = \max\{18, 16\} = 18.\) Thus, there exists an

\[
ACOD\left(2^{18} \cdot 61; 2^{18} \cdot 1_{(5)}, 2^{18} \cdot 2_{(4)}, 2^{18} \cdot 2^2_{(4)}, 2^{18} \cdot 2^3_{(4)}; 2^{18} \cdot 1_{(6)}, 2^{18} \cdot 2_{(4)}, 2^{18} \cdot 2^2_{(4)}\right),
\]

and so there exists an

\[
AOD\left(2^{19} \cdot 61; 2^{19} \cdot 1_{(5)}, 2^{19} \cdot 2_{(4)}, 2^{19} \cdot 2^2_{(4)}, 2^{19} \cdot 2^3_{(4)}; 2^{19} \cdot 1_{(6)}, 2^{19} \cdot 2_{(4)}, 2^{19} \cdot 2^2_{(4)}\right),
\]

Equating variables, we obtain an

\[
AOD\left(2^{19} \cdot 61; 2^{19} \cdot 2, 2^{19} \cdot 3, 2^{19} \cdot 5, 2^{19} \cdot 17, 2^{19} \cdot 34; 2^{19} \cdot 1, 2^{19} \cdot 5, 2^{19} \cdot 7, 2^{19} \cdot 17\right),
\]

Since \(q_2 \leq q_1 \leq 19\), we choose \(N = 19, h = 61, h_1 = -2, h_2 = 0,\) and therefore for all \(n \geq 19,\) there exists an

\[
AOD\left(2^n \cdot 61; 2^n \cdot 8, 2^n \cdot 12, 2^n \cdot 20, 2^n \cdot 68, 2^n \cdot 136; 2^n \cdot 1, 2^n \cdot 5, 2^n \cdot 7, 2^n \cdot 17\right).
\]
Example 5.13. Consider 3-tuples \((1, 1, 1)\) and \((1, 1, 1)\). Let \(M_1 = \text{circ}(x_1, 0, 0)\), \(M_2 = \text{circ}(0, x_2, 0)\), \(N_1 = \text{circ}(y_1, 0, 0)\), \(N_2 = (0, y_2, 0)\) and let \(A = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}\) and \(B = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}\) be the sets of signed permutation matrices of order \(2^3\) satisfying the conditions \((i)\), \((ii)\) and \((iii)\) in Theorem 2.43. Suppose that \(H\) is a Hadamard matrix of order \(2^3\). Then

\[
C = \frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_1^t) \otimes A_1 H + \frac{i}{2}(M_1 - M_1^t) \otimes A_2 H
+ \frac{1}{2}(M_2 + M_2^t) \otimes (A_3 + A_4) H + i(M_2 - M_2^t) \otimes \frac{1}{2}(A_3 - A_4) H
\]

\[
D = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_1^t) \otimes B_1 H + \frac{i}{2}(N_1 - N_1^t) \otimes B_2 H
+ \frac{1}{2}(N_2 + N_2^t) \otimes (B_3 + B_4) H + i(N_2 - N_2^t) \otimes \frac{1}{2}(B_3 - B_4) H
\]

after replacing \(x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ -a & a \end{bmatrix}\), \(x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b & c \\ -c & b \end{bmatrix}\), \(y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d & d \\ -d & d \end{bmatrix}\), \(y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ -f & e \end{bmatrix}\)

and \(0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\) form an \(ACOD(2^4 \cdot 3; 2^4, 2^4, 2^4; 2^4, 2^4, 2^4)\), and so from Lemma 5.10, one obtains an \(AOD(2^5 \cdot 3; 2^5, 2^5, 2^5; 2^5, 2^5, 2^5)\).

We display this amicable orthogonal design in Appendix, page 110.

Remark 5.14. Applying Proposition 5.2 to the 6-tuple \((1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)\), there is a \(COD(2^8 \cdot 6; 2^8_{(6)})\), and so an \(OD(2^9 \cdot 6; 2^9_{(6)})\). However, in Example 5.13, \(\begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ D & -C \end{bmatrix}\) is an \(OD(2^5 \cdot 6; 2^5_{(6)})\).
Chapter 6

Signed group orthogonal designs
and their applications

6.1 Signed groups and remreps

Robert Craigen introduced and studied signed group Hadamard matrices in [5, 8]. In this section, we start by the definition of signed groups.

**Definition 6.1 ([5]).** A signed group \( S \) is a group with a distinguished central element of order two. We denote the unit of a group as 1 and the distinguished central element of order two as -1. In every signed group, the set \( \{1, -1\} \) is a normal subgroup, and we call the number of elements in the quotient group \( S/\langle -1 \rangle \) the order of signed group \( S \). Thus, a signed group of order \( n \) is a group of order \( 2n \).

A signed group \( T \) is called a signed subgroup of a signed group \( S \), if \( T \) is a subgroup of \( S \) and the distinguished central elements of \( S \) and \( T \) coincide. We denote this relation by \( T \leq S \). If \( T \leq S \) and \( T \neq S \), then \( T \) is a proper signed subgroup, and we denote it by \( T < S \).

**Example 6.2.** Here are some important examples of signed groups:
(i) The trivial signed group $S_R = \{1, -1\}$ which is a signed group of order one.

(ii) The complex signed group $S_C = \langle i; i^2 = -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ is a signed group of order two.

(iii) The Quaternion signed group, $S_Q$, is a signed group of order 4,

$$S_Q = \langle j, k; j^2 = k^2 = -1, jk = -kj \rangle = \{ \pm 1, \pm j, \pm k, \pm jk \}.$$  

(iv) The set of all monomial $\{0, \pm 1\}$-matrices of order $n$, $SP_n$, forms a group of order $2^n n!$ and a signed group of order $2^{n-1} n!$.

**Definition 6.3** ([5]). Let $S$ and $T$ be two signed groups. A *signed group homomorphism* $\phi : S \to T$ is a map with the following properties

(i) $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ for all $a, b \in S$,

(ii) $\phi(-1) = -1$.

An *isomorphism* of signed groups is a homomorphism having an inverse map, which is also a signed group homomorphism. A *remrep* (*real monomial representation*) is a signed group homomorphism $\pi : S \to SP_n$. A *faithful remrep* is a one to one remrep.

Let $R$ be a ring which has a unit $1_R$, and let $S$ be a signed group with distinguished central element $-1_S$. Then the signed group ring, $R[S]$, is defined as follows

$$R[S] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_is_i; \ r_i \in R, \ s_i \in P \right\},$$  

where $P$ is a set of coset representatives of $S$ modulus $\langle -1_S \rangle$ and for $r \in R, s \in P$, we make the identification $-rs = r(-s)$. Addition is defined termwise, and multiplication is defined by linear extension. As an example $r_1s_1(r_2s_2 + r_3s_3) = r_1r_2s_1s_2 + r_1r_3s_1s_3$, where $r_i \in R$ and $s_i \in P$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

In this work, we choose $R = \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $x \in R[S]$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_is_i$, where
The conjugation of $x$, denoted $\overline{x}$, is defined as $\overline{x} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i s_i^{-1}$. Clearly, the conjugation is an involution, i.e., $\overline{\overline{x}} = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}[S]$, and $\overline{xy} = \overline{\overline{y}x}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}[S]$. As an example, $\overline{\sqrt{2}j + 3jk} = \sqrt{2}j^{-1} + 3(jk)^{-1} = -\sqrt{2}j - 3jk$, where $j, k \in S_Q$.

For an $m \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ with entries in $\mathbb{R}[S]$ define its adjoint as an $n \times m$ matrix $A^* = \overline{A}' = [\overline{a}_{ji}]$.

**Definition 6.4.** Let $S$ be a signed group, and let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a square matrix such that $a_{ij} \in \{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\}$, where $\epsilon_\ell \in S$ and $x_\ell$ is a variable, $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. For each $a_{ij} = \epsilon_\ell x_\ell$ or 0, let $\overline{a}_{ij} = \overline{\epsilon_\ell x_\ell}$ or 0, and $|a_{ij}| = |\epsilon_\ell x_\ell| = x_\ell$ or 0. We define $\text{abs}(A) := [|a_{ij}|]$. We call $A$ quasisymmetric, if

$$\text{abs}(A) = \text{abs}(A^*),$$

where $A^* = [\overline{a}_{ji}]$. Also, $A$ is called normal if $AA^* = A^*A$.

The support of $A$ (see [5]) is defined by

$$\text{supp}(A) := \{\text{positions of all nonzero entries of } A\}.$$ 

**Definition 6.5.** Suppose that $A = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $B = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n)$ are two sequences with elements from $\{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\}$, where the $x_\ell$’s are variables and $\epsilon_k \in S$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) for some signed group $S$. We use $A_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}$ to denote the sequence whose elements are those of $A$, conjugated and in reverse order (see [6]), i.e., $A_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}} = (\overline{a}_n, \ldots, \overline{a}_2, \overline{a}_1)$. We say $A$ is quasireverse to $B$ if $\text{abs}(A_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}) = \text{abs}(B)$. 
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A circulant matrix \( C = \text{circ}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \) can be written as

\[
C = a_1 I_n + a_2 U + \cdots + a_n U^{n-1},
\]

where \( U = \text{circ}(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \). Therefore, any two circulant matrices of order \( n \) with commuting entries commute. If \( C = \text{circ}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \), then \( C^* = \text{circ}(\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_n, \ldots, \bar{a}_2) \).

Suppose that \( A \) and \( B \) are two sequences of length \( n \) such that \( A \) is quasireverse to \( B \).
Let \( D = \text{circ}(0_{(a+1)}, A, 0_{(2b+1)}, B, 0_{(a)}) \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are nonnegative integers and let \( m = 2a + 2b + 2n + 2 \). Then \( D^* = \text{circ}(0_{(a+1)}, B_{\mathbb{R}}, 0_{(2b+1)}, A_{\mathbb{R}}, 0_{(a)}) \) and

\[
\text{abs}(D) = \text{abs}(D^*).
\]

Hence, \( D \) is a quasisymmetric circulant matrix of order \( m \).

**Lemma 6.6.** For every positive integer of the form \( 2^n \), there is a Golay pair \((A; B)\) of length \( 2^n \) in two variables such that each variable appears \( 2^{n-1} \) times in \( A \) and \( B \). Moreover, \( A \) is quasireverse to \( B \).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.1. The only difference is that the initial Golay sequences are \( A_1 = (x, y) \) and \( B_1 = (y, -x) \).

**Theorem 6.7.** Suppose that \( A \) is an \( m \times n \) and \( B \) is an \( n \times r \) matrices with entries in the signed group ring \( \mathbb{R}[G] \). Then \( (AB)^* = B^* A^* \).

**Proof.** We must show that \((AB)^*[i, j] = (B^* A^*)[i, j]\) for any fixed \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \). We have
\[(AB)^*[i, j] = (AB)[j, i]\]
\[= \sum_{k=1}^{n} A[j, k]B[k, i] \]
\[= \sum_{k=1}^{n} A[j, k]B[k, i] \]
\[= \sum_{k=1}^{n} B[k, i]A[j, k] \]
\[= \sum_{k=1}^{n} B^*[i, k]A^*[k, j] \]
\[= (B^*A^*)[i, j].\]

6.2 Signed group orthogonal designs

Definition 6.8. A signed group orthogonal design, SOD, of type \((u_1, \ldots, u_k)\), where \(u_1, \ldots, u_k\) are positive integers, and of order \(n\), is a square matrix \(X\) of order \(n\) with entries from \(\{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\}\), where the \(x_i\)'s are variables and \(\epsilon_j \in S, 1 \leq j \leq k\), for some signed group \(S\), that satisfies

\[XX^* = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i x_i^2\right) I_n.\]

We denote it by \(SOD(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)\).

Equating all variables to 1 in any SOD of order \(n\), results a signed group weighing matrix of order \(n\) and weight \(w\) which is denoted by \(SW(n, w)\), where \(w\) is the number of nonzero entries in each row (column) of the SOD.
We call a SOD with no zero entries a full SOD. Equating all variables to 1 in any full SOD of order $n$ results a signed group Hadamard matrix of order $n$ which is denoted by $SH(n, S)$.

Craigen [5] proved the following fundamental theorem and applied it to demonstrate a novel and new method for the asymptotic existence of signed group Hadamard matrices and consequently Hadamard matrices.

**Theorem 6.9.** For any odd positive integer $p$, there exists a circulant

$$SH(2p, SP_{2^{2N(p)-1}}).$$

**Remark 6.10.** A signed group orthogonal design over the Quaternion signed group $S_Q$ is called a Quaternion orthogonal design, QOD.

A signed group orthogonal design over the complex signed group $S_C$ is called a complex orthogonal design, COD.

A signed group orthogonal design over the trivial signed group $S_R$ is called an orthogonal design, OD.

**Lemma 6.11.** Every $SW(n, w)$ over a finite signed group is normal.

**Proof.** Suppose that $WW^* = wI_n$, where the entries in $W$ belong to a signed group $S$ of order $m$. We show that $WW^* = W^*W$. The space of all square matrices of order $n$ with entries in $\mathbb{R}[S]$ has the standard basis with $mn^2$ elements over the field $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, there exists an integer $u$ such that

$$c_1W + c_2W^2 + \cdots + c_uW^u = 0,$$

where $c_u \neq 0$, and $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq u$). Multiplying the above equality from the right
by \((W^*)^{u-1}\),
\[
c_1 w(W^*)^{u-2} + c_2 w^2(W^*)^{u-3} + \cdots + c_n w^{u-1} W = 0.
\]

Hence \(W\) is a polynomial in \(W^*\), and so \(WW^* = W^*W\).

\textbf{Theorem 6.12.} A necessary and sufficient condition that there is a SOD\((n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)\) over a signed group \(S\), is that there exists a family \(\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}\) of pairwise disjoint square matrices of order \(n\) with entries from \(\{0, S\}\) satisfying

\[
A_i A_i^* = u_i I_n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \tag{6.1}
\]

\[
A_i A_j^* = -A_j A_i^*, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k. \tag{6.2}
\]

\textit{Proof.} Suppose that there is a \(A = \text{SOD}(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)\) over a signed group \(S\). One can write

\[
A = x_1 A_1 + \cdots + x_k A_k, \tag{6.3}
\]

where the \(A_i\)’s are square matrices of order \(n\) with entries from \(\{0, S\}\). Since the entries in \(A\) are linear monomials in the \(x_i\), the \(A_i\)’s are disjoint. Since \(A\) is a SOD,

\[
AA^* = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k u_i x_i^2 \right) I_n, \tag{6.4}
\]

and so by using (6.3),

\[
x_1^2 A_1 A_1^* + \cdots + x_k^2 A_k A_k^* + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=i+1}^k x_i x_j (A_i A_j^* + A_j A_i^*) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k u_i x_i^2 \right) I_n. \tag{6.5}
\]

In the above equality, for each \(1 \leq i \leq k\), let \(x_i = 1\) and \(x_j = 0\) for all \(1 \leq j \leq k\) and \(j \neq i\), to get (6.1) and therefore (6.2).

On the other hand, if \(\{A_1, \ldots, A_k\}\) are pairwise disjoint square matrices of order
with entries from \(\{0, S\}\) which satisfy (6.1) and (6.2), then the left hand side of the equality (6.5) gives us (6.4). 

\[\text{Remark 6.13.} \] Equation (6.4) implies Equations (6.1) and (6.2). Multiply Equation (6.2) from the left by \(A^*_i\) and then from the right by \(A_i\) to get \(A^*_jA_i = -A^*_iA_j\) for \(1 \leq i \neq j \leq k\). Therefore, by Lemma 6.11,

\[
A^*A = x^2_1 A^*_1A_1 + \cdots + x^2_k A^*_kA_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} x_i x_j (A^*_iA_j + A^*_jA_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_i x_i^2\right) I_n.
\]

Thus, \(AA^* = A^*A\). It means that every signed group orthogonal design over a finite signed group is normal.

As a corollary to Theorem 6.12, we refer the reader to Proposition 2.11.

\[\text{Lemma 6.14 (}[8]\).} \] Suppose that \(A\) is a SOD over a signed group \(S\). Then

(i) Permutations of the rows or columns of \(A\) do not affect the orthogonality of \(A\).

(ii) Multiplication of each row or column of \(A\) by an element in \(S\) do not affect the orthogonality of \(A\).

The following lemma is shown in [5].

\[\text{Lemma 6.15.} \] There does not exist any full SOD of order \(n > 1\), if \(n\) is odd.

\[\text{Proof.} \] Assume that there is a full SOD of order \(n > 1\) over a signed group \(S\). Equating all variables to 1 in the SOD, one obtains a \(SH(n, S) = [h_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n\). From part (ii) of Lemma 6.14, we may multiply each column of the \(SH(n, S)\), from the right, by the inverse of corresponding entry of its first row, \(\overline{h}_{ij}\), to get an equivalent \(SH(n, S)\) with the first row all 1 (see [5, 6] for the definition of equivalence). By orthogonality of the rows of the \(SH(n, S)\), the number of occurrences of a given element \(s \in S\) in each subsequent row must be equal to the number of occurrences of \(-s\). Therefore, \(n\) has to be even. 

\[\square\]
Lemma 6.16. There exists no \( SOD(6; 3, 3) \) and no \( SOD(6; 2, 2, 2) \).

Proof. To show that there is no \( SOD(6; 3, 3) \), it suffices to prove that there is no \( SW(6, 3) \). Assume that \( A \) is a \( SW(6, 3) \) over a signed group \( S \). From Lemma 6.14, we may permute the rows and columns of \( A \) to obtain

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
* & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & & & & & \\
* & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Using orthogonality of the first and second rows and also the first and second columns of \( A_1 \) as in the proof of Lemma 6.15 and permuting the rows and columns of \( A_1 \), one obtains

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
* & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Finally, as in the proof of Lemma 6.15, orthogonality of the first and second rows with the third row of \( A_2 \) forces \( A_2 \) to be of the form
which contradicts orthogonality of the fifth and sixth columns of \( A_2 \). Therefore, there is no \( SOD(6; 3, 3) \).

Now suppose that \( B \) is a \( SOD(6; 2, 2, 2) \) over a signed group \( S \). By Lemma 6.14, if we permute the rows and columns of \( B \), then we get one of the following forms:

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \epsilon_{ij}, \gamma_{ij} \in S, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq 6 \). For the left matrix, consider \( \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{12} = 1 \), so as in the proof of Lemma 6.15, orthogonality of the first row with the second and third rows forces \( \epsilon_{21} = -\epsilon_{22} \) and \( \epsilon_{31} = -\epsilon_{32} \). Thus, the second and third rows will not be orthogonal, which is a contradiction.

For the right matrix, consider \( \gamma_{21} = \gamma_{22} = 1 \), so as in the proof of Lemma 6.15, orthogonality of the second row with the third and sixth rows forces \( \gamma_{31} = -\gamma_{32} \) and \( \gamma_{61} = -\gamma_{62} \). Thus, the third and sixth rows will not be orthogonal, which is a contradiction. Thus, there is no \( SOD(6; 2, 2, 2) \). \( \square \)
From Lemmas 6.15 and 6.16, we know that there do not exist $SOD(3; 1,1,1)$, $SOD(6; 2,2,2)$ and $SOD(9; 3,3,3)$. However, in the next section, we show that there exists a $SOD(12; 4,4,4)$ over some signed group. We will also show that for any $k$-tuple $(u_1,\ldots,u_k)$ of positive integers, there exists a $SOD(4u; 4u_1,\ldots,4u_k)$ over some signed group, where $u = u_1 + \cdots + u_k$.

### 6.3 Real and complex Golay pairs in two variables

Two complementary $(\pm 1)$-sequences are called **Golay sequences** or a **Golay pair**. The length of a Golay sequence is called a **Golay number**. We denote the set of all pairs of Golay sequences of length $\ell$ by $GP(\ell)$. As an example, $GP(1)$ has four pairs which are pairs of real units. $GP(2)$ has eight pairs which can be obtained from the pair $A = (1,1)$ and $B = (1,-)$ by replacing, reversing, or negating one of the sequences (see [8, 38]). Consider the following sequences:

\[
A_1 = (1,1,-,1,-,1,-,1,1) , \quad B_1 = (1,1,-,1,1,1,1,1,-,1) , \quad (6.6) \\
A_2 = (1,1,1,1,-,1,1,-,1,-,1,1,1,-,1,1,1,1,-,1,1,1) , \quad (6.7) \\
B_2 = (1,1,1,1,-,1,1,-,1,-,1,1,1,1,-,1,-,1,-,1,1,1,-,1,1,1) .
\]

Then the pair $(A_1; B_1)$ is a Golay pair of length 10, and the pair $(A_2; B_2)$ is a Golay pair of length 26.

**Definition 6.17.** The Kronecker product of two sequences $A = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $B = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m)$ is denoted by $A \otimes B$, and it is defined as follows

\[
A \otimes B = (a_1 B, a_2 B, \ldots, a_n B) \\
= (a_1 b_1, a_1 b_2, \ldots, a_1 b_m, a_2 b_1, a_2 b_2, \ldots, a_2 b_m, \ldots, a_n b_1, a_n b_2, \ldots, a_n b_m).
\]
The following theorem, described by Turyn [48], shows how a Golay pair of length \( mn \) can be constructed from Golay pairs of lengths \( m \) and \( n \).

**Theorem 6.18.** If \((A; B)\) is a Golay pair of length \( m \) and \((C; D)\) is a Golay pair of length \( n \), then

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2}(A + B) \otimes C + \frac{1}{2}(A - B) \otimes D_R; \frac{1}{2}(A + B) \otimes D - \frac{1}{2}(A - B) \otimes C_R \right)
\]

is a Golay pair of length \( mn \).

By using this theorem, all numbers of the form \( 2^a10^b26^c \) are Golay numbers. These are the only Golay numbers found until 2013. All Golay numbers up to 100 were considered in [3]. In [16], it is shown that no Golay number is divisible by a number congruent to 3 modulo 4.

We denote by \( GP(n; x, y) \), the set of all Golay pairs of length \( n \) such that each Golay pair has two variables \( x \) and \( y \) in such away that each variable appears \( \frac{n}{2} \) times in each of the sequences of the Golay pair, and also one sequence is quasireverse to the other. As an example, \((A; B) \in GP(2; x, y)\), where \( A = (x, y) \) and \( B = (y, -x) \).

We distinguish between the definition of \( GP(n; x, y) \) and \( GP(1; x, y) \). So if \( A = (x) \) and \( B = (y) \), then we say \((A; B) \in GP(1; x, y)\).

The Golay pairs of lengths 10 and 26 in (6.6) and (6.7) have the nice property that \( \frac{1}{2}(A_j + B_j) \) is quasireverse to \( \frac{1}{2}(A_j - B_j) \), \( j = 1, 2 \). We may modify Theorem 6.18 to show the following Theorem.

**Theorem 6.19.** Suppose that \((C; D)\) is a Golay pair of length \( n \) in two variables. Then

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2}(A + B) \otimes C + \frac{1}{2}(A - B) \otimes D_R; \frac{1}{2}(A + B) \otimes D - \frac{1}{2}(A - B) \otimes C_R \right)
\]
is a Golay pair of length \( mn \) in two variables, where \( A \) and \( B \) are one of the followings:

- \( m = 2 \), \( A = (1, 1) \) and \( B = (1, -1) \),
- \( m = 10 \), \( A = A_1 \) and \( B = B_1 \) in (6.6),
- \( m = 26 \), \( A = A_2 \) and \( B = B_2 \) in (6.7).

**Example 6.20.** Suppose that \((A_1; B_1)\) and \((A_2; B_2)\) are the Golay pairs in (6.6) and (6.7), and \( C = (x) \) and \( D = (y) \). Also, let \( A_3 = (1, 1) \) and \( B_3 = (1, -1) \). For \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), let

\[
C_j = \frac{1}{2}(A_j + B_j) \otimes C + \frac{1}{2}(A_j - B_j) \otimes D_R,
\]
\[
D_j = \frac{1}{2}(A_j + B_j) \otimes D - \frac{1}{2}(A_j - B_j) \otimes C_R.
\]

Therefore,

\[
C_1 = (x, x, -x, x, -y, x, -y, y, y), \quad D_1 = (y, y, y, y, x, x, -y, y, y),
\]
\[
C_2 = (x, x, x, x, -x, x, -x, x, -y, x, -y, y, y, y), \quad D_2 = (y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y, y),
\]
\[
C_3 = (x, y), \quad D_3 = (y, -x).
\]

We observe that for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), \( \text{abs}(C_j) = \text{abs}(D_j) \). Thus, \((C_1; D_1) \in GP(10; x, y)\), \((C_2; D_2) \in GP(26; x, y)\) and \((C_3; D_3) \in GP(2; x, y)\).

Using Theorem 6.19 and induction with the initial Golay pairs \((C_j; D_j)\), \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), in the above example, one has the following.

**Corollary 6.21.** The set \( GP(n; x, y) \) is not empty for each \( n = 2^a 10^b 26^c \), where \( a, b \) and \( c \) are non-negative integers.
Two complementary \((\pm 1, \pm i)\)-sequences are called \textit{complex Golay sequences} or a \textit{complex Golay pair}. The length of a complex Golay sequence is called a \textit{complex Golay number}. We denote the set of all pairs of Golay sequences of length \(\ell\) by \(\text{CGP}(\ell)\).

If \((A; B)\) is a complex Golay pair, then \((xA; xB)\) is called a \textit{complex Golay pair in one variable}, where \(x\) is a variable. The following complex Golay sequences of length \(p\) are known.

\[
\begin{align*}
p &= 2; & A &= (1, 1), & B &= (1, -), \\
p &= 3; & A_1 &= (1, 1, -), & B_1 &= (1, i, 1), \\
p &= 5; & A_2 &= (i, i, 1, -), & B_2 &= (i, 1, i, -), \\
p &= 11; & A_3 &= (i, i, -1, -, i, i, -i, i, 1), & B_3 &= (1, 1, 1, i, 1, i, -1, -), \\
p &= 13; & A_4 &= (1, 1, 1, i, -1, 1, 1, i, 1, -1, 1, i), & B_4 &= (1, i, -, -, i, -, 1, 1, i, -1, 1, i),
\end{align*}
\]

Complex Golay sequences of lengths 11 and 13 were found in [6, 22]. We extended the group \(\{\pm 1, \pm i\}\) to the group of eighth roots of unity, i.e,

\[
S = \left\{ e^{k\pi i}; \ 0 \leq k < 8, \ k \text{ is an integer} \right\},
\]

and by an exhaustive computer search could not find any Golay sequences over \(S\) of lengths 7 and 9.

Some constructions that work for Golay sequences can be generalized to the complex case.

\textbf{Theorem 6.22 ([4])}. If \((A; B)\) is a complex Golay pair of length \(m\) and \((C; D)\) is a
complex Golay pair of length \( n \), then

\[
\left( (A \otimes C, B \otimes D_R); (A \otimes D, -B \otimes C_R) \right)
\]

is a complex Golay pair of length \( 2mn \). Moreover, if \( A \) and \( B \) are real, then

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2}(A + B) \otimes C + \frac{1}{2}(A - B) \otimes D_R; \frac{1}{2}(A + B) \otimes D - \frac{1}{2}(A - B) \otimes C_R \right)
\]

is a complex Golay pair of length \( mn \).

Craigen, Holzmann and Kharaghani in [6] showed that if \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) are complex Golay numbers and \( g \) is a Golay number, then \( gg_1g_2 \) is a complex Golay number. Using this, they showed the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.23.** All numbers of the form \( m = 2^a+u3^b5^c11^d13^e \) are complex Golay numbers, where \( a, b, c, d, e \) and \( u \) are non-negative integers such that \( u \leq c + e \) and \( b + c + d + e \leq a + 2u + 1 \).

By an exhaustive computer search in [6], they could also show that there is no complex Golay pair of lengths 7, 9, 15, 17, 19 and 21. However, other complex Golay numbers may exist.

We use \( CGP(n; x, y) \) to denote the set of all complex Golay pairs of length \( n \) such that each pair has two variables \( x \) and \( y \) such that each variable appears \( \frac{n}{2} \) times in each sequence of the complex Golay pair, and also one sequence is quasireverse to the other. \( CGP(n; x, y) \) is well defined when \( n > 1 \) is an even number. From Theorem 6.22, we have the following.

**Lemma 6.24.** Assume that \( (A; B) \) is a complex Golay pair of length \( m \). Then

\[
((xA, yB); (yA, -xB))
\]

is a complex Golay pair of length \( 2m \) in variables \( x \) and \( y \).

From Theorem 6.23 and Lemma 6.24, we have the following result.
Corollary 6.25. The set $CGP(n; x, y)$ is not empty for each $n = 2^{a_u+1}3^b5^c11^d13^e$ where $a, b, c, d, e$ and $u$ are non-negative integers such that $b + c + d + e \leq a + 2u + 1$ and $u \leq c + e$.

6.4 Some applications of signed group orthogonal designs

In this section, we adapt the methods of I. Livinsky [38] to obtain generalizations and improvements of his results about Hadamard matrices in the much more general setting of orthogonal designs.

Suppose that we have a remrep $\pi : S \rightarrow SP_m$. We extend this remrep to a ring homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{R}[S] \rightarrow M_m[\mathbb{R}]$ linearly by

$$\pi(r_1s_1 + \cdots + r_ns_n) = r_1\pi(s_1) + \cdots + r_n\pi(s_n).$$

Since for every matrix $A \in SP_m$ we have $A^{-1} = A^t$, for every $s \in S$ we have $\pi(\bar{s}) = \pi(s)^{-1} = \pi(s)^t$. In the following Theorem, we show how one can obtain OD’s from SOD’s by using remreps.

Theorem 6.26. Suppose that there exists a $SOD(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ for some signed group $S$ equipped with a remrep $\pi$ of degree $m$, where $m$ is the order of a Hadamard matrix. Then there is an $OD(mn; mu_1, \ldots, mu_k)$.

Proof. Suppose there exists a $SOD(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ for some signed group $S$. By Theorem 6.12, there are pairwise disjoint matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ of order $n$ with entries in
such that

\[ A_\alpha A_\alpha^* = u_\alpha I_n, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq k, \quad (6.8) \]
\[ A_\alpha A_\beta^* = -A_\beta A_\alpha^*, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq k. \quad (6.9) \]

Let \( \pi : S \to SP_m \) be a remrep of degree \( m \), and \( H \) be a Hadamard matrix of degree \( m \). Also, for each \( 1 \leq \alpha \leq k \), let

\[ B_\alpha = \left[ \pi(A_\alpha[i,j])H \right]_{i,j=1}^n. \]

By Proposition 2.11, it is sufficient to show that \( B_\alpha \)'s are pairwise disjoint matrices of order \( mn \), with \( \{0, \pm 1\} \) entries such that

\[ B_\alpha B_\alpha^t = mu_\alpha I_{mn}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq k, \quad (6.10) \]
\[ B_\alpha B_\beta^t = -B_\beta B_\alpha^t, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq k. \quad (6.11) \]

Since \( A_\alpha \)'s are pairwise disjoint, so are \( B_\alpha \)'s. Let \( 1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq k \) and \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \). Then

\[ (B_\alpha B_\beta^t)[i,j] = \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(A_\alpha[i,k])HH^t\pi(A_\beta[j,k])^t \]
\[ = m \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(A_\alpha[i,k])\pi(A_\beta[j,k]) \]
\[ = m\pi\left( \sum_{k=1}^n A_\alpha[i,k]A_\beta[j,k] \right) \]
\[ = m\pi\left( A_\alpha A_\beta^* \right)[i,j] \quad (6.12) \]
\[ = m\pi\left( -A_\beta A_\alpha^* \right)[i,j] \quad \text{from } (6.9) \]
\[ = -m\pi\left( A_\beta A_\alpha^* \right)[i,j] \quad (6.13) \]
On the other hand, similarly,

\[
(B_\beta B_\alpha^t)[i, j] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi(A_\beta[i, k]) HH^t \pi(A_\alpha[j, k])^t
\]

\[
= m \sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi(A_\beta[i, k]) \pi(A_\alpha[j, k])
\]

\[
= m \pi \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_\beta[i, k] A_\alpha[j, k] \right)
\]

\[
= m \pi \left( (A_\beta A_\alpha^*)[i, j] \right).
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.14)

Thus, (6.13) and (6.14) are equal, which proves (6.11). If \( \alpha = \beta \) in (6.12), then for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \),

\[
(B_\alpha B_\alpha^t)[i, j] = m \pi \left( (A_\alpha A_\alpha^*)[i, j] \right)
\]

\[
= m \pi(\gamma_{ij} u_\alpha 1_I)
\]  \hspace{1cm} \text{from (6.8)}

\[
= m \gamma_{ij} u_\alpha I_m,
\]

where \( \gamma_{ij} = 1 \) if \( i = j \), and 0 otherwise. Whence (6.10) follows. \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.27.** If there is a COD \((n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)\), then there is an OD \((2n; 2u_1, \ldots, 2u_k)\).

**Proof.** A COD \((n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)\) can be viewed as a SOD \((n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)\) over the complex signed group \(S_C\). It can be seen that \( \pi : S_C \to SP_2 \) defined by

\[
i \to R = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is a remrep of degree 2, and so by Theorem 6.26, there exists an OD \((2n; 2u_1, \ldots, 2u_k)\). \( \square \)
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Corollary 6.28. If there is a $QOD(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$, then there is an $OD(4n; 4u_1, \ldots, 4u_k)$.

Proof. A $QOD(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ can be viewed as a $SOD(n; u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ over the Quaternion signed group $S_Q$. It can be seen that $\pi : S_Q \to SP_4$ defined by

$$j \mapsto R \otimes I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad k \mapsto P \otimes R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is a remrep of degree 4, and so by Theorem 6.26, there exists an $OD(4n; 4u_1, \ldots, 4u_k)$.

Following similar techniques in [5, 7, 38], we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.29. Suppose that $A$ and $B$ are two disjoint circulant matrices of order $d$ with entries from $\{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\}$, where the $x_\ell$'s are variables, $\epsilon_\ell \in S$ ($1 \leq \ell \leq k$) for $A$ and $\epsilon_\ell \in Z(S)$, the center of $S$, ($1 \leq \ell \leq k$) for $B$. Also, assume $A$ is normal.

If

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} A + B & A - B \\ A^* - B^* & -A^* - B^* \end{bmatrix},$$

then $CC^* = C^*C = 2I_2 \otimes (AA^* + BB^*)$.

Moreover, if $A$ and $B$ are both quasisymmetric and $S$ has a faithful remrep of degree $m$, then there exists a circulant quasisymmetric normal matrix $D$ of order $d$ with entries from $\{0, \epsilon'_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon'_k x_k\}$ and the same support as $A+B$ such that $DD^* = AA^* + BB^*$, where $\epsilon'_\ell \in S'$ ($1 \leq \ell \leq k$), and $S' \geq S$ is a signed group having a faithful remrep of degree $2m$.

Proof. It may be verified directly that $CC^* = C^*C = 2I_2 \otimes (AA^* + BB^*)$. To find matrix $D$, first reorder the rows and columns of $C$ to get matrix $D_0$ which is a
partitioned matrix of order $2d$ into $2 \times 2$ blocks whose entries are the \((i, j), (i + d, j), (i, j + d)\) and \((i + d, j + d)\) entries of $C$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Applying the same reordering to $2I_2 \otimes (AA^* + BB^*)$, one obtains $(AA^* + BB^*) \otimes 2I_2$. Since $A$ and $B$ are disjoint and quasisymmetric, each non-zero block of $D_0$ will have one of the following forms

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_i x_i & \epsilon_i x_i \\
\epsilon_j x_i & -\epsilon_j x_i
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{or} \quad
\begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_i x_i & -\epsilon_i x_i \\
\epsilon_j x_i & \epsilon_j x_i
\end{bmatrix},
$$

where $\epsilon_t \in S$. Multiplying $D_0$ on the right by \(\frac{1}{2}I_d \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\) yields a matrix $D_1$ of order $2d$ with entries from \(\{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\}\) whose non-zero $2 \times 2$ blocks have one of the forms $A_i x_i$ or $B_i x_i$, where

$$
A_i = \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_i & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon_j
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad B_i = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \epsilon_i \\
\epsilon_j & 0
\end{bmatrix},
$$

and such that $D_1 D_1^* = D_1^* D_1 = (AA^* + BB^*) \otimes I_2$. The $A_i$’s and $B_i$’s in (6.15) form another signed group, $S'$. Now matrices of the form

$$
\epsilon \otimes I_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \epsilon \in S,
$$

form a signed subgroup of $S'$ which is isomorphic to $S$. Therefore, one can identify this signed subgroup with $S$ itself and consider $S'$ as an extension of $S$. Replacing every $2 \times 2$ block of $D_1$ which is one the forms in (6.15) or zero with corresponding $\epsilon'_i x_i$, $\epsilon'_i \in S'$ or zero, gives the required matrix $D$. Note that we identify $\epsilon \otimes I_2 \in S'$ with $\epsilon \in S$.

Now if $\pi : S \to SP'_m \leq SP_m$ is a faithful remrep of degree $m$, then it can be
verified directly that the map \( \pi' : S' \to SP'_{2m} \leq SP_{2m} \) which is uniquely defined by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_i & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon_j
\end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix}
\pi(\epsilon_i) & 0_m \\
0_m & \pi(\epsilon_j)
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & \epsilon_i \\
\epsilon_j & 0
\end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix}
0_m & \pi(\epsilon_i) \\
\pi(\epsilon_j) & 0_m
\end{bmatrix},
\]

is a faithful remrep of degree \( 2m \), where \( 0_m \) denotes the zero matrix of order \( m \).

Finally, since \( A \) and \( B \) are circulant, \( C \) consists of four circulant blocks, so \( D_0 \) and \( D_1 \) are block-circulant with block size \( 2 \times 2 \); whence \( D \) is circulant and quasisymmetric.

We now use Lemma 6.29, and follow similar techniques in [5, 38] to show the following Theorem.

**Theorem 6.30.** Suppose that \( B_1, \ldots, B_n \) are disjoint quasisymmetric circulant matrices of order \( d \) with entries from \( \{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\} \), where \( \epsilon_\ell \in S_C \), and the \( x_\ell \)'s are variables (\( 1 \leq \ell \leq k \)), such that

\[
B_1B_1^* + \cdots + B_nB_n^* = \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^k u_\ell x_\ell^2 \right) I_d,
\]

where the \( u_\ell \)'s are positive integers. Then there exists a quasisymmetric circulant \( SOD(d; u_1, \ldots, u_k) \) for a signed group \( S \) that admits a faithful remrep of degree \( 2^n \).

**Proof.** \( S_C \) has a faithful remrep \( \pi : S_C \to SP'_2 \leq SP_2 \) of degree 2 uniquely determined by \( \pi(i) = R \), where \( SP'_2 = \langle R; \ R^2 = -I \rangle \). Applying Lemma 6.29 to matrices \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \), one obtains a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix \( A_1 \) of order \( d \) with entries from \( \{0, \epsilon_1^{(1)} x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k^{(1)} x_k\} \), where \( \epsilon_\ell^{(1)} \in S_1 \) (\( 1 \leq \ell \leq k \)) such that \( S_1 \geq S_C \) is a signed group with a faithful remrep of degree \( 2^2 \). Also, \( A_1A_1^* = B_1B_1^* + B_2B_2^* \). Since \( \text{supp}(A_1) \) is the union of \( \text{supp}(B_1) \) and \( \text{supp}(B_2) \), \( A_1 \) is disjoint from \( B_3, \ldots, B_n \).

Suppose that one has constructed a circulant quasisymmetric normal matrix \( A_r \)
of order $d$ with entries from \( \{0, \epsilon_1^{(r)} x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k^{(r)} x_k\} \), where \( \epsilon_\ell^{(r)} \in S_r \ (1 \leq \ell \leq k) \) such that \( S_r \geq S_{r-1} \) is a signed group with a faithful remrep \( \pi_r : S_r \to SP'_{2^{r+1}} \leq SP_{2^{r+1}} \) of degree \( 2^{r+1} \). Moreover, \( A_r \) is disjoint from \( B_{r+2}, \ldots, B_n \) and

\[
A_r A_r^* = B_1 B_1^* + \cdots + B_{r+1} B_{r+1}^*.
\]

By the assumption, \( B_{r+2} \) is a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix with entries from \( \{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\} \), where \( \epsilon_\ell \in S_C \ (1 \leq \ell \leq k) \). One can view the \( \epsilon_\ell \)'s as elements in \( Z(S_r) \) because we identified these elements as blocks \( \pm I_{2^r} \otimes R \) and \( \pm I_{2^{r+1}} \) in the proof of Lemma 6.29 which commute with \( \pi_r(\epsilon_\ell^{(r)}) \), \( 1 \leq \ell \leq k \). Therefore, by Lemma 6.29, there is a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix \( A_{r+1} \) with entries from \( \{0, \epsilon_1^{(r+1)} x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k^{(r+1)} x_k\} \), where \( \epsilon_\ell^{(r+1)} \in S_{r+1} \ (1 \leq \ell \leq k) \) such that \( S_{r+1} \geq S_r \) is a signed group with a faithful remrep of degree \( 2^{r+2} \). Also,

\[
A_{r+1} A_{r+1}^* = A_r A_r^* + B_{r+2} B_{r+2}^* = B_1 B_1^* + \cdots + B_{r+1} B_{r+1}^* + B_{r+2} B_{r+2}^*,
\]

and by the same argument \( A_{r+1} \) is disjoint from \( B_{r+3}, \ldots, B_n \).

Applying this procedure \( n - 2 \) times, there is a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix \( A_{n-1} \) of order \( d \) such that

\[
A_{n-1} A_{n-1}^* = B_1 B_1^* + \cdots + B_n B_n^* = \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^k u_\ell x_\ell^2 \right) I_d,
\]

which is a circulant quasisymmetric \( \text{SOD}(d; u_1, \ldots, u_k) \) with the signed group \( S = S_{n-1} \geq S_{n-2} \geq \cdots \geq S_C \) that admits a faithful remrep of degree \( 2^n \). \( \square \)

**Remark 6.31.** The circulant matrices in Theorem 6.30 are taken on the abelian signed group \( S_C \); however, if the signed group is not abelian, the circulant matrices that obtain from Lemma 6.29 do not necessarily commute, and Theorem 6.30 may
fail. As an example, if \( B_1 = \text{circ}(j, 0) \) and \( B_2 = \text{circ}(0, k) \), where \( j, k \in S_Q \), then since \( jk = -kj \), \( B_1 B_2 \neq B_2 B_1 \). Therefore, Lemma 6.29 does not apply in this case.

**Theorem 6.32.** Suppose that \( B_1, \ldots, B_n \) are disjoint quasisymmetric circulant matrices of order \( d \) with entries from \( \{0, \epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k x_k\} \), where \( \epsilon_\ell \in S_R \), and the \( x_\ell \)'s are variables \((1 \leq \ell \leq k)\), such that

\[
B_1 B_1^* + \cdots + B_n B_n^* = \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^k u_\ell x_\ell^2 \right) I_d,
\]

where the \( u_\ell \)'s are positive integers. Then there exists a circulant quasisymmetric \( SOD(d; u_1, \ldots, u_k) \) for a signed group \( S \) that admits a faithful remrep of degree \( 2^n - 1 \).

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Theorem 6.30, but in here since \( S_R \) has the trivial remrep of degree 1, the final signed group \( S \) will have a remrep of degree \( 2^n - 1 \). \( \square \)

**Example 6.33.** We explain how to use Theorem 6.32 to find a \( SOD(12; 4, 4, 4) \) for a signed group \( S \) that admits a remrep of degree 8. Consider the following disjoint quasisymmetric circulant matrices of order 12:

\[ B_1 = \text{circ}(a, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, a, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \]
\[ B_2 = \text{circ}(0, 0, 0, a, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -a, 0, 0), \]
\[ B_3 = \text{circ}(0, b, c, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, c, -b), \]
\[ B_4 = \text{circ}(0, 0, 0, 0, c, -b, 0, -b, -c, 0, 0, 0). \]

Thus, \( B_1 B_1^* + B_2 B_2^* + B_3 B_3^* + B_4 B_4^* = (4a^2 + 4b^2 + 4c^2) I_{12} \). Apply Lemma 6.29 to \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) to get a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix of order 12:

\[ A_1 = \text{circ}(1a, 0, 0, 0, a, 0, 0, 1a, 0, 0, -\delta a, 0, 0), \]
where $\delta$ is in the signed group of order 2:

$$S_1 = \langle -1, \delta; \delta^2 = 1 \rangle$$

which admits a remrep of degree 2 uniquely determined by $1 \to I_2$ and $\delta \to P$. Since $B_1$ and $B_2$ are complementary, it follows that $A_1A_1^* = 4a^2I_{12}$.

Applying Lemma 6.29 again to $A_1$ and $B_3$, there is a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix of order 12:

$$A_1 = \text{circ}(1a, \gamma_1 b, \gamma_2 c, \gamma_3 a, 0, 0, 1a, 0, 0, -\gamma_3 a, \gamma_2 c, -\gamma_1 b),$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ belong to the signed group of order $2^3$:

$$S_2 = \left\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; \gamma_1^2 = -\gamma_2^2 = \gamma_3^2 = 1, \alpha \beta = -\beta \alpha; \alpha, \beta \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\} \right\rangle,$$

with a remrep of degree 4 which is uniquely determined by

$$\gamma_1 \to P \otimes I_2, \quad \gamma_2 \to R \otimes I_2, \quad \gamma_3 \to Q \otimes P.$$

Note that $A_2$ is not a SOD because $B_1, B_2$ and $B_3$ are not complementary.

Finally, apply Lemma 6.29 to $A_2$ and $B_4$ to get a quasisymmetric normal circulant matrix of order 12:

$$A_3 = \text{circ}(1a, \epsilon_1 b, \epsilon_2 c, \epsilon_3 a, \epsilon_4 c, -\epsilon_5 b, 1a, -\epsilon_5 b, -\epsilon_4 c, -\epsilon_3 a, \epsilon_2 c, -\epsilon_1 b),$$

where $\epsilon_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq 5$ belong to the signed group of order $2^5$:

$$S = \left\langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5; \epsilon_1^2 = -\epsilon_2^2 = \epsilon_3^2 = \epsilon_4^2 = -\epsilon_5^2 = 1, \alpha \beta = -\beta \alpha; \alpha, \beta \in \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5\} \right\rangle,$$
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with a remrep of degree 8 which is uniquely determined by

\[ \epsilon_1 \to Q \otimes P \otimes I_2, \quad \epsilon_2 \to Q \otimes R \otimes I_2, \quad \epsilon_3 \to Q \otimes Q \otimes P, \quad \epsilon_4 \to P \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2, \quad \epsilon_5 \to R \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2. \]

Therefore, \( A_3 \) is a quasisymmetric circulant \( SOD(12; 4, 4, 4) \). By Theorem 6.26, there is an \( OD(8 \cdot 12; 8 \cdot 4, 8 \cdot 4, 8 \cdot 4) \).

For \( u \) a positive integer, denote by \( \ell c(u) \) the least number of complex Golay numbers that add up to \( u \). Let \( \ell c(0) = 0 \). Also, denote by \( \ell'c(u) \) the least number of complex Golay numbers in two variables that add up to \( u \). Indeed, \( \ell'c(2u) \leq \ell c(u) \). Note that Lemma 6.24 insures the existence of a complex Golay pair in two variables of length \( 2m \) if there exists a complex Golay pair of length \( m \).

In the following lemma, we show how to use complex Golay pair and complex Golay pairs in two variables to construct SODs.

**Lemma 6.34.** Let \( (1, v_1, \ldots, v_q, w_1, w_1, \ldots, w_t, w_t) \) be a sequence of positive integers, where \( 1 \leq v_1 < \cdots < v_q \) and let

\[ 1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} v_\beta + 2 \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} w_\delta = u. \]

Then there exists a full circulant quasisymmetric

\[ SOD(4u; 4, 4v_1, \ldots, 4v_q, 4w_1, 4w_1, \ldots, 4w_t, 4w_t) \]

for some signed group \( S \) that admits a remrep of degree \( 2^n \), where

\[ n \leq 2 + 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} \ell c(v_\beta) + 2 \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} \ell c(w_\delta). \]

**Proof.** For each \( \beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq q \), and each \( \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \ell c(v_\beta) \), let \( (A[\alpha, v_\beta]; B[\alpha, v_\beta]) \) be
a complex Golay pair in one variable, \( x_\beta \), of length \( V[\alpha, v_\beta] \). From the definition of \( \ell c(v_\beta) \), for each \( \beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq q \), \( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell c(v_\beta)} V[\alpha, v_\beta] = v_\beta \). Let

\[
S[\alpha, \beta] := \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-1} V[i, v_\beta] + \sum_{j=1}^{\beta-1} v_j.
\]

Also, for each \( \delta, 1 \leq \delta \leq t \), and each \( \gamma, 1 \leq \gamma \leq \ell' c(2w_\delta) \), let \( (C[\gamma, w_\delta]; D[\gamma, w_\delta]) \) be a complex Golay pair of length \( W[\gamma, w_\delta] \) in two variables \( y_\delta \) and \( z_\delta \). From the definition of \( \ell' c(2w_\delta) \), for each \( \delta, 1 \leq \delta \leq t \), \( \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\gamma-1} W[\gamma, w_\delta] = 2w_\delta \). Let

\[
S'[\gamma, \delta] := \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma-1} W[i, w_\delta] + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\delta-1} w_j.
\]

For each \( \beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq q \), and each \( \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \ell c(v_\beta) \), and for each \( \delta, 1 \leq \delta \leq t \) and each \( \gamma, 1 \leq \gamma \leq \ell' c(2w_\delta) \), the following are \( n = 2 + 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} \ell c(v_\beta) + 2 \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} \ell' c(2w_\delta) \) circulant matrices of order 4u:

\[
M_1 = \text{circ}
\left( x, 0_{(2u-1)}, x, 0_{(2u-1)} \right),
\]

\[
M_2 = \text{circ}
\left( 0(u), -x, 0_{(2u-1)}, x, 0_{(u-1)} \right),
\]

\[
X_{\alpha\beta} = \text{circ}
\left( 0_{(S[\alpha, \beta]+1)}, A[\alpha, v_\beta], 0_{(4u-2S[\alpha+1, \beta]-1)}, B[\alpha, v_\beta], 0_{(S[\alpha, \beta])} \right),
\]

\[
Y_{\alpha\beta} = \text{circ}
\left( 0_{(2u-S[\alpha+1, \beta])}, -B[\alpha, v_\beta], 0_{(2S[\alpha, \beta]+1)}, A[\alpha, v_\beta], 0_{(2u-S[\alpha+1, \beta]-1)} \right),
\]

\[
Z_{\gamma\delta} = \text{circ}
\left( 0_{(v+S[\gamma, \delta]+1)}, C[\gamma, w_\delta], 0_{(4u-2v-2S[\gamma+1, \delta]-1)}, D[\gamma, w_\delta], 0_{(v+S[\gamma, \delta])} \right),
\]

\[
T_{\gamma\delta} = \text{circ}
\left( 0_{(2u-v-S[\gamma+1, \delta])}, -D[\gamma, w_\delta], 0_{(2S[\gamma, \delta]+2v+1)}, C[\gamma, w_\delta], 0_{(2u-v-S[\gamma+1, \delta]-1)} \right).
\]

It can be directly seen that the above circulant matrices are disjoint and quasisymmetric such that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} M_i M_i^* + \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell c(v_{\beta})} (X_{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha\beta}^* + Y_{\alpha\beta} Y_{\alpha\beta}^*) + \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\ell c(2w_{\delta})} (Z_{\gamma\delta} Z_{\gamma\delta}^* + T_{\gamma\delta} T_{\gamma\delta}^*)
= 4 \left( x^2 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} (v_{\beta} x_{\beta}^2) + \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} (w_{\delta} y_{\delta}^2 + w_{\delta} z_{\delta}^2) \right) I_{4u}.
\]

Thus, by Theorem 6.30, there exists a full circulant quasisymmetric

\[ SOD(4u; 4, 4v_1, \ldots, 4v_q, 4w_1, 4w_1, \ldots, 4w_t, 4w_t) \]

for a signed group \( S \) which admits a remrep of degree \( 2^n \), where

\[
n = 2 + 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} \ell c(v_{\beta}) + 2 \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} \ell c(2w_{\delta}) \leq 2 + 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^{q} \ell c(v_{\beta}) + 2 \sum_{\delta=1}^{t} \ell c(w_{\delta}).
\]

\[ \square \]

**Example 6.35.** Consider the 4-tuple \((1, v_1, v_2, v_3) = (1, 5, 7, 17)\). By Lemma 6.34, there is a circulant quasisymmetric

\( SOD(4 \cdot 30; 4 \cdot 1, 4 \cdot 5, 4 \cdot 7, 4 \cdot 17) \),

which admits a remrep of degree \( 2^n \), where \( n = 2 + 2 \ell c(5) + 2 \ell c(7) + 2 \ell c(17) = 2 + 2 + 4 + 4 = 12 \). By Theorem 6.26, there is an

\( OD(2^{14} \cdot 30; 2^{14} \cdot 1, 2^{14} \cdot 5, 2^{14} \cdot 7, 2^{14} \cdot 17) \).

Therefore, for the 4-tuple \((1, 5, 7, 17)\), we found an integer \( m, m = 14 \), such that there is an \( OD(2^m \cdot 30; 2^m \cdot 1, 2^m \cdot 5, 2^m \cdot 7, 2^m \cdot 17) \). In Example 5.9, it can be seen that \( m = 17 \).
Example 6.36. Let \((1, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5) = (1, 3, 3, 5, 5, 11, 11, 13, 13)\). By Lemma 6.34, there is a circulant quasisymmetric

\[
SOD(4 \cdot 65; 4 \cdot 1, 4 \cdot 3(2), 4 \cdot 5(2), 4 \cdot 11(2), 4 \cdot 13(2)),
\]

which admits a remrep of degree \(2^n\), where \(n = 2 + 2\ell c(3) + 2\ell c(5) + 2\ell c(11) + 2\ell c(13) = 10\). By Theorem 6.26, there is an

\[
OD\left(2^{12} \cdot 65; 2^{12} \cdot 1, 2^{12} \cdot 3(2), 2^{12} \cdot 5(2), 2^{12} \cdot 11(2), 2^{12} \cdot 13(2)\right).
\]

Remark 6.37. Applying Proposition 5.2 to any sequence \((b, a_1, \ldots, a_k)\) of positive integers, gives a full orthogonal design of type

\[
\left(2^m \cdot 1(b), 2^m \cdot 2^{a_1(4)}, \ldots, 2^m \cdot 2^{a_k(4)}\right),
\]

where \(m = 4k + b + 2\) if \(b\) is odd, and \(m = 4k + b + 3\) if \(b\) is even.

For the sequence \((1(b), 2^{a_1(4)}, \ldots, 2^{a_k(4)})\), if we use Golay pairs constructed in Lemma 6.6 in the proof of Lemma 6.34, and apply Theorem 6.26, then we obtain the same \(m\).

As an example, for the 3-tuple \((1, 1, 1)\) both methods give \(OD\left(2^5 \cdot 3; 2^5 \cdot 1, 2^5 \cdot 1, 2^5 \cdot 1\right)\).

See Examples 5.13 and 6.33. Note that for Golay pairs, the degree of the remrep in Lemma 6.34 would be \(2^{n-1}\) not \(2^n\). Using Golay pairs, we apply Lemma 6.34 to the 6-tuple \((1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)\). So, by Theorem 6.26, there is an \(OD\left(2^9 \cdot 6; 2^9 \cdot 1(6)\right)\).

However, in Example 5.13, using amicable orthogonal designs, we showed that there is an \(OD\left(2^5 \cdot 6; 2^5 \cdot 1(6)\right)\).

Theorem 6.38. Suppose that \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\) is a \(k\)-tuple of positive integers and let \(u_1 + \cdots + u_k = u\). Assume \(j\) is such that \(\ell c(u_j) - \ell c(u_j - 1)\) is maximum possible. Then there is a full circulant quasisymmetric
\[ SOD(4u; 4u_1, 4u_2, \ldots, 4u_k) \]

for some signed group \( S \) that admits a remrep of degree \( 2^n \), where

\[
n = 2 + 2\ell c(u_j - 1) + 2 \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^k \ell c(u_i).
\]

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 6.34 to the \((k + 1)\)-tuple \((1, u_1, \ldots, u_{j-1}, u_j - 1, u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_k)\).

For \( u \) a positive integer, denote by \( \ell r(u) \), the least number of Golay numbers that add up to \( u \). Let \( \ell r(0) = 0 \).

Using Golay pairs, we have a similar result to Theorem 6.38.

**Corollary 6.39.** Suppose that \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\) is a \( k \)-tuple of positive integers and let \( u_1 + \cdots + u_k = u \). Assume \( j \) is such that \( \ell c(u_j) - \ell c(u_j - 1) \) is maximum possible. Then there exists a full circulant quasisymmetric

\[ SOD(4u; 4u_1, 4u_2, \ldots, 4u_k) \]

for some signed group \( S \) that admits a remrep of degree \( 2^{n-1} \), where

\[
n = 2 + 2\ell r(u_j - 1) + 2 \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^k \ell r(u_i).
\]

We define \( \log 0 = 0 \), and whenever we write \( \log a \), we mean \( \log_2 a \).

Let \( sr(n) \) be the smallest positive integer that is not the sum of at most \( n \) Golay numbers of the form \( 2^a10^b26^c \), where \( a, b \) and \( c \) are nonnegative integers. Also, let \( gr(n) \) be the greatest Golay number of the same form not exceeding \( sr(n) \).
The following table is obtained by Ivan Livinskyi [38].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>sr(n)</th>
<th>gr(n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11999</td>
<td>10816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>637399</td>
<td>562432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>233963479</td>
<td>224972800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let $u$ be a positive integer. We follow a similar technique in [38] to obtain upper bounds for $\ell r(u)$ and $\ell c(u)$. Writing the expansion of $u$ to the base $gr(n)$, one gets

$$u = a_0 + a_1(gr(n)) + a_2(gr(n))^2 + \cdots + a_m(gr(n))^m,$$

where $0 \leq a_i < gr(n) \ (0 \leq i \leq m)$ and $m = \lfloor \log_{gr(n)} u \rfloor$. Since each $a_i \ (0 \leq i \leq m)$ is sum of at most $n$ Golay numbers, $u$ is a sum of at most $n\lfloor \log_{gr(n)} u \rfloor + n$ Golay numbers. Therefore,

$$\ell r(u) \leq n\lfloor \log_{gr(n)} u \rfloor + n.$$

As an example, $\ell r(u) \leq 3\lfloor \log_{32} u \rfloor + 3 \leq \frac{3}{5}\log u + 3$. If one takes the base $gr(7)$, then a better bound for $\ell r(u)$ is obtained, i.e,

$$\ell r(u) \leq 7\lfloor \log_{224972800} u \rfloor + 7 < 0.2525 \log u + 7.$$

To get a better upper bound for the degree of remrep for any $k$-tuple $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)$ of positive integers, from now on, we assume that $\ell r(u_1) - \ell r(u_1 - 1)$ is greater than or equal to $\ell r(u_i) - \ell r(u_i - 1)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq k$.

For any $k$-tuple $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)$ of positive integers, write the expansion of $u_i$'s to the base $gr(7)$, to obtain the following upper bound for the degree of remrep in Corollary 6.39.
Corollary 6.40. Suppose that \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\) is a \(k\)-tuple of positive integers and let \(u_1 + \cdots + u_k = u\). Then there is a full circulant quasisymmetric

\[ SOD(4u; 4u_1, 4u_2, \ldots, 4u_k) \]

for some signed group \(S\) that admits a remrep of degree \(2^{n-1}\), where

\[ n \leq 0.505 \log(u_1 - 1) + 0.505 \sum_{i=2}^{k} \log(u_i) + 14k + 2. \]

By a computer search, each positive integer \(u\) is presented as sum of at most \(3 \lfloor \log_{26} u \rfloor + 4\) complex Golay numbers of the form mentioned in Theorem 6.23 [38]. Thus

\[ \ell_c(u) \leq 3 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{26} \log u \right\rfloor + 4 \leq \frac{3}{26} \log u + 4. \quad (6.16) \]

Using this and Theorem 6.38, one has the following upper bound for the degree of remrep.

Corollary 6.41. Suppose that \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\) is a \(k\)-tuple of positive integers and let \(u_1 + \cdots + u_k = u\). Then there is a full circulant quasisymmetric

\[ SOD(4u; 4u_1, 4u_2, \ldots, 4u_k) \]

for some signed group \(S\) that admits a remrep of degree \(2^n\), where

\[ n \leq \frac{3}{13} \log(u_1 - 1) + \frac{3}{13} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \log(u_i) + 8k + 2. \]

Remark 6.42. One may present any given \(k\)-tuple \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\) of positive integers as a \((k+1)\)-tuple \((1, u_1 - 1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\), and then sort its elements to get the \((k+1)\)-
tuple \((1, v_1, \ldots, v_q, w_1, w_1, \ldots, w_t, w_t)\), where \(1 \leq v_1 < \cdots < v_q\). Thus, from Theorem 6.38,

\[
n = 2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{q} \ell c(v_i) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{t} \ell c(w_j) \\
\leq 2 + \frac{3}{13} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \log(v_i) + \frac{3}{13} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \log(w_j) + 8(q + t).
\]

By Theorem 6.26 and Corollary 6.41, we obtain another bound for the asymptotic existence of orthogonal designs.

**Theorem 6.43.** Suppose that \((u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)\) is a \(k\)-tuple of positive integers and let \(u_1 + \cdots + u_k = u\). Then for each \(n \geq N\) there is an

\[
OD(2^n u; 2^n u_1, \ldots, 2^n u_k),
\]

where \(N \leq \frac{3}{13} \log(u_1 - 1) + \frac{3}{13} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \log(u_i) + 8k + 4\).

Ivan Livinskyi in [38] used complex Golay, Base, Normal and other sequences (see [12, 32, 33, 35]) to show that each positive integer \(u\) can be presented as sum of

\[
s \leq \frac{1}{10} \log u + 5 \quad (6.17)
\]

pairs \((A_k[u]; B_k[u])\) for \(1 \leq k \leq s\) such that \(A_k[u]\) and \(B_k[u]\) have the same length for each \(k, 1 \leq k \leq s\), with elements from \(\{\pm1, \pm i\}\), and the set

\[
\left\{A_1[u], B_1[u], \ldots, A_s[u], B_s[u]\right\} \quad (6.18)
\]

is a set of complex complementary sequences with weight \(2u\). In the following theorem, we use this set of complex complementary sequences.
Theorem 6.44. Suppose that \((v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)\) is a \(k\)-tuple of positive integers and let \(v_1 + \cdots + v_k = v\). Then for each \(n \geq N\), there is an

\[ OD(2^n v; 2^n v_1, \ldots, 2^n v_k), \]

where \(N \leq \frac{1}{5} \log(v_1 - 1) + \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \log(v_i) + 10k + 4\).

Proof. Suppose \((v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)\) is a \(k\)-tuple of positive integer. Let \(v_1 + \cdots + v_k = v\). For simplicity, we assume that \(u_1 = v_1 - 1\) and \(u_i = v_i\) for \(2 \leq i \leq k\).

For each \(\beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq k\), let \(\{A_1[u_\beta], B_1[u_\beta], \ldots, A_{s_\beta}[u_\beta], B_{s_\beta}[u_\beta]\}\) be a set of complex complementary sequences with weight \(2u_\beta\) such that for each \(\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s_\beta\), \(A_\alpha[u_\beta]\) and \(B_\alpha[u_\beta]\) have the same length, \(V[\alpha, u_\beta]\). From (6.17), for each \(\beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq k\)

\[ s_\beta \leq \frac{1}{10} \log u_\beta + 5. \tag{6.19} \]

Suppose that \(x\) and \(x_\beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq k\) are variables. Let \(M_1 = \text{circ}(x, 0_{(2v-1)}, x, 0_{(2v-1)})\) and \(M_2 = \text{circ}(0_v, -x, 0_{(2v-1)}, x, 0_{(v-1)})\). For each \(\beta, 1 \leq \beta \leq k\), and each \(\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s_\beta\), let

\[
X_{\alpha\beta} = \text{circ}\left(0_{(S[\alpha, \beta]+1)}, x_\beta A_\alpha[u_\beta], 0_{(4v-2S[\alpha+1, \beta]-1)}, x_\beta B_\alpha[u_\beta], 0_{(S[\alpha, \beta])}\right),
\]

\[
Y_{\alpha\beta} = \text{circ}\left(0_{(2v-S[\alpha+1, \beta])}, -x_\beta B_\alpha[u_\beta], 0_{(2S[\alpha, \beta]+1)}, x_\beta A_\alpha[u_\beta], 0_{(2v-S[\alpha+1, \beta]-1)}\right),
\]

where \(S[1,1] = 0\) and \(S[a,b] = \sum_{j=1}^{a} \sum_{i=1}^{b} V[j, u_i]\), for \(1 \leq b \leq k\) and \(1 < a \leq s_b + 1\).

It can be seen that the above circulant matrices are disjoint and quasisymmetric of order \(4v\) such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} M_i M_i^* + \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s_\beta} (X_{\alpha\beta} X_{\alpha\beta}^* + Y_{\alpha\beta} Y_{\alpha\beta}^*) = 4\left(x^2 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} (u_\beta x_\beta^2)\right)I_{4v}.
\]
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Thus, by Theorem 6.30, there exists a full circulant quasisymmetric

\[ SOD(4v; 4, 4u_1, \ldots, 4u_k) \]

for a signed group \( S \) which admits a remrep of degree \( 2^m \), where \( m = 2 + 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^{k} s_\beta \).

By Theorem 6.26 and the upper bounds for the \( s_\beta \)'s, (6.19), there exists an

\[ OD(2^n v; 2^n, 2^n u_1, \ldots, 2^n u_k), \]

and so there exists an

\[ OD(2^n v; 2^n u_1, \ldots, 2^n v_k), \]

where \( n \leq \frac{1}{5} \log(v_1 - 1) + \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \log(v_i) + 10k + 4. \)

\[ \square \]

**Example 6.45.** Let \( p \) be a prime number. Consider the 1-tuple \( (p) \). By Theorem 6.44, for each \( n \geq \frac{1}{5} \log(p - 1) + 14 \), there is a Hadamard matrix of order \( 2^n p \).

In the next example, we modify Theorem 6.44, and decrease the bound in Example 6.45 from \( \frac{1}{5} \log(p - 1) + 14 \) to \( \frac{1}{5} \log \frac{p - 1}{2} + 13 \). The latter bound is obtained first by Ivan Livinskyi [38].

**Example 6.46.** Consider the 2-tuple \( (1, v) = \left( 1, \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \), where \( p \) is prime. Let \( \left\{ A_1[v], B_1[v], \ldots, A_s[v], B_s[v] \right\} \) be a set of complex complementary sequences with total weight \( p - 1 \), where \( s \leq \frac{1}{10} \log v + 5 \) and for each \( \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq s \), the lengths \( A_\alpha[v] \) and \( B_\alpha[v] \) are the same and equal to some integer \( \ell_\alpha \).

Let \( M_1 = \text{circ}(x, 0_{(2p-1)}) \) and \( M_2 = \text{circ}(0_{(p)}, x, 0_{(p-1)}) \). Also, for \( 1 \leq \alpha \leq s \), let

\[
X_\alpha = \text{circ} \left( 0_{(S_\alpha+1)}, x_1 A_\alpha[v], 0_{(2p-2S_\alpha+1-1)}, x_1 B_\alpha[v], 0_{(S_\alpha)} \right), \\
Y_\alpha = \text{circ} \left( 0_{(p-S_\alpha+1)}, -x_1 B_\alpha[v], 0_{(2S_\alpha+1)}, x_1 A_\alpha[v], 0_{(p-S_\alpha+1-1)} \right),
\]

96
where \( x \) and \( x_1 \) are variables, \( S_1 = 0 \) and \( S_\beta = \frac{\beta - 1}{2} \sum_{\ell_i} \) for \( 2 \leq \beta \leq s + 1 \). It can be seen that the above circulant matrices are quasisymmetric matrices of order \( 2p \) such that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} M_i M_i^* + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} (X_\alpha X_\alpha^* + Y_\alpha Y_\alpha^*) = (2x + (2p - 2)x_1)I_{2p}.
\]
By Theorem 6.30, there is a \( SOD(2p; 2, 2p - 2) \) for a signed group \( S \) which admits a remrep of degree \( 2^n \), where \( n = 2 + 2s \leq \frac{1}{5} \log \frac{p - 1}{2} + 12 \). Therefore, by Theorem 6.26, there is an
\[
OD\left(2^{n+1}p; 2^n, 2^n(2p - 2)\right),
\]
and by equating variables in the above OD, one concludes that there is a Hadamard matrix of order \( 2^{n+1}p \), for each \( n \geq \frac{1}{5} \log \frac{p - 1}{2} + 12 \).

### 6.5 Signed group orthogonal designs of order \( 2^n \)

and an application to the construction of orthogonal designs

In the previous section, SODs obtain over signed groups that were inductively generated. In this section, we make SODs over specific signed groups.

**Theorem 6.47.** There is a \( SOD(2^n; 1(2^n)) \) over a signed group \( S \) that admits a remrep of degree \( 2^{n-1}-1, \ n > 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( m = 2^{2^{n-1}-1} \). It can be seen that \( \rho(m) = 2^n \), for \( n > 2 \). By Theorem 2.42, there is a set \( A = \{I_m, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{\rho(m) - 1}\} \) of pairwise disjoint anti-amicable signed permutation matrices of order \( m \). Let \( T = \langle A_1, \ldots, A_{\rho(m) - 1}\rangle \). Clearly, \( T \) is a signed
subgroup of $SP_m$. Let
\[ S = \left< s_1, \ldots, s_{\rho(m) - 1}; s_\alpha^2 = -1, s_\alpha s_\beta = -s_\beta s_\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq \rho(m) - 1 \right>. \] (6.20)

Let $\pi : S \rightarrow T$ be a map defined by $\pi(s_\alpha) = A_\alpha$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq \rho(m) - 1$. It can be seen that $\pi$ is a remrep of degree $m$.

Let $B = \{ B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{2^n-1} \}$ be a set of supplementary matrices which obtain by $n$ times the Kronecker product of $I$ and $P$. It is easy to see that the matrices in the set $B$ are pairwise amicable of order $2^n$. Therefore,
\[ D = x_0B_0 + s_1x_1B_1 + \cdots + s_{2^n-1}x_{2^n-1}B_{2^n-1}, \]
is a $SOD(2^n; 1_{(2^n)})$ over the signed group $S$ admitting the remrep $\pi$, where the $x_i$'s are variables.

**Example 6.48.** We show that there is a $SOD(2^3; 1_{(2^3)})$ over a signed group $S$ that admits a remrep of degree $2^3$. Let
\[ 
B_0 = I \otimes I \otimes I, \quad B_4 = I \otimes P \otimes P, \\
B_1 = I \otimes I \otimes P, \quad B_5 = P \otimes I \otimes P, \\
B_2 = I \otimes P \otimes I, \quad B_6 = P \otimes P \otimes I, \\
B_3 = P \otimes I \otimes I, \quad B_7 = P \otimes P \otimes P.
\]
Let $S$ be the signed group in (6.20), $m = 8$. It can be seen that $D = x_0B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{7} s_i x_i B_i$ is the desired SOD, where the $x_i$'s are variables.

Following similar techniques in [29], we show the following theorem.
Theorem 6.49. Suppose that \( r \) is a Golay number, and \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{2^{n-3}-1} \) are complex Golay numbers, where \( n > 2 \). Let \( m = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-3}-1} k_j + r + 1 \). Then there is a

\[
\text{COD}
\left(
2^q m; 2^q, 2^q r, 2^{q+1} k_1, \ldots, 2^{q+1} k_{2^{n-3}-1}
\right),
\]

where \( q = 2^{n-1} + n - 1 \).

Proof. Let \( n \) be a positive integer greater than 2. Suppose that \( H \) is a Hadamard matrix of order \( 2^{n-2} \), \((A; B)\) is a Golay pair of length \( r \), and \((C^{(j)}; D^{(j)})\) is a complex Golay pair of length \( k_j \) \((1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-3} - 1)\). Let \( m = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-3}-1} k_j + r + 1 \). Consider the following \( 2^{n-2} \)-dimensional row vectors:

\[
E = \left(y, x_1 C^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{2^{n-3}-1} C^{(2^{n-3}-1)}, z A, x_{2^{n-3}-1} C^{(2^{n-3}-1)}_{\mathbb{F}_r}, \ldots, x_1 C^{(1)}_{\mathbb{F}_r}\right),
\]

\[
F = \left(y, x_1 D^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{2^{n-3}-1} D^{(2^{n-3}-1)}, z B, x_{2^{n-3}-1} D^{(2^{n-3}-1)}_{\mathbb{F}_r}, \ldots, x_1 D^{(1)}_{\mathbb{F}_r}\right),
\]

where the \( x_j \)'s, \( y \) and \( z \) are variables. Let \( e \) be the \( 2^{n-2} \)-dimensional column vector of ones. For each \( j, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-2} \), let \( E_j \) and \( F_j \) be the circulant matrices of order \( m \) whose first rows are the \( j \)-th rows of \( eE \odot H \) and \( eF \odot H \), respectively, where \( \odot \) is entrywise multiplication (note that \( eE \) and \( eF \) are matrices of order \( 2^{n-2} \) whose entries are sequences). It can be verified that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-2}} (E_j E_j^* + F_j F_j^*) = 2^{n-1} \left(y^2 + rz^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-3}-1} k_j x_j^2\right) I_m,
\]

see [29]. For each \( j, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-2} \), let

\[
E_j' = \frac{1}{2} (E_j + E_j^*), \quad E_j'' = \frac{i}{2} (E_j - E_j^*),
\]

\[
F_j' = \frac{1}{2} (F_j + F_j^*) \text{ and } F_j'' = \frac{i}{2} (F_j - F_j^*).
\]
Now it can be seen that the set

\[ \Omega = \left\{ E_j' - E_j'', E_j' + E_j'', F_j' - F_j'', F_j' + F_j'' ; \ 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-2} \right\} \]

cconsists of \(2^n\) Hermitian circulant matrices. Moreover,

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-2}} \left( (E_j' - E_j'')(E_j' - E_j'')^* + (E_j' + E_j'')(E_j' + E_j'')^* \\
+ (F_j' - F_j'')(F_j' - F_j'')^* + (F_j' + F_j'')(F_j' + F_j'')^* \right) \\
= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-2}} \left( E_j'^2 + E_j''^2 + F_j'^2 + F_j''^2 \right) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-2}} \left( (E_j + E_j^*)^2 - (E_j - E_j^*)^2 + (F_j + F_j^*)^2 - (F_j - F_j^*)^2 \right) \\
= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-2}} \left( E_j E_j^* + F_j F_j^* \right) \quad \text{from (6.21)} \\
= 2^n \left( y^2 + r z^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-3}-1} k_j x_j^2 \right) I_m. 
\]

From Theorem 6.47, there is a \(SOD(2^n; 1_{(2^n)})\) over a signed group \(S\) that admits a remrep of degree \(2^{2n-1-1}\). By Theorem 6.26, there is an \(OD\left(2^q; \ 2^{2n-1-1}_{(2^n)}\right)\), where \(q = 2^{n-1} + n - 1\). Replacing variables in this OD by the Hermitian circulant matrices in the set \(\Omega\), we obtain the desired COD.

**Example 6.50.** Using Theorem 6.49, we show that there is a

\[ COD\left(2^{11} \cdot 31; \ 2^{11} \cdot 1, 2^{11} \cdot 8, 2^{11} \cdot 22\right). \]

Let \(e\) be the 4-dimensional column vector of all ones, \((A; B)\) be a Golay pair of length
8, and $(C; D)$ be a complex Golay pair of length 11 as follow:

$$A = (1, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1), \quad B = (1, 1, 1, -, -, -1, -),$$

$$C = (1, i, -1, -i, i, -i, -i, 1), \quad D = (1, 1, \overline{i}, \overline{i}, i, 1, i, -1, -).$$

Let $E = (y, xC, zA, xC_{\overline{R}})$, $F = (y, xD, zB, xD_{\overline{R}})$ and $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

We have

$$eE \odot H = \begin{bmatrix} y & xC & zA & xC_{\overline{R}} \\ y & -xC & zA & -xC_{\overline{R}} \\ y & xC & -zA & -xC_{\overline{R}} \\ y & -xC & -zA & xC_{\overline{R}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad eF \odot H = \begin{bmatrix} y & xD & zB & xD_{\overline{R}} \\ y & -xD & zB & -xD_{\overline{R}} \\ y & xD & -zB & -xD_{\overline{R}} \\ y & -xD & -zB & xD_{\overline{R}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$E_1 = \text{circ}(y, xC, zA, xC_{\overline{R}}), \quad F_1 = \text{circ}(y, xD, zB, xD_{\overline{R}}),$$

$$E_2 = \text{circ}(y, -xC, zA, -xC_{\overline{R}}), \quad F_2 = \text{circ}(y, -xD, zB, -xD_{\overline{R}}),$$

$$E_3 = \text{circ}(y, xC, -zA, -xC_{\overline{R}}), \quad F_3 = \text{circ}(y, xD, -zB, -xD_{\overline{R}}),$$

$$E_4 = \text{circ}(y, -xC, -zA, xC_{\overline{R}}), \quad F_4 = \text{circ}(y, -xD, -zB, xD_{\overline{R}}).$$

From each of $E_j$ and $F_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq 4$), we obtain two Hermitian circulant matrices. As an example $E_3$ is the circulant matrix with the following first row:

$$(y, x, ix, x, x, ix, \overline{x}, ix, x, z, z, z, z, z, 0, ix, ix, x, x, x, ix, x, x, ix, x),$$
where $u$ means $-u$. The following rows are the first rows of the supplementary Hermitian circulant matrices $E'_3 = \frac{1}{2}(E_3 + E^*_3)$ and $E''_3 = \frac{i}{2}(E_3 - E^*_3)$, respectively:

$$
(y, 0, z, 0, 0, 0, z, 0, 0)_{(11)},
$$

$$(0, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, 0, 0, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix, ix).
$$

Therefore, $E'_3 + E''_3$ and $E'_3 - E''_3$ are the desired two Hermitian circulant matrices obtained from $E_3$. Continuing this process, we find 16 complementary Hermitian circulant matrices of order 31. Replacing these matrices with variables in $OD(2^{211}; 2^{47})$ obtained from Theorem 6.47 and 6.26, we find a

$$
COD(2^{211} \cdot 31; 2^{211} \cdot 1, 2^{211} \cdot 8, 2^{211} \cdot 22).
$$

**Remark 6.51.** Replacing the 16 complementary Hermitian circulant matrices in the above example with variables in $OD(2^{210}; 2^{56})$ obtained in Theorem 4.17, we get a

$$
COD(2^{210} \cdot 31; 2^{210} \cdot 1, 2^{210} \cdot 8, 2^{210} \cdot 22).
$$
Appendix  Some amicable orthogonal designs

\( AOD(16; 2, 2, 2, 10; 2, 2, 10) \) as constructed in Construction 4.14 (note that \( \bar{x} \) means \(-x\)).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccc}
| a & a & d & d & b & b & c & c & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & a & a \\
| a & a & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & \bar{b} & \bar{b} & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & a & a & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a \\
| \bar{d} & \bar{d} & a & a & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & b & b & a & a & a & a & a & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\
| d & \bar{d} & a & a & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & \bar{b} & \bar{b} & a & a & a & a & a & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\
| b & b & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & a & a & d & d & a & a & a & a & a & a & a & a & a \\
| \bar{b} & b & c & c & a & a & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & a & a & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\
| c & c & b & b & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & a & a & a & a & a & a \\
| \bar{c} & \bar{c} & \bar{b} & \bar{b} & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\
| \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\
| a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & a & a & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & \bar{b} & \bar{b} & c & c & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} \\
| a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & a & a & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & b & b & a & a \\
| a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & b & b & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{d} & \bar{d} \\
| a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{b} & \bar{b} & \bar{c} & \bar{c} & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & \bar{d} & \bar{d} \\
| a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & c & c & b & b & d & d & a & a \\
| a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & a & a & \bar{a} & \bar{a} & c & c & \bar{b} & \bar{b} & \bar{d} & \bar{d} & a & \bar{a} \\
| x & x & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & y & y & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| x & x & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| z & \bar{z} & x & x & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & e & e & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| z & \bar{z} & x & x & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & e & e & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| \bar{e} & e & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & x & x & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| \bar{e} & e & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & x & x & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| \bar{y} & y & e & e & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & x & x & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| \bar{y} & y & e & e & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & x & x & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & x & x \\
| \bar{x} & x & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & z & z \\
| \bar{x} & x & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & x & x & x & x & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & \bar{y} & z & z \\
| x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & y & y & \bar{y} & \bar{y} \\
| x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & y & y & \bar{y} & \bar{y} \\
| x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & y & y & \bar{y} & \bar{y} \\
| x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{e} & \bar{e} & x & x & \bar{x} & \bar{x} & \bar{z} & \bar{z} & y & y & \bar{y} & \bar{y} \\
\end{array}
\]
AOD(4; 4, 10, 34; 4, 4) as constructed in Example 4.7.
$AOD(48; 4, 4, 4, 18, 18; 4, 4, 4, 36)$ as constructed in Construction 4.14.
$AOD(96; 32, 32, 32; 32, 32, 32)$ as constructed in Example 5.13.
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